VIRTUAL CARTIER DIVISORS AND BLOW-UPS

ADEEL A. KHAN AND DAVID RYDH

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Let X be a scheme, Z a closed subscheme, and \tilde{X} the blow-up of X in Z. Recall that \tilde{X} admits the following universal property: for any morphism $f: S \to X$ such that the schematic fibre $f^{-1}(Z)$ is an effective Cartier divisor on S, there exists a unique morphism $S \to \tilde{X}$ over X.

In this paper we prove a stronger universal property which gives a complete description of the functor represented by the blow-up \tilde{X} , when $Z \to X$ is a regular immersion. Namely, we show that there is a canonical bijection between the set of X-morphisms $S \to \tilde{X}$ and the set of virtual effective Cartier divisors on S lying over (X, Z). In a word, a virtual effective Cartier divisor is a closed subscheme that is equipped with some additional structure that remembers that it is cut out locally by a single equation (or "of virtual codimension 1").

1.2. We also use the notion of virtual Cartier divisors to construct blow-ups of quasi-smooth closed immersions of *derived* schemes and stacks. This generalizes a local construction that was used by Kerz–Strunk–Tamme in their proof of Weibel's conjecture on negative K-theory [KST18] (see 4.1.10). Our construction has been applied to similar problems in algebraic K-theory, such as descent by derived blow-ups [Kha20] and Weibel's conjecture for stacks [BKRS22]. See also [BO21] for a similar application of descent by derived blow-ups in the setting of flat cohomology.

Derived blow-ups also give rise to a derived version of Verdier's deformation to the normal cone (Theorem 4.1.13). This has been applied to give a new construction of Kontsevich's virtual fundamental class using derived algebraic geometry, and to prove virtual Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch formulas, see [Kha19, Kha22]. Moreover, our methods are very robust and with straightforward modifications also work in other settings like nonarchimedean analytic geometry (giving rise to nonarchimedean Gromov–Witten invariants as conjectured by Kontsevich–Soibelman). Derived deformation to the normal cone is also an important ingredient in Annala's work on algebraic cobordism [Ann21a, Ann21b, Ann23].

Hekking [Hek21] has recently constructed blow-ups and derived deformation to the normal cone for non-quasi-smooth morphisms. In the upcoming paper [HKR] we will show that these constructions can also be described in terms of virtual Cartier divisors, just as in the quasi-smooth case here.

1.3. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we study regular closed immersions from the perspective of derived algebraic geometry; this material is well-known to the experts. In Sect. 3 we define virtual effective Cartier divisors and show that they coincide with generalized effective Cartier divisors. Sect. 4 contains our results on blow-ups. The derived blow-up is constructed in 4.1.1/4.1.4 and its

Date: 2025-03-24.

properties are summarized in Theorem 4.1.5. The universal property mentioned above is in 4.1.8. The remainder of the section is concerned with the proofs. Finally, in Sect. 5 we generalize the construction to simultaneous blow-ups in multiple centres. In Subsect. 5.2 we discuss local regular immersions, that is, quasi-smooth finite unramified morphisms, which generalize regular closed immersions. The main properties of simultaneous blow-ups are summarized in Theorem 5.3.3.

1.4. We would like to thank Toni Annala, Denis-Charles Cisinski, Jeroen Hekking, Marc Hoyois, Shane Kelly, Akhil Mathew, and the anonymous referee for useful comments.

This work was partially completed during the first author's stay as a post-doctoral fellow at the Mittag-Leffler Institute in Djursholm, Sweden, as part of the program "Algebro-Geometric and Homotopical Methods" in spring 2017, and partially during his stay at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in summer 2017. The second author was supported by the Swedish Research Council (2015-05554) and the Göran Gustafsson Foundation for Research in Natural Sciences and Medicine.

2. Quasi-smooth immersions

2.1. We begin by reviewing the notion of regular closed immersion in classical algebraic geometry.

2.1.1. Let A be a commutative ring. For an element $f \in A$, the Koszul complex $\text{Kosz}_A(f)$ is the chain complex

$$\operatorname{Kosz}_A(f) := \left(A \xrightarrow{f} A\right),$$

concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. Thus $H_0(\text{Kosz}_A(f)) = A/f$ and $H_1(\text{Kosz}_A(f)) = \text{Ann}_A(f)$ is the annihilator. In particular $\text{Kosz}_A(f)$ is acyclic in positive degrees, and hence quasi-isomorphic to A/f, if and only if f is regular (a non-zero divisor). More generally, given a sequence of elements (f_1, \ldots, f_n) , the Koszul complex $\text{Kosz}_A(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is defined as the tensor product (over A)

$$\operatorname{Kosz}_A(f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \bigotimes_i \left(A \xrightarrow{f_i} A\right).$$

We say that the sequence (f_1, \ldots, f_n) is regular if the Koszul complex is acyclic in positive degrees. This is called a Koszul-regular sequence in the Stacks Project [Stacks, 062D]. When A is noetherian and f_i belong to the radical, this is equivalent to the usual inductive definition: f_1 is regular, f_2 is regular in $A/(f_1)$, etc. (see [EGA IV₄, Cor. 19.5.2] and [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Prop. 1.3]).

2.1.2. Any sequence (f_1, \ldots, f_n) determines a homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n] \to A$, $T_i \mapsto f_i$, and the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kosz}_A(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the derived tensor product

$$A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]/(T_1,\ldots,T_n);$$

indeed the sequence (T_1, \ldots, T_n) is regular, so that $\text{Kosz}_{\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]}(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ provides a free resolution of $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]/(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, and the Koszul complex is stable under arbitrary extension of scalars.

2.1.3. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of schemes. We say that i is regular if its ideal of definition $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is Zariski-locally generated by a regular sequence. This is equivalent to the definition in [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Déf. 1.4], and to the definition in [EGA IV₄, Déf. 16.9.2] when X is locally noetherian. In the Stacks Project this is called a Koszul-regular ideal [Stacks, 07CV]. When i is regular, the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} = \mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{I}^2$ is locally free of finite rank. Moreover, the relative cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}$ is canonically identified with $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}[1]$. For us an *effective Cartier* divisor on a scheme X will be a scheme D equipped with a regular closed immersion $i_D: D \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension 1.

2.2. We now re-interpret the above discussion in the language of derived algebraic geometry. The basic idea is that the Koszul complex $\text{Kosz}_A(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ can be viewed as the "ring of functions" on a certain *derived* subscheme of Spec(A). In order to make sense of this, one should work with *derived* commutative rings, a.k.a. simplicial commutative rings up to weak homotopy equivalence, which following Quillen is the natural setting for derived tensor products of commutative algebras.

2.2.1. We use the language of ∞ -categories; our reference is [HTT]. Let Spc be the ∞ -category of ∞ -groupoids; we will also use "space" as a synonym for ∞ -groupoid.¹ For any ∞ -category **C**, there are mapping spaces Maps_{**C**} $(x, y) \in$ Spc for any pair of objects $x, y \in$ **C**.

2.2.2. Let DCRing be the ∞ -category of derived commutative rings²; we refer to [SAG, § 25.1] or [ČS24, 5.1] for a detailed account. If we forget the multiplication on a derived commutative ring A, we get by the Dold–Kan correspondence an "underlying chain complex". If we forget both the addition and multiplication we get an "underlying space". We say that a derived commutative ring A is 0-truncated or "discrete" if the underlying space (pointed at 0) has no higher homotopy groups (i.e., can be identified with a set); the full subcategory of discrete derived commutative rings, and under this identification the 0-truncation functor is given by $A \mapsto \pi_0(A)$.

Using Quillen's machinery of non-abelian derived functors one defines derived tensor products of derived commutative rings: they are computed using simplicial resolutions by polynomial algebras $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$. For example, the derived tensor product

$$A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]/(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$$

can be viewed as a derived commutative ring, for any commutative ring A and any homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n] \to A$. The underlying chain complex recovers the Koszul complex of the corresponding elements (as an object of Mod_Z, the stable ∞ -category of chain complexes of **Z**-modules).

¹The ∞ -category Spc can be modelled by topological spaces up to weak homotopy equivalence, but we will not rely on this model. In particular, the phrase "x is a point of the space X" should be read as "x is an object of the ∞ -groupoid X"; it does *not* refer to a literal point of any particular topological space of homotopy type X.

²This is the ∞ -category of functors $A : (\operatorname{Poly})^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Spc}$, from the opposite of the category Poly of polynomial algebras $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ $(n \ge 0)$ and ring homomorphisms, to the ∞ -category of spaces, which send finite coproducts in Poly to finite products of spaces. We can think of such as the data of an underlying space $A(\mathbf{Z}[T]) \in \operatorname{Spc}$ equipped with certain operations as encoded by the category Poly.

2.2.3. Derived algebraic geometry is an extension of classical algebraic geometry that allows one to make sense of "Spec(A)" where A is a derived commutative ring. We refer to [SAG] or [TV08] for details.

The object $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is an affine derived scheme which has an "underlying classical scheme" $\operatorname{Spec}(A)_{cl} = \operatorname{Spec}(\pi_0(A))$, but is further equipped with a quasi-coherent sheaf of derived commutative rings $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$. It can thus be viewed as an infinitesimal thickening of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)_{cl}$ with "higher nilpotents" living in the higher homotopy groups. For example, given affine schemes $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $Y = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ over $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, there is a derived version of the fibred product:

$$X \underset{S}{\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\times}} Y = \operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes_{R}^{\mathbf{L}} B),$$

which is a derived scheme with $(X \times_S^{\mathbf{R}} Y)_{cl} = X \times_S Y$.

A general derived scheme is Zariski-locally of the form Spec(A) for $A \in \text{DCRing}$. Derived fibred products are homotopy limits in the ∞ -category of derived schemes. The category of classical schemes embeds fully faithfully into the ∞ -category of derived schemes, and its essential image is spanned by derived schemes X whose structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is discrete (i.e., takes values in discrete derived commutative rings). This embedding is left adjoint to $(-) \rightarrow (-)_{cl}$ and hence preserves colimits. The embedding does not preserve fibred products though. We will say that a derived scheme is "classical" if it is in the essential image.

The discussion of Subsect. 2.1 can now be rephrased as follows.

Proposition 2.2.4. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of schemes. Then i is regular if and only if Zariski-locally on X, there exists a morphism $f : X \to \mathbf{A}^n$ and a commutative square



which is homotopy cartesian in the ∞ -category of derived schemes.

Here $\mathbf{A}^n = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n])$ denotes *n*-dimensional affine space over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$, and $\{0\} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_1, \dots, T_n))$ denotes the inclusion of the origin.

2.3. We will now extend the notion of regularity to the derived setting.

2.3.1. Let A be a derived commutative ring. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$ be a sequence of elements (i.e., points in the underlying space of A). Let $A/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ denote the derived commutative ring defined by the homotopy cocartesian square

$$\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_1, \dots, T_n)
\downarrow T_i \mapsto f_i \qquad \downarrow \qquad (2.3.a)
A \longrightarrow A//(f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

That is, $A/\!\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ is given by the derived tensor product

 $A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]/(T_1,\ldots,T_n).$

We have $\pi_0(A/\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)) = \pi_0(A)/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ where we write f_i again for its connected component in $\pi_0(A)$. The underlying A-module of $A/\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ is given

by

$$\bigotimes_{i}^{\mathbf{L}} \operatorname{Cofib}(A \xrightarrow{f_i} A),$$

where Cofib denotes the homotopy cofibre (in the stable ∞ -category of A-modules).

Example 2.3.2. If A is discrete and the sequence (f_1, \ldots, f_n) is regular, then the canonical homomorphism $A/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \to A/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is an isomorphism.

Example 2.3.3. Let A be discrete and consider $A/\!\!/(0) \in \text{DCRing}$. Its underlying chain complex is given by $A \oplus A[1]$ (with zero differential). In particular we have $\pi_0(A/\!\!/(0)) = \pi_1(A/\!\!/(0)) = A$.

2.3.4. By construction, $A/\!\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ admits the following universal property:

Lemma 2.3.5. Let A be a derived commutative ring and $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$ a sequence of points in the underlying space of A. Then for any A-algebra $B \in \text{DCRing}_A$, there is a canonical isomorphism of spaces

$$\operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{DCRing}_A}(A/\!\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n),B) \simeq \prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \operatorname{Maps}_B(f'_i,0),$$

where f'_i are the images of f_i in B. That is, the space of A-algebra homomorphisms $A /\!\!/ (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \to B$ is isomorphic to the space of paths $f'_i \simeq 0$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, in the underlying space of B.

Proof. For any A-algebra B, the ∞ -groupoid of commutative squares

$$\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n] / (T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

$$\downarrow^{T_i \mapsto f_i} \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$A \longrightarrow B$$

is nothing else than the space of identifications between the two possible composites $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n] \to B$. Since $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is free as a derived commutative ring, this is the same as the space of identifications between the two sequences (f_1, \ldots, f_n) and $(0, \ldots, 0)$ of points in the underlying space of B.

2.3.6. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of derived schemes (i.e., the underlying morphism of classical schemes $i_{cl}: Z_{cl} \to X_{cl}$ is a closed immersion). We say that i is quasi-smooth if Zariski-locally on X, there exists a morphism $f: X \to \mathbf{A}^n$ and a homotopy cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{c} Z & \longrightarrow X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n, \end{array}$$

in the ∞ -category of derived schemes. In other words, $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is locally of the form $\operatorname{Spec}(A/\!\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, for some $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$. If X and Z are classical, then a closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is quasi-smooth if and only if it is a regular immersion. By Proposition 2.2.4 this agrees with our previous definition when X and Z are classical. However, even if X is classical, there exist quasi-smooth immersions $Z \hookrightarrow X$ with Z non-classical (as long as X is nonempty).

2.3.7. We now give a differential characterization of quasi-smooth immersions.³

Proposition 2.3.8. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of derived schemes. Then *i* is quasi-smooth if and only if it is locally of finite presentation and the shifted cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}[-1]$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_Z -module of finite rank.

Proof. The condition is clearly necessary: since it is Zariski-local and stable under arbitrary derived base change, we may assume that i is the inclusion of the origin $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n, n \ge 0$, in which case $\mathcal{L}_{\{0\}/\mathbf{A}^n}[-1] = \mathcal{N}_{\{0\}/\mathbf{A}^n}$ is free of rank n.

Conversely, suppose that $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $Z = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ are affine, and the shifted cotangent complex $\operatorname{L}_{B/A}[-1] \in \operatorname{Mod}_B$ is free of rank n. Let F denote the homotopy fibre of the morphism $\varphi : A \to B$ in the stable ∞ -category Mod_A , so that there is a canonical isomorphism of $\pi_0(B)$ -modules $\pi_1(\operatorname{L}_{B/A}) \simeq \pi_0(F \otimes_A^{\mathsf{L}} B)$ [SAG, Prop. 25.3.6.1]. Choose a basis df_1, \ldots, df_n for $\pi_1(\operatorname{L}_{B/A})$ and note that the corresponding elements of $\pi_0(F \otimes_A^{\mathsf{L}} B)$ lift to elements $\tilde{f}_1, \ldots, \tilde{f}_n \in \pi_0(F)$, since $\varphi :$ $A \to B$ is surjective on π_0 ; moreover, we can assume by Nakayama's lemma that the \tilde{f}_i generate $\pi_0(F)$ as a $\pi_0(A)$ -module. Lifting them to points in the underlying space of F, we get points $f_i \in A$ equipped with paths $\varphi(f_i) \simeq 0$ in B, and hence a canonical homomorphism of derived commutative rings $A/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \to B$ (Lemma 2.3.5). By construction it induces an isomorphism $\pi_0(A)/(f_1, \ldots, f_n) \simeq \pi_0(B)$ on connected components, so by [SAG, Cor. 25.3.6.6] it suffices to show that its relative cotangent complex vanishes, which follows by examining the exact triangle

$$\mathcal{L}_{(A/\!\!/(f_i)_i)/A} \otimes^{\mathbf{L}}_{A/\!\!/(f_i)_i} B \to \mathcal{L}_{B/A} \to \mathcal{L}_{B/(A/\!\!/(f_i)_i)}$$

in Mod_B .

Given a quasi-smooth closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$, we write $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} = \mathcal{L}_{Z/X}[-1]$ and take this as the definition of the *conormal sheaf*. By the above characterization, this is a locally free \mathcal{O}_Z -module of finite rank. It also follows that quasi-smoothness is an fpqc-local property, in view of [SAG, Prop. 2.9.1.4].

Example 2.3.9. If X and Z are *smooth* over some base S, then any closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is quasi-smooth. This follows from the exact triangle

$$i^*\mathcal{L}_{X/S} \to \mathcal{L}_{Z/S} \to \mathcal{L}_{Z/X}.$$

Example 2.3.10. If i admits a smooth retraction, then it is quasi-smooth. This is a special case of Example 2.3.9.

2.3.11. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived schemes. The *virtual codimension* of *i*, defined Zariski-locally on *Z*, is the rank of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Z^{-}}$ module $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$. We will sometimes denote it by codim.vir(Z, X). Locally this number is determined by the formula codim.vir $(\operatorname{Spec}(A/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)), \operatorname{Spec}(A)) = n$ for any $A \in \operatorname{DCRing}$ and points $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A$. Note that the virtual codimension is stable under arbitrary derived base change: codim.vir $(Z, X) = \operatorname{codim.vir}(Z \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} X', X')$ for any morphism $f: X' \to X$ of derived schemes.

³In the setting of derived algebraic geometry in characteristic zero, Proposition 2.3.8 also appears as [AG15, Prop. 2.1.10]. However, the proof given there is not correct: in the notation of *loc. cit.*, the vector bundle ${}^{cl}\mathcal{N}^*(Z_1/Z_2)$ is the virtual normal bundle (which depends on the derived structures), not the classical conormal sheaf to ${}^{cl}Z_1$ in ${}^{cl}Z_2$, unless Z_1 and Z_2 are already classical.

2.3.12. Define the topological (Krull) codimension $\operatorname{codim.top}(Z, X)$ as the topological codimension of Z_{cl} in X_{cl} . If X_{cl} is locally noetherian then we have an inequality $\operatorname{codim.vir}(Z, X) \ge \operatorname{codim.top}(Z, X)$. This is an equality (at a point $x \in Z$) if the derived scheme $Z \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} X_{cl}$ is classical (in a Zariski neighbourhood of x). If X_{cl} is Cohen–Macaulay (e.g. regular) at the point x, then the converse also holds.

2.3.13. A morphism of derived schemes $f: Y \to X$ is called *quasi-smooth* if it admits, Zariski-locally on Y, a factorization

$$Y \xrightarrow{i} X' \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

with i a quasi-smooth closed immersion and p smooth. Quasi-smooth morphisms between classical schemes are usually called lci (local complete intersection).

Proposition 2.3.14. Let $f: Y \to X$ be a morphism of derived schemes. Then f is quasi-smooth if and only if it is locally of finite presentation and the cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{Y/X}$ is of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1 .

Proof. By [SAG, Prop. 2.8.4.2], the question is local on Y. If f admits a factorization as above, then it is clear that f is locally of finite presentation. The exact triangle $i^*\mathcal{L}_{X'/X} \to \mathcal{L}_{Y/X} \to \mathcal{L}_{Y/X'}$ shows that $\mathcal{L}_{Y/X}$ is also of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1 . For the converse direction, let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a homomorphism of derived commutative rings which is locally of finite presentation and such that $L_{B/A}$ is of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1 . Then $\pi_0(A) \to \pi_0(B)$ is of finite presentation in the sense of ordinary commutative algebra, so we may find a homomorphism $A' = A[T_1, \ldots, T_n] \to B$, for some $n \geq 0$, that extends φ and is surjective on π_0 . The homomorphism $A \to A'$ is smooth, so it will suffice to show that $L_{B/A'}[-1]$ is locally free of finite rank (or equivalently, since $\pi_0(L_{B/A'}) = \Omega^1_{\pi_0(B)/\pi_0(A')} = 0$, that $L_{B/A'}$ is of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1). This follows from the exact triangle $L_{A'/A} \otimes_{A'} B \to L_{B/A} \to L_{B/A'}$.

3. VIRTUAL CARTIER DIVISORS

3.1. Virtual Cartier divisors.

3.1.1. Let X be a derived scheme. A virtual effective Cartier divisor on X is a derived scheme D together with a quasi-smooth closed immersion $i_D : D \hookrightarrow X$ of virtual codimension 1. Thus locally, a virtual effective Cartier divisor on Spec(A) is of the form $\text{Spec}(A/\!\!/(f))$ for some $f \in A$. We will omit the adjective "effective" since we do not treat non-effective divisors.

Remark 3.1.2. Note that for any derived scheme X, the collection of virtual Cartier divisors over X forms an ∞ -groupoid VDiv(X). It can be described as the full subgroupoid of $(\mathrm{DSch}_{/X})^{\simeq}$ whose objects are virtual Cartier divisors $D \hookrightarrow X$. Moreover, since the condition of being a virtual Cartier divisor is stable under (derived) base change and fpqc-local, the assignment $X \mapsto \mathrm{VDiv}(X)$ determines a subsheaf of the fpqc sheaf $X \mapsto (\mathrm{DSch}_{\mathrm{aff}/X})^{\simeq}$.

Example 3.1.3. Suppose that X is classical. Then any classical (effective) Cartier divisor on X is a virtual Cartier divisor.

3.1.4. We record the following computation that will be useful later:

Lemma 3.1.5. Let A be a derived commutative ring and $f \in A$ an element. For any point $g \in A$, there is a canonical isomorphism of ∞ -groupoids

$$\operatorname{Maps}_{A/\!\!/(f)}(g,0) \simeq \operatorname{Fib}_g(A \xrightarrow{J} A)$$

between the space of paths $g \simeq 0$ in the underlying space of $A/\!\!/(f)$, and the space of pairs (a, α) , where $a \in A$ is a point and $\alpha : fa \simeq g$ is a path in A.

Proof. There is a fibre sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{f} A \to A/\!\!/(f)$$

of underlying spaces.

3.2. Generalized Cartier divisors.

3.2.1. Let X be a derived scheme. A generalized (effective) Cartier divisor over X is a pair (\mathcal{L}, s) , where \mathcal{L} is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank one and s is a homomorphism $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules (see e.g. [Ols16, Def. 10.3.2]).

Remark 3.2.2. According to [Ill15], generalized Cartier divisors were first introduced by Deligne in a 1988 letter to Illusie, under the name "divisors" (where the quotation marks are part of the terminology).

3.2.3. Any generalized Cartier divisor (\mathcal{L}, s) on X gives rise to a virtual Cartier divisor as follows. Denote by L the line bundle $\mathbf{V}_X(\mathcal{L}) = \operatorname{Spec}_X(\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}))$, and let D denote the derived fibred product

where 0 is the zero section; in other words, D is the derived zero-locus of the section s. Then $i: D \to X$ is a virtual Cartier divisor with conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{D/X} = \mathcal{L}|_D$.

3.2.4. Let GDiv denote the derived stack classifying generalized Cartier divisors over S. To be precise, it is the fpqc sheaf of ∞ -groupoids

 $\mathrm{GDiv}:(\mathrm{DSch}^{\mathrm{aff}})^{\mathrm{op}}\to \mathrm{Spc},\qquad S\mapsto (\underline{\mathrm{Pic}}(S)_{/\mathfrak{O}_S})^{\simeq}.$

Here $\underline{\operatorname{Pic}}(S)_{/\mathcal{O}_S}$ is the ∞ -category of pairs (\mathcal{L}, s) with $\mathcal{L} \in \underline{\operatorname{Pic}}(S)$ a locally free sheaf of rank one and s a homomorphism $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_S$ (not necessarily invertible), and $(-)^{\simeq}$ denotes the operation of discarding the non-invertible morphisms.

3.2.5. Generalized Cartier divisors are classified by the stack $[\mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{G}_m]$: the quotient of the affine line \mathbf{A}^1 by the canonical \mathbf{G}_m -action by scaling. This was first observed by L. Lafforgue in 2000 [Ill15], see also [Ols16, Prop. 10.3.7]. We next show that this remains valid in derived algebraic geometry, and moreover, that generalized Cartier divisors are the same thing as virtual Cartier divisors.

Proposition 3.2.6. There are canonical isomorphisms of derived stacks

 $\operatorname{VDiv}^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{GDiv} \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{G}_m].$

3.2.7. Before proving Proposition 3.2.6 we need to make a brief but slightly technical digression. Let $D \hookrightarrow X$ be a virtual Cartier divisor. Suppose given a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra \mathcal{A} and a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_D \to \mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. We would like to show that, if φ fits in a commutative triangle



where η is the unit, then φ lifts to a homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras in an essentially unique manner.

More precisely, let $\operatorname{QcohAlg}(X)$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X algebras, and $\operatorname{Qcoh}(X)_{\mathcal{O}_X \setminus -}$ the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} equipped with a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{F}$. Then we have:

Lemma 3.2.8. Let X be a derived scheme and $D \hookrightarrow X$ a virtual Cartier divisor. For any quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -algebra \mathcal{A} , the canonical map

$$\operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{QcohAlg}(X)}(\mathcal{O}_D,\mathcal{A}) \to \operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{Qcoh}(X)_{\mathcal{O}_X \setminus -}}(\mathcal{O}_D,\mathcal{A})$$

is invertible.

Proof. The assignments $X \mapsto \operatorname{QcohAlg}(X)$ and $X \mapsto \operatorname{Qcoh}(X)_{\mathcal{O}_X \setminus -}$ both form sheaves of ∞ -categories, so the question is local on X. Therefore we may assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, for a derived commutative ring A, and $D = \operatorname{Spec}(A/\!\!/(f))$ for some $f \in A$. Note that for any $B \in \operatorname{DCRing}_A$, the ∞ -groupoid of A-algebra homomorphisms $A/\!\!/(f) \to B$ is the ∞ -groupoid of commutative squares in DCRing_A

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[T] & \xrightarrow{T \mapsto 0} & A \\ T \mapsto f & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.5. This is equivalently the ∞ -groupoid of commutative triangles in Mod_A

ŀ

$$\begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{f} A \\ \searrow & \downarrow \\ 0 & \searrow & B \\ \end{array}$$

which is equivalently the ∞ -groupoid of A-module homomorphisms $A/\!\!/(f) \to B$ extending $A \to B$, in view of the exact triangle $A \xrightarrow{f} A \to A/\!\!/(f)$.

3.2.9. Proof of Proposition 3.2.6. We first prove that there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{GDiv} \simeq [\mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{G}_m]$. Note that we have a forgetful map $\operatorname{GDiv} \to \underline{\operatorname{Pic}}^{\simeq} = B\mathbf{G}_m$ taking a generalized divisor (\mathcal{L}, s) to the sheaf \mathcal{L} . We now consider the derived base change $U \to \operatorname{GDiv}$ of the canonical section $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z} \to B\mathbf{G}_m$ that takes a scheme T to the trivial line bundle \mathcal{O}_T . The derived fibred product U can be described as the sheaf $S \mapsto (\mathcal{L}, s, \varphi)$ where $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism. But $U \simeq \mathbf{A}^1$ via the identification $(\mathcal{L}, s, \varphi) \mapsto s \circ \varphi$. The resulting map $\mathbf{A}^1 \to \operatorname{GDiv}$ takes a section $f \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ to the generalized divisor (\mathcal{O}_S, f) and is a \mathbf{G}_m -torsor exhibiting GDiv as the stack quotient $[\mathbf{A}^1/\mathbf{G}_m]$.

We now construct the isomorphism (VDiv)^{op} \simeq GDiv. Given an affine derived scheme S and a virtual Cartier divisor $i: D \hookrightarrow S$, consider the induced homomorphism $i^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_S \to i_*(\mathcal{O}_D)$ and form its homotopy fibre \mathcal{F} , which is equipped with a canonical map $s: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}_S$. Note that \mathcal{F} is locally free of rank one, because Zariski-locally on S, the exact triangle $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}_S \to i_*(\mathcal{O}_D)$ takes the form $\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_S /\!\!/(f)$ for some $f \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. The assignment $(i: D \hookrightarrow S) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}, s)$ is clearly contravariantly functorial and can be regarded as a map of sheaves $p: (VDiv)^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{GDiv}$. Observe that the construction of 3.2.3 shows that this map is surjective on π_0 .

Let S be an affine derived scheme and let $D \hookrightarrow S$ and $D' \hookrightarrow S$ be virtual Cartier divisors. To show that p is invertible it will now suffice to show that the induced map

$$p_{D,D'}$$
: Maps_{VDiv(S)} $(D,D') \to Maps_{GDiv(S)}((\mathcal{L}',s'),(\mathcal{L},s))$

is invertible, where (\mathcal{L}, s) and (\mathcal{L}', s') are the associated generalized Cartier divisors. The target is the ∞ -groupoid of commutative squares of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}' & \stackrel{s'}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{O}_S \\ \downarrow^u & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{L} & \stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{O}_S \end{array}$$

where u is an isomorphism. Taking cofibres horizontally, this is tautologically equivalent to the ∞ -groupoid of \mathcal{O}_S -module isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{D'} \to \mathcal{O}_D$ compatible with the maps $\mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_D$ and $\mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{O}_{D'}$ (via a specified commutative triangle). By Lemma 3.2.8, this is identified with the ∞ -groupoid of \mathcal{O}_S -algebra isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{D'} \to \mathcal{O}_D$, which is the source of $p_{D,D'}$.

3.2.10. Let $i: D \hookrightarrow X$ be a virtual Cartier divisor. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$ the invertible sheaf on X determined by the exact triangle

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to i_*(\mathcal{O}_D)$$

as in the proof of Proposition 3.2.6 above.

4. Blow-ups

4.1. Construction and main properties.

4.1.1. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived schemes. For any derived scheme S and morphism $f: S \to X$, a virtual Cartier divisor on S lying over (X, Z) is the datum of a commutative square

$$D \xrightarrow{\iota_D} S$$

$$\downarrow^g \qquad \downarrow^f$$

$$Z \xrightarrow{i} X$$

$$(4.1.a)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) The morphism $i_D: D \to S$ exhibits D as a virtual Cartier divisor on S.
- (b) The underlying square of classical schemes is cartesian.
- (c) The canonical morphism

$$g^* \mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to \mathcal{N}_{D/S}$$
 (4.1.b)

is surjective (on π_0).

Example 4.1.2. Suppose that X, Z, and S are classical schemes. If the classical schematic fibre $f^{-1}(Z)$ is a classical Cartier divisor on S, then $f^{-1}(Z)$ also defines a virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z). Condition (c) follows from [SAG, Cor. 25.3.6.4], also see Remark 4.1.3(iii).

Remarks 4.1.3. Let S_Z denote the derived fibred product $S \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} Z$.

- (i) If the square (4.1.a) is homotopy cartesian, that is, if $D \simeq S_Z$, then the morphism (4.1.b) is an isomorphism. If *i* is of virtual codimension n > 1 then this is never the case.
- (ii) We can think of a virtual Cartier divisor on S lying over (X, Z) equivalently as a derived scheme D over S_Z such that (a) the induced morphism $i_D : D \to S_Z \hookrightarrow S$ is a virtual Cartier divisor; (b) the morphism $D \to S_Z$ induces an isomorphism $D_{cl} \simeq (S_Z)_{cl}$ on underlying classical schemes; and (c) the canonical morphism $h^* \mathcal{N}_{S_Z/S} \to \mathcal{N}_{D/S}$ is surjective, where $h : D \to S_Z$. This latter condition is equivalent to the relative cotangent complex \mathcal{L}_{D/S_Z} being 2-connective ($\pi_{\leq 1} = 0$).
- (iii) The closed immersion $h: D \to S_Z$ induces a map $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{S_Z} \to h_*\mathcal{O}_D$ which always is surjective on π_0 . Condition (b) is equivalent to $\pi_0(\phi)$ being an isomorphism. Since $\pi_1(L_{D/S_Z}) = \pi_0(\operatorname{Fib}(h^*\phi))$ by the connectivity properties of the Hurewicz map ϵ_{ϕ} [SAG, Prop. 25.3.6.1], we see that condition (c) is equivalent to the surjectivity of $\pi_1(h^*\phi)$. By Nakayama, this is equivalent to the surjectivity of $\pi_1(\phi)$ when $|D| = |S_Z|$, i.e., under condition (b). We conclude that (b)+(c) is equivalent to: $\pi_0(\phi)$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_1(\phi)$ is surjective.
- (iv) Let $\mathcal{I} = \operatorname{Fib}(\mathcal{O}_X \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$ be the homotopy fibre. Recall (see 3.2.10) that $\mathcal{O}_S(-D)$ is the invertible sheaf on S given as the fibre of $\mathcal{O}_S \to (i_D)_*(\mathcal{O}_D)$. The commutative square (4.1.a) induces a morphism $\psi : f^*\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}_S(-D)$. Since $\operatorname{Fib}(\psi)[1] \simeq \operatorname{Fib}(\phi)$ we conclude that (b)+(c) is equivalent to the surjectivity of ψ (on π_0).

4.1.4. The collection of virtual Cartier divisors on S lying over (X, Z) forms an ∞ -groupoid which we denote $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X(S \to X)$. Moreover, the construction is functorial and defines a presheaf of ∞ -groupoids

$$\operatorname{Bl}_Z X : (\operatorname{DSch}_X)^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Spc}$$

on the site of derived schemes over X. Indeed consider the presheaf $\mathcal{F}: (S \to X) \mapsto (\mathrm{DSch}_{\mathrm{aff}/S_Z})^{\simeq}$, which sends $S \to X$ to the ∞ -groupoid obtained by discarding noninvertible morphisms in the ∞ -category $\mathrm{DSch}_{\mathrm{aff}/S_Z}$ of affine morphisms $Y \to S_Z$, and note that $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ defines a sub-presheaf since the conditions (a), (b) and (c) are stable under derived base change. Since these conditions are also étale-local, and \mathcal{F} satisfies (hyper)descent for the étale topology, the presheaf $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ is also an étale (hyper)sheaf. In particular, it defines a derived stack $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ over X, which we call the *blow-up*; we denote by $\pi_{Z/X}$ the structural morphism $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X \to X$. The main properties of the construction $\mathrm{Bl}_Z X$ are summed up below:

Theorem 4.1.5. Let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived schemes and let $\mathcal{I} = \operatorname{Fib}(\mathcal{O}_X \to i_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$ be the homotopy fibre.

- (i) The derived stack $Bl_Z X$ is (representable by) a derived scheme.
- (ii) The construction $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to X$ commutes with arbitrary derived base change. That is, $(\operatorname{Bl}_Z X) \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} X' = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z \times_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{R}} X'} X'$ for every morphism $X' \to X$ of derived schemes.
- (iii) The construction $Bl_Z X \to X$ has covariant functoriality in X along quasi-smooth closed immersions. That is, for any quasi-smooth closed immersion $X \to Y$, there

is a canonical quasi-smooth closed immersion

$$\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z Y$$

of derived schemes over Y.

- (iv) There is a canonical closed immersion $E = \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ which exhibits the projectivized normal bundle as the universal virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z). There is also a canonical closed immersion $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \mathbf{P}_X(\mathfrak{I})$ and $\mathcal{O}(-E) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)|_{\operatorname{Bl}_Z X}$ is very ample.⁴
- (v) The structural morphism $\pi_{Z/X} : \operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to X$ is quasi-smooth, projective and induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \smallsetminus \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X \smallsetminus Z$.
- (vi) Suppose that X and Z are classical schemes. Then the derived scheme $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ is classical, and coincides with the classical blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_Z^{\operatorname{cl}} X$.
- (vii) In general, $(Bl_Z X)_{cl} = \mathbf{P}_{X_{cl}}^{cl}(\pi_0(\mathfrak{I}))$ where $\mathbf{P}^{cl}(-) = \operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{Sym}(-))$ is the homogeneous spectrum of the underived symmetric algebra.
- (viii) If i is a virtual Cartier divisor, then the morphism $\pi_{Z/X}$: $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to X$ is invertible.
- (ix) If $i = id_X$, then the blow-up $Bl_X X$ is empty.

The proof will be delayed a few pages (see Subsect. 4.3).

4.1.6. Theorem 4.1.5 admits the following immediate generalization. Given a closed immersion $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ of derived stacks, we say that i is quasi-smooth if, fpqc-locally on X, it is a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived schemes. Then the discussion above applies *mutatis mutandis* to define a derived stack $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$, classifying virtual Cartier divisors lying over (X, Z). Moreover, if X is an (*n*-geometric) derived Deligne–Mumford (resp. Artin) stack in the sense of [TV08], then the same is true of $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$.

4.1.7. The following universal property for the classical blow-up $\text{Bl}_Z^{\text{cl}}X$ follows from part (vi) and the definition of $\text{Bl}_Z X$:

Corollary 4.1.8. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a regular closed immersion between classical schemes. For any classical scheme S over X, the set of X-morphisms $S \to Bl_Z^{cl}X$ is in bijection with the set of virtual Cartier divisors on S lying over (X, Z).

Remark 4.1.9. In the situation of the Corollary, assertion (vi) in the Theorem implies that for any classical scheme $S \to X$, the ∞ -groupoid $\text{Bl}_Z X(S \to X)$ of virtual Cartier divisors on S lying over (X, Z) is discrete (i.e., can indeed be identified with a set).

4.1.10. Suppose that X = Spec(A) is a classical noetherian affine scheme, Z = Spec(A/I) is a closed subscheme, and f_1, \ldots, f_n are generators of the ideal I. In this situation the authors of [KST18] consider the derived scheme

$$X \underset{\mathbf{A}^n}{\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\times}} \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}^{\operatorname{cl}} \mathbf{A}^n,$$

where $f : X \to \mathbf{A}^n$ corresponds to the elements f_i . Parts (ii) and (vi) of the Theorem above show that this derived scheme is nothing else than the blow-up

⁴Given a connective quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on a derived stack Y, $\mathbf{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the derived stack over Y defined as follows. For any derived scheme S and any S-point $s : S \to Y$, the S-points of $\mathbf{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ are pairs (\mathcal{L}, u) where \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf on S and u is an \mathcal{O}_S -linear morphism $s^*\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{L}$ which is surjective (on π_0).

of Spec(A) in the quasi-smooth derived subscheme $\tilde{Z} = \text{Spec}(A/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n))$. In particular it follows that the construction of *op. cit.* is intrinsic not to the elements f_i , but only to their derived zero-locus \tilde{Z} .

4.1.11. Let X be a classical scheme and Z a finitely presented closed subscheme. Although the ideal \mathfrak{I} defining Z is not always globally generated, we can often find a vector bundle \mathcal{E} and a surjection $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathfrak{I}$. This is for example the case when X is quasi-projective or, more generally, has the resolution property. The data (\mathcal{E}, φ) endows Z with a quasi-smooth derived structure W as follows. The induced morphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathfrak{I} \to \mathfrak{O}_X$ corresponds to a section s of the vector bundle $E = \mathbf{V}_X(\mathcal{E}) = \operatorname{Spec}_X(\operatorname{Sym}_{\mathfrak{O}_X}(\mathcal{E}))$. Consider the derived fibred product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow^{s} \\ X & \stackrel{0}{\longrightarrow} E. \end{array}$$

Then $W \to X$ is a quasi-smooth closed immersion and $W_{cl} = Z$. Note that the classical blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_Z^{cl} X$ need not equal the underlying classical scheme of the derived blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_W X$ but is always the schematic closure of $X \setminus Z$ in $\operatorname{Bl}_X E \times_E X = (\operatorname{Bl}_W X)_{cl}$.

4.1.12. An immediate application of Theorem 4.1.5 is the existence of a deformation to the normal bundle, for any quasi-smooth closed immersion. This was first constructed by Verdier [Ver76] for regular closed immersions of classical schemes. The construction gives a deformation of any quasi-smooth closed immersion $Z \hookrightarrow X$ to the zero-section $Z \hookrightarrow N_{Z/X}$ of its normal bundle $N_{Z/X} = \text{Spec}_Z(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(N_{Z/X}))$.

Theorem 4.1.13. Let $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived stacks. Then there exists a canonical factorization of $i \times id$, the deformation to the normal bundle:

$$i \times \mathrm{id} : Z \times \mathbf{A}^1 \stackrel{\mathcal{I}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{D}_{Z/X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X \times \mathbf{A}^1$$

satisfying the following properties

- (i) The factorization is stable under arbitrary derived base change along X.
- (ii) *j* is a quasi-smooth closed immersion.
- (iii) π is quasi-smooth and quasi-projective.
- (iv) Restricting to $\mathbf{G}_m = \mathbf{A}^1 \smallsetminus \{0\}$ we obtain

$$i \times \mathrm{id} : Z \times \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{j_{\mathrm{gen}}} X \times \mathbf{G}_m \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathrm{gen}}} X \times \mathbf{G}_m$$

where $j_{\text{gen}} = i \times \text{id}$ and $\pi_{\text{gen}} = \text{id}$.

(v) Restricting to $\{0\}$ we obtain

$$i: Z \xrightarrow{j_0} \mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} X$$

where j_0 is the zero-section and π_0 the composition of the projection $N_{Z/X} \to Z$ and $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$.

In particular, we have a virtual Cartier divisor $N_{Z/X} \hookrightarrow D_{Z/X}$.

Proof. We begin by considering the following *projective* variant:

$$\overline{\pi}: \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{Z/X} = \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \times \{0\}}(X \times \mathbf{A}^1) \to X \times \mathbf{A}^1.$$

We have a quasi-smooth closed immersion

$$\overline{j}: Z \times \mathbf{A}^1 = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z \times \{0\}}(Z \times \mathbf{A}^1) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_{Z \times \{0\}}(X \times \mathbf{A}^1) = \overline{\operatorname{D}}_{Z/X}$$

by Theorem 4.1.5 (iii) and (viii). Since

$$Z \times \{0\} = (Z \times \mathbf{A}^1) \times_{X \times \mathbf{A}^1} (X \times \{0\})$$

we have that the intersection of $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z\times\{0\}}(Z\times \mathbf{A}^1)$ and $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z\times\{0\}}(X\times\{0\})$ inside $\overline{\operatorname{D}}_{Z/X}$ is $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z\times\{0\}}(Z\times\{0\}) = \emptyset$. We thus define

$$\mathbf{D}_{Z/X} = \overline{\mathbf{D}}_{Z/X} \smallsetminus \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \times \{0\}}(X \times \{0\})$$

and let j and π be the restrictions of \overline{j} and $\overline{\pi}$. It remains to prove (v). The complement of $X \times \mathbf{G}_m$ in $\mathbf{D}_{Z/X}$ is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $X \times \mathbf{A}^1$ minus the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $X \times \{0\}$, that is:

$$D_{Z/X} \smallsetminus (X \times \mathbf{G}_m) = \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z \times \{0\}/X \times \mathbf{A}^1}) \smallsetminus \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X}).$$

As $\mathcal{N}_{Z \times \{0\}/X \times \mathbf{A}^1}$ splits as the direct sum $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z$, this is $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$. The fact that this is the fibre over $\{0\}$, i.e., that the induced morphism $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to \mathcal{D}_{Z/X} \times_{\mathbf{A}^1}^{\mathbf{R}} \{0\}$ is invertible, can be checked locally on X. Since the morphism is stable under derived base change (Theorem 4.1.5 (ii)), it suffices to consider the case of the inclusion of the origin in affine space, which is clear. \Box

Remark 4.1.14. One can give an even simpler description of the deformation space $D_{Z/X}$: it is the (derived) Weil restriction of Z along the inclusion $X = X \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{A}^1$. This latter construction makes sense for any quasi-smooth morphism (not necessarily a closed immersion) and can be used to give an intrinsic construction of virtual Gysin maps and virtual fundamental classes in the Chow groups or generalized cohomology theories. See [Kha19, Thm. 1.3], [HKR].

4.2. A special case. In this subsection we will study the special case of the quasi-smooth closed immersion $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$, $n \ge 1$. We will identify \mathbf{A}^n with $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n])$ and write $Y := \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n$ for convenience.

4.2.1. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $A_k = \mathbf{Z}[T_1/T_k, \dots, T_n/T_k, T_k]$. The commutative squares



define virtual Cartier divisors lying over $(\mathbf{A}^n, \{0\})$, which are classified by canonical morphisms $\operatorname{Spec}(A_k) \to Y$.

Lemma 4.2.2.

- (i) For each 1 ≤ k ≤ n, the morphism Spec(A_k) → Y is a monomorphism. That is, its homotopy fibres are empty or contractible.
- (ii) The induced morphism $\coprod_k \operatorname{Spec}(A_k) \to Y$ is an effective epimorphism. In other words, the family $\left(\operatorname{Spec}(A_k) \hookrightarrow Y\right)_k$ defines a Zariski atlas for the derived stack Y.
- (iii) The derived stack Y is a classical scheme. Moreover, it is isomorphic to the classical blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}^{\operatorname{cl}} \mathbf{A}^n$.

4.2.3. Proof of Lemma 4.2.2(i). Let S = Spec(R) be an affine derived scheme and $f: S \to \mathbf{A}^n$ a morphism corresponding to points $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$. It suffices to show that the induced map of spaces

$$\theta$$
 : Maps_{/**A**ⁿ}(S, Spec(A_k)) \rightarrow Y(S \rightarrow **A**ⁿ)

is a monomorphism. Set $A := \operatorname{Maps}_{/\mathbf{A}^n}(S, \operatorname{Spec}(A_k))$ and $B := Y(S \to \mathbf{A}^n)$. We will view A and B as ∞ -groupoids and show that θ is fully faithful.

First observe that the source A can be described as

$$A = \operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{DCRing}_{\mathbf{Z}[T_1,\ldots,T_n]}}(A_k, R) \simeq \prod_{r \neq k} \operatorname{Fib}_{f_r}(R \xrightarrow{f_k} R),$$

since $A_k = \mathbf{Z}[T_1/T_k, \ldots, T_n/T_k, T_k]$ is free, as a derived commutative $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ algebra, on generators $X_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_k, \ldots, X_n$ with relations $T_k X_r - T_r, r \neq k$ (because the sequence $(T_k X_r - T_r)_{r \neq k}$ is regular, see the proof of [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Prop. 1.8(ii)]). Thus its objects can be identified with tuples $(a_r, \alpha_r)_{r \neq k}$, where $a_r \in R$ are points and $\alpha_r : f_k a_r \simeq f_r$ are paths in (the underlying space of) R.

The target B is by definition a sub- ∞ -groupoid of $(\text{DSch}_{S\times_{\mathbf{A}n}^{\mathbf{R}}\{0\}})^{\simeq}$, that is, $(\text{DCRing}_{R/\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n)})^{\simeq}$, where the notation $(-)^{\simeq}$ means that we take the sub- ∞ -groupoid of the ∞ -category consisting of only invertible morphisms. Thus its objects are morphisms $R/\!/(f_1,\ldots,f_n) \to R'$, where R' is a derived commutative ring. These are equivalently R-algebras R' equipped with paths $f_j \simeq 0$ in R', $1 \leq j \leq n$.

The map $\theta: A \to B$ sends an object $a = (a_r, \alpha_r)_{r \neq k} \in A$ to the object $\theta(a) \in B$ given by the *R*-algebra $R/\!/(f_k)$ together with certain paths $\theta(a)_j: f_j \simeq 0$ in $R/\!/(f_k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. The path $\theta(a)_k$ is the "tautological" path and the other paths $\theta(a)_r$, $r \neq k$, are induced by composing α_r^{-1} with $\theta(a)_k a_r$. Let a' be another object of A and $\theta(a')$ its image in B. The space of paths $\theta(a) \simeq \theta(a')$ can be described as follows:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Maps}_{B}(\theta(a), \theta(a')) &= \operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{DCRing}_{R/\!/(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n})}}(R/\!/(f_{k}), R/\!/(f_{k})) \\ &= \operatorname{Fib}_{\theta(a')} \left(\operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{DCRing}_{R}}(R/\!/(f_{k}), R/\!/(f_{k})) \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{\theta(a)^{*}} \operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{DCRing}_{R}}(R/\!/(f_{1}, \dots, f_{n}), R/\!/(f_{k})) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Fib}_{(\theta(a')_{j})_{j}} \left(\operatorname{Maps}_{R/\!/(f_{k})}(f_{k}, 0) \to \prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{Maps}_{R/\!/(f_{k})}(f_{j}, 0) \right) \\ &= \prod_{r \neq k} \operatorname{Maps}_{R/\!/(f_{k})}(\theta(a)_{r}, \theta(a')_{r}). \end{split}$$

Under the above identifications the map $Maps_A(a, a') \to Maps_B(\theta(a), \theta(a'))$ is identified with the canonical map

$$\prod_{r \neq k} \operatorname{Maps}_{\operatorname{Fib}_{f_r}(R \xrightarrow{f_k} R)}((a_r, \alpha_r), (a'_r, \alpha'_r)) \to \prod_{r \neq k} \operatorname{Maps}_{R /\!\!/ (f_k)}(\theta(a)_r, \theta(a')_r)$$

which is invertible by Lemma 3.1.5.

4.2.4. Proof of Lemma 4.2.2(ii). Let S be a derived scheme, $f : S \to \mathbf{A}^n$ a morphism, and D a virtual Cartier divisor on S lying over $(\mathbf{A}^n, \{0\})$. The claim is that Zariski-locally on S, D fits into a cartesian square



for some k. We can assume that S is affine, say $S = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ for some $R \in \operatorname{DCRing}$, so that f corresponds to points $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R$. The fact that D lies over $(\mathbf{A}^n, \{0\})$ then implies that locally, the conormal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{D/S}$ has a basis given by df_k for some k. It follows that the induced morphism $D \to \operatorname{Spec}(R/\!\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R/\!\!/(f_k))$ is invertible, arguing as in the end of the proof of Proposition 2.3.8.

4.2.5. Proof of Lemma 4.2.2(iii). Assertions (i) and (ii) provide a Zariski cover for Y by the standard affine cover of $Bl_{\{0\}}^{cl} \mathbf{A}^n$, so the claim follows.

Remark 4.2.6. Once one knows that Y is classical scheme, it is easy to check directly that Y satisfies the classical universal property of $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}^{\operatorname{cl}} \mathbf{A}^n$. That is, suppose S is a classical scheme and $f: S \to \mathbf{A}^n$ is a morphism. If the classical schematic fibre $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a classical Cartier divisor on S, then it lies over $(\mathbf{A}^n, \{0\})$ as a virtual Cartier divisor, and is moreover the *unique* such; in particular, there exists a unique morphism $S \to Y$ over \mathbf{A}^n .

4.3. Proof of the main theorem (Theorem 4.1.5).

4.3.1. Proof of (ii). Let $i: Z \to X$ be a quasi-smooth closed immersion of derived schemes, and $i': Z' \to X'$ its derived base change along a morphism $p: X' \to X$. Given a derived scheme S' over X', any virtual Cartier divisor D' on S' lying over (X', Z') also lies over (X, Z). In particular there is a canonical morphism $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'}X' \to \operatorname{Bl}_ZX \times_X^{\mathbf{R}}X'$. We prove the following more precise formulation of the statement:

Claim 4.3.2. The canonical morphism of derived stacks $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'}X' \to \operatorname{Bl}_ZX \times_X^{\mathbf{R}}X'$ is invertible.

Proof. Use the description mentioned in Remark 4.1.3(ii): for any $S' \to X'$, the ∞-groupoids $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'}X'(S' \to X')$ and $(\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} X')(S' \to X')$ both define the same full sub-∞-groupoid of $(\operatorname{DSch}_{/S' \times_{X'}^{\mathbf{R}} Z'})^{\simeq} = (\operatorname{DSch}_{/S' \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} Z})^{\simeq}$. \Box

4.3.3. Proof of (i). It suffices to show this Zariski-locally on the base X, so we can assume that $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a derived base change of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$. Derived fibred products of derived schemes are representable, so by Theorem 4.1.5(ii) (proven in 4.3.1 above) we can reduce to the special case considered in Lemma 4.2.2.

4.3.4. Proof of (iv) and (vii). Let E denote the "universal virtual Cartier divisor" lying over (X, Z), classified by the identity morphism $\text{Bl}_Z X \to \text{Bl}_Z X$. This is a derived scheme E equipped with a canonical morphism $\tau : E \to Z$, a canonical locally

free sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}^{\text{univ}} := \mathcal{N}_{E/\text{Bl}_Z X}$ of rank 1, and a canonical surjection $\tau^* \mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to \mathcal{L}_{Z/X}^{\text{univ}}$. This data is classified by a canonical morphism

$$E \to \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})$$

of derived schemes over Z. Similarly, the canonical surjection $\pi^*_{X/Z} \mathfrak{I} \to \mathfrak{O}(-E)$ (see Remark 4.1.3(iv)) is classified by a canonical morphism

$$\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \mathbf{P}_X(\mathfrak{I}).$$

Claim 4.3.5. The morphism $E \to \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X})$ is invertible and the morphism $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \mathbf{P}_X(\mathfrak{I})$ is a closed immersion which is an isomorphism on classical truncations. In particular, there is a canonical closed immersion $\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ which exhibits the projectivized normal bundle as the universal virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z).

Proof. The assertions are local and stable under derived base change, so we reduce to the case of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$. Then we can apply the well-known universal property of the classical blow-up (Remark 4.2.6): since the classical fibred product $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n \times_{\mathbf{A}^n}\{0\}$ is the classical effective Cartier divisor $\mathbf{P}_{\{0\}}(\mathcal{N}_{\{0\}/\mathbf{A}^n})$, the conclusion is that there is a unique virtual Cartier divisor $\mathbf{P}_{\{0\}}(\mathcal{N}_{\{0\}/\mathbf{A}^n}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n$ lying over $(\mathbf{A}^n, \{0\})$, classified by the identity of $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n$. This gives the first assertion. The second assertion is that $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n \to \operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{Sym} I)$ is an isomorphism of classical schemes where $I = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n) \subset \mathbf{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$. But I is regular so $\operatorname{Sym}(I)$ coincides with the Rees algebra R(I) [Mic64, Ch. 1, Thm. 1]. The result follows. □

Remark 4.3.6. The closed immersion $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \mathbf{P}_X(\mathfrak{I})$ is an isomorphism if and only if the virtual codimension of $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is at most 2. Indeed, it is enough to consider the situation of $Z = \{0\}$ in $X = \mathbf{A}^n$ so $I = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n)$. Then $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X = \operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{Sym}(I))$ and $\mathbf{P}_X(\mathfrak{I}) = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbf{L}\operatorname{Sym}(I))$. An explicit calculation shows that $\mathbf{L}\operatorname{Sym}(I) = \operatorname{Sym}(I)[0]$ when $n \leq 2$. One also calculates that the cotangent complex of the special fibre of $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathbf{L}\operatorname{Sym}(I))$ is perfect of Tor-amplitude [0, n - 1]so $\operatorname{Proj}(\mathbf{L}\operatorname{Sym}(I)) \to X$ is not quasi-smooth when $n \geq 3$, hence cannot equal the quasi-smooth morphism $\operatorname{Proj}(\operatorname{Sym}(I)) \to X$.

4.3.7. Proof of (v). We have already seen that $\pi_{Z/X}$ is projective. The other properties are Zariski-local on the target and stable under arbitrary derived base change, so we again reduce to the case of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$. Then these are well-known properties of the classical blow-up. In fact, the projection $\mathrm{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n \to \mathbf{A}^n$ factors through a regular closed immersion $\mathrm{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}^n}^{n-1}$, see [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Prop. 1.8(ii)].

4.3.8. Proof of (vi). Suppose that X and Z are classical schemes. To show that $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ is classical, we can assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and $Z = \operatorname{Spec}(R/\!\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n)) = \operatorname{Spec}(R/\!/(f_1, \ldots, f_n))$, where R is a commutative ring and (f_1, \ldots, f_n) is a regular sequence. Then by Theorem 4.1.5(ii) and Lemma 4.2.2, the derived scheme $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ admits a Zariski cover by the schemes

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) \underset{\mathbf{A}^n}{\overset{\mathbf{R}}{\underset{\mathbf{A}^n}}} \operatorname{Spec}(A_k) = \operatorname{Spec}(R[X_1, \dots, \hat{X_k}, \dots, X_n] /\!\!/ (X_r f_k - f_r)_{r \neq k}),$$

where $A_k = \mathbf{Z}[T_1/T_k, \dots, T_n/T_k, T_k]$ as in Subsect. 4.2. Thus the claim follows from the fact that the sequence $(X_r f_k - f_r)_{r \neq k}$ is regular (see the proof of [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Prop. 1.8(ii)]).

To show that $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ moreover coincides with $\operatorname{Bl}_Z^{\operatorname{cl}} X$, we can assume $Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a derived base change of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$ along some morphism $f: X \to \mathbf{A}^n$. Then we have canonical isomorphisms $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbf{A}^n \times_{\mathbf{A}^n}^{\mathbf{A}} X \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbf{A}^n \times_{\mathbf{A}^n}^{\mathbf{A}} X$ by Theorem 4.1.5(ii) and the first part of (vi). On the other hand the classical blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_Z^{cl} X$ is the classical base change $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}^{cl} \mathbf{A}^n \times_{\mathbf{A}^n} X$ by [SGA 6, Exp. VII, Prop. 1.8(i)]. Therefore the claim follows from the special case of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$ (Lemma 4.2.2).

4.3.9. Proof of (viii). Suppose that $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is of virtual codimension 1. Then given a derived scheme S over X, a virtual Cartier divisor $D \hookrightarrow S$ lies over (X, Z)if and only if the square (4.1.a) is homotopy cartesian. Indeed, if the canonical morphism (4.1.b) is surjective then it is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{L}_{D/S_Z} = 0$ so $D \to S_Z$ is an isomorphism. In other words, (X, Z) is in this case itself the universal virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z).

4.3.10. Proof of (ix). Suppose that i is the identity of X. Then given a derived scheme S over X, a virtual Cartier divisor $D \hookrightarrow S$ lies over (X, X) if and only if $S = D = \emptyset$. Indeed, the surjectivity of (4.1.b) implies that $D = \emptyset$ and hence that $S = \emptyset$.

4.3.11. Proof of (iii). The existence of the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z Y$ follows from the observation that any virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z) also lies over (Y, Z). In other words, this morphism is classified by the commutative square



viewed as a virtual Cartier divisor lying over (Z, Y). That $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z Y$ is a quasismooth closed immersion can be checked locally on Y. We can thus assume that $Z \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a derived base change of $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$. Then $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z Y$ is a derived base change of $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbf{A}^r \to \operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbf{A}^n$ which is a closed immersion between smooth schemes, hence quasi-smooth.

5. SIMULTANEOUS BLOW-UP IN MULTIPLE CENTRES

5.1. We begin by reviewing the traditional approach to blowing up several centres on classical schemes.

5.1.1. Let X be a smooth scheme and let Z_1 and Z_2 be smooth closed subschemes. In many situations, one wants to blow-up both Z_1 and Z_2 . More precisely, one wants a smooth scheme dominating both $Bl_{Z_1}X$ and $Bl_{Z_2}X$. Consider the following three blow-up procedures:

- (a) First blow-up Z_1 , then blow-up the strict transform of Z_2 .
- (b) First blow-up Z_2 , then blow-up the strict transform of Z_1 .
- (c) First blow-up $Z_1 \cap Z_2$, then blow-up the strict transform of $Z_1 \cup Z_2$ which is now the disjoint union of the strict transforms of Z_1 and Z_2 .

For these blow-ups to be smooth, one needs $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ to be smooth which can be done using embedded resolution of singularities in characteristic zero.

Let X_a , X_b and X_c be the results of these three procedures. In general, the X_a and X_b are non-isomorphic and do not dominate both $\text{Bl}_{Z_1}X$ and $\text{Bl}_{Z_2}X$ whereas X_c dominates X_a , X_b , $\text{Bl}_{Z_1}X$ and $\text{Bl}_{Z_2}X$.

5.1.2. When $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ is smooth, then the following are equivalent.

- (i) $X_a = X_b$.
- (ii) Z_1 and Z_2 meet transversely, that is, $\operatorname{codim}(Z_1, X) + \operatorname{codim}(Z_2, X) = \operatorname{codim}(Z_1 \cap Z_2, X)$.
- (iii) $Z_1 \to X$ and $Z_2 \to X$ are Tor-independent, that is, the derived intersection $Z_1 \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} Z_2$ is a classical scheme.

When these conditions hold, then $X_a = X_b = \text{Bl}_{Z_1}X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \text{Bl}_{Z_2}X = \text{Bl}_{Z_1}X \times_X \text{Bl}_{Z_2}X$.

5.1.3. In derived algebraic geometry, it is not a problem if $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ is singular or if the intersection is not transversal. Given a quasi-smooth derived scheme X and two quasi-smooth closed immersions $Z_1 \hookrightarrow X$ and $Z_2 \hookrightarrow X$ the derived fibred product $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1}X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_{Z_2}X$ is a quasi-smooth derived scheme that dominates both $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1}X$ and $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_2}X$.

The goal of this section is a construction where the simultaneous blow-up $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1}X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_{Z_2}X$ of Z_1 and Z_2 is described as the blow-up of X in $Z_1 \amalg Z_2$.

Example 5.1.4. If $Z_1 \to X$ and $Z_2 \to X$ are Tor-independent, then so are $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1}X \to X$ and $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_2}X \to X$, that is, the derived fibred product $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1}X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_{Z_2}X$ is a classical scheme. The converse is not true: $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^2 \to \mathbf{A}^2$ is Tor-independent along itself but $\{0\} \to \mathbf{A}^2$ is not Tor-independent along itself. This is a low-dimensional phenomenon though: $\operatorname{Bl}_{\{0\}}\mathbf{A}^n \to \mathbf{A}^n$ is not Tor-independent along itself for $n \ge 3$.

5.2. Local regular immersions.

5.2.1. Let $i: Z \to X$ be a morphism of derived schemes. We say that i is unramified if i is locally of finite type and the relative cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}$ is 1-connective (i.e., $\pi_i(\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}) = 0$ for i < 1). This is equivalent to requiring that the underlying morphism of classical schemes is unramified (in the sense that $i_{cl}: Z_{cl} \to X_{cl}$ is locally of finite type, and $\Omega_{Z_{cl}/X_{cl}} = \pi_0(\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}) = 0$). Equivalently, i factors Zariski-locally on Z as a closed immersion followed by an étale morphism [EGA IV₄, Cor. 18.4.7]. In the category of derived algebraic spaces, such a factorization exists globally [Ryd11].

Example 5.2.2. A morphism of derived schemes is *finite* if the underlying morphism of classical schemes is finite. It follows from [EGA IV₄, Cor. 18.4.7] that a morphism $i : Z \to X$ is finite and unramified if and only if, étale-locally on X, i is a finite disjoint union of closed immersions.

5.2.3. When $i: Z \to X$ is quasi-smooth, it is unramified if and only if it factors Zariski-locally on Z as a *quasi-smooth* closed immersion followed by an étale morphism.⁵ Alternatively, we have the following characterization, analogous to that of quasi-smooth closed immersions (Proposition 2.3.8).

Proposition 5.2.4. Let $i : Z \to X$ be a morphism of derived schemes. Then *i* is quasi-smooth and unramified if and only if it is locally of finite presentation and the shifted cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X}[-1]$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_Z -module of finite rank.

Proof. We note that both conditions imply that i is unramified. Locally on Z, we can thus find a factorization $Z \hookrightarrow X' \to X$ with $X' \to X$ étale and $Z \hookrightarrow X'$ a

⁵Note that, given morphisms $X \to Y \to S$ with $Y \to S$ smooth, $X \to Y$ is quasi-smooth if and only if $X \to S$ is quasi-smooth.

closed immersion. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{Z/X} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{Z/X'}$, so the result follows from Proposition 2.3.8.

In analogy with the case of quasi-smooth immersions, we let $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X} = \mathcal{L}_{Z/X}[-1]$ and take this as the definition of the *conormal sheaf* of a quasi-smooth unramified morphism. The *virtual codimension* of $Z \to X$ is the rank of $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$.

5.2.5. Let X be a derived scheme. A local virtual Cartier divisor on X is a derived scheme D together with a quasi-smooth unramified morphism $i_D : D \to X$ of virtual codimension 1. Thus Zariski-locally on D and étale-locally on X, a local virtual Cartier divisor is a virtual Cartier divisor.

5.3. Derived blow-ups in unramified centres.

5.3.1. Let $i: Z \to X$ be a quasi-smooth finite unramified morphism of derived schemes. For any derived scheme S and morphism $f: S \to X$, a virtual Cartier divisor on S lying over (X, Z) is the datum of a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \stackrel{i_D}{\longrightarrow} S \\ \downarrow^g & & \downarrow^f \\ Z & \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} X \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) The morphism $i_D : D \to S$ exhibits D as a local virtual Cartier divisor on S.
- (b) The underlying square of classical schemes is cartesian.
- (c) The canonical morphism

$$g^* \mathcal{N}_{Z/X} \to \mathcal{N}_{D/S}$$

is surjective (on π_0).

Note that the second condition implies that $D \to S$ is finite. It also implies that if $Z \to X$ is a closed immersion, then so is $D \to S$. Thus in that case, the ∞ -groupoid of virtual Cartier divisors on S over (X, Z) and the ∞ -groupoid of local virtual Cartier divisors on S over (X, Z) coincide.

5.3.2. As in 4.1.4, we obtain a presheaf of ∞ -groupoids

 $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X : (\operatorname{DSch}_{/X})^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Spc}$

where $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X(S \to X)$ is the ∞ -groupoid of local virtual Cartier divisors over (X, Z). Note that if $Z \to X$ is a quasi-smooth closed immersion, then this definition agrees with the one in 4.1.4.

Theorem 5.3.3. Let $Z \to X$ be a quasi-smooth finite unramified morphism of derived schemes.

- (i) The derived stack $Bl_Z X$ is (representable by) a derived algebraic space.
- (ii) The construction $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to X$ commutes with arbitrary derived base change, that is, $(\operatorname{Bl}_Z X) \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} X' = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z \times_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{R}} X'} X'$ for every morphism $X' \to X$ of derived schemes.
- (iii) The diagonal $\Delta : Z \to Z \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} Z$ is a closed immersion (with open image). Let $W = Z \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} Z \setminus Z$ be its open and closed complement: it is quasi-smooth finite and unramified over Z. There is a canonical finite unramified morphism

 $\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X}) \times_Z^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_W Z \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ which is the universal local virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z).

- (iv) The structural morphism $\pi_{Z/X}$: $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to X$ is proper and quasi-smooth.
- (v) When $Z = Z_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg Z_n$, then $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z_1} X \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \ldots \times_X^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_{Z_n} X$.
- (vi) If $g: X \to Y$ is an étale morphism such that $Z \to X \to Y$ is finite, then $Bl_Z Y$ is the Weil restriction $g_*Bl_Z X$.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.3.3.

5.4.1. Proof of (ii). This is proven exactly as Theorem 4.1.5(ii), see 4.3.1.

5.4.2. Proof of (v). If $Z = Z_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg Z_n$ is a disjoint union and D is a local virtual Cartier divisor on T lying over (X, Z), then $D = D_1 \amalg \cdots \amalg D_n$ where $D_i = g^{-1}(Z_i)$ is a local virtual Cartier divisor lying over (X, Z_i) . The result follows.

5.4.3. Proof of (i) and (iv). For a morphism $p: X' \to X$, let $i': Z' \to X'$ denote the derived base change of i along p. It is enough to show that $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X \times_X X' = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z'} X'$ is a derived scheme which is proper and quasi-smooth over X' for some étale surjection p. Since i is finite, we can find an étale surjection p such that $Z' \to X'$ is a disjoint union of closed immersions $i'_k: Z'_k \to X'$, see 5.2.2. From (v) and Theorem 4.1.5, we see that $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'} X' = \operatorname{Bl}_{Z'_1} X' \times_{X'}^{\mathbf{R}} \ldots \times_{X'}^{\mathbf{R}} \operatorname{Bl}_{Z'_n} X'$ is a derived scheme, quasi-smooth and proper over X'.

5.4.4. Proof of (iii). We pick an étale presentation as before. It is enough to prove that the universal local virtual Cartier divisor of $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'}X'$ is as stated. The universal local virtual Cartier divisor of $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'}X'$ over Z'_k is the derived fibred product over X' of $\mathbf{P}_{Z'_k}(\mathbb{N}_{Z'_k/X'})$ and the $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'_\ell}X'$ for all $\ell \neq k$. This fibred product is isomorphic to the derived fibred product over Z'_k of $\mathbf{P}_{Z'_k}(\mathbb{N}_{Z'_k/X'})$ and the $\operatorname{Bl}_{Z'_\ell \times \mathbf{R}^*_K, Z'_k}Z'_k$ for all $\ell \neq k$. The latter is $\operatorname{Bl}_{W'}Z'_k$ where $W' = \coprod_{\ell \neq k} (Z'_\ell \times \mathbf{R}^*_K, Z'_k) = W \times \mathbf{R}^*_X X'$. The universal local virtual Cartier divisor of $\operatorname{Bl}_Z X$ is thus the derived fibred product over Z of $\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{N}_{Z/X})$ and $\operatorname{Bl}_W Z$.

5.4.5. Proof of (vi). Let D be a local virtual Cartier divisor on T over (Z, Y). Then $D \to T$ factors as $D \to X \times_Y T \to T$. Since $g: X \to Y$ is étale, $D \to X \times_Y T$ is also a local virtual Cartier divisor. This gives a map $\operatorname{Bl}_Z Y \to g_* \operatorname{Bl}_Z X$. Conversely, if D is a local virtual Cartier divisor on $X \times_Y T$ over (Z, X), then the composite $D \to X \times_Y T \to T$ is a local virtual Cartier divisor over (Z, Y). This gives a map $g_* \operatorname{Bl}_Z X \to \operatorname{Bl}_Z Y$ which is inverse to the previous map.

References

- [AG15] D. Arinkin and D. Gaitsgory. Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture. Sel. Math., New Ser., 21(1):1–199, 2015.
- [Ann21a] Toni Annala. Bivariant derived algebraic cobordism. J. Algebr. Geom., 30(2):205–252, 2021.
- [Ann21b] Toni Annala. Precobordism and cobordism. Algebra Number Theory, 15(10):2571–2646, 2021.
- [Ann23] Toni Annala. Algebraic Spivak's theorem and applications. Geom. Topol., 27(1):351–396, 2023.
- [SGA 6] Pierre Berthelot, Alexandre Grothendieck, and Luc Illusie. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie - 1966-67 - Théorie des intersections et théorème de Riemann-Roch - (SGA 6), volume 225. Springer-Verlag, 1971.

- [BKRS22] Tom Bachmann, Adeel A. Khan, Charanya Ravi, and Vladimir Sosnilo. Categorical Milnor squares and K-theory of algebraic stacks. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 28(5):Paper No. 85, 72, 2022.
- [BO21] Daniel Bragg and Martin Olsson. Representability of cohomology of finite flat abelian group schemes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.11492*, 2021.
- [ČS24] Kestutis Česnavičius and Peter Scholze. Purity for flat cohomology. Ann. Math. (2), 199(1):51–180, 2024.
- [EGA IV4] Alexandre Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie, volume 32. 1967.
- [Hek21] Jeroen Hekking. Graded algebras, projective spectra and blow-ups in derived algebraic geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.01270*, 2021.
- [HKR] Jeroen Hekking, Adeel A. Khan, and David Rydh. Deformation to the normal cone and blow-ups via derived Weil restrictions. In preparation.
- [III15] Luc Illusie. From Pierre Deligne's secret garden : looking back at some of his letters. Jpn. J. Math, 10(2):237–248, 2015.
- [Kha19] Adeel A. Khan. Virtual fundamental classes of derived stacks I. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01332, 2019.
- [Kha20] Adeel A. Khan. Algebraic K-theory of quasi-smooth blow-ups and cdh descent. Ann. H. Lebesgue, 3:1091–1116, 2020.
- [Kha22] Adeel A. Khan. K-theory and G-theory of derived algebraic stacks. Jpn. J. Math., 17(1):1–61, 2022.
- [KST18] Moritz Kerz, Florian Strunk, and Georg Tamme. Algebraic K-theory and descent for blow-ups. Invent. Math., 211(2):523–577, 2018.
- [SAG] Jacob Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. Preprint, available at www.math.harvard. edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf. Version of 2018-02-03.
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Mic64] Artibano Micali. Sur les algèbres universelles. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 14(fasc. 2):33–87, 1964.
- [Ols16] Martin Olsson. Algebraic spaces and stacks, volume 62. American Mathematical Soc., 2016.
- [Ryd11] David Rydh. The canonical embedding of an unramified morphism in an étale morphism. Math. Z., 268(3-4):707–723, 2011.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu.
- [TV08] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi. Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 193(902):x+224, 2008.
- [Ver76] Jean-Louis Verdier. Le théorème de Riemann-Roch pour les intersections complètes. In Séminaire de géométrie analytique (École Norm. Sup., Paris, 1974–75), pages 189–228. Astérisque, No. 36–37. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 106319, TAIWAN

Email address: adeelkhan@gate.sinica.edu.tw

KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SE-100 44 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN

Email address: dary@math.kth.se