

$$\text{SCRing} \cong \text{Fun}_{\Pi, \text{fin}}((\text{Poly})^{\text{op}}, \text{Spc})$$

" $\Delta^{\text{op}} \text{Set}$ "

$R: (\text{Poly})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Spc}$ sending finite coproducts in Poly to products of spaces.

Poly = full subcategory of CRing spanned by $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], n \geq 0$.

Prop: \mathcal{E} ∞ -cat admitting sifted colimits.

Then $\text{Fun}_{\text{sift}}(\text{SCRing}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\text{Poly}, \mathcal{E})$.

In other words, SCRing is freely generated under sifted colimits by Poly.

Rmk: Fix $R \in \text{SCRing}$. Then SCRing_R is freely generated by Poly_R under sift. colim.

We can more generally consider $\text{SCRMod} \supset \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}$ (connective)

where objects of SCRMod are pairs (R, M) , $R \in \text{SCRing}$, $M \in \text{Mod}_R$ (resp. $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$).

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}$ be the full subcat spanned by (R, M) such that $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n], n \geq 0$, and $M \simeq R^{\oplus m}, m \geq 0$.

Prop: The inclusion $\mathcal{E} \subset \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}$ induces an equivalence

$$\text{Fun}_{\Pi, \text{fin}}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, \text{Spc}) \simeq \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}$$

see SAG 25.2.1.2 / (HTT 5.5.8.15)

Cor: \mathcal{E} ∞ -cat admitting (small) sifted colimits. Then

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{sift}}(\text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$$

Informally: to construct a functor $F: \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ which commute with sifted colimits, it suffices to specify the value of F on \mathcal{E} , i.e.

on pairs (R, M) with R polynomial ring, M free module of finite rank.

Note that R and M are (by definition) all discrete!

§ Derived symmetric and exterior powers.

We apply the previous construction to produce "derived" versions of Sym_R^n and Λ_R^n

1. Symmetric powers:

$R \in \text{CRing} \hookrightarrow \text{SCRing}$
 $M \in \text{Mod}_R$, discrete
 $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$\rightarrow \text{Sym}_R^m(M): \pi_0(M^{\otimes_R m}) / \Sigma_m \in \text{Mod}_R$, discrete.
 with $\sigma(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$
 (coinvariants for the action of Σ_m).

If $M = R^{\oplus m}$, $m \geq 1$, free with basis $\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$, then $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ is free of rank $\binom{m+m-1}{m}$ with basis the set of monomials

$$T_1^{d_1} T_2^{d_2} \dots T_m^{d_m} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m d_i = m.$$

This means we have $\text{Sym}^m: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, (R, M) \mapsto \text{Sym}_R^m(M)$
 ↑ defined above.

This gives a "unique" extension: $\text{Sym}^n: \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}$
 $(R, M) \mapsto (R, \text{Sym}_R^m(M))$

(we use the same notation. In SAG Lurie writes $L\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ instead). In our terminology, this will be the derived symmetric power of $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$.

2. Exterior powers.

$R = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_k]$ \leftarrow $M = R^{\oplus m}$ or more generally if $R \in \text{CRing}$ discrete.

Define $\Lambda_R^m(M) = (M^{\otimes n}) / (\text{sgn } \Sigma_n) \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$ (could write $\pi_0(M^{\otimes n}) / \dots$)
 with $\sigma(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n) = \text{sgn}(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$, $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$.

If $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a basis of M , then $\Lambda_R^m(M)$ is also free of rk $\binom{m}{m}$ with basis given by the "ordered tensors".

As above, this defines $\Lambda^n: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $(R, M) \mapsto (R, \Lambda_R^n(M))$

\Rightarrow get a "unique" extension $\Lambda^n: \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}} \rightsquigarrow \Lambda_R^n(M) \neq M$ can.

We call it the m -th derived exterior power of $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$.

Properties: (SAG 25.2.3)

a) Base-change: given $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \in \text{SCRing}$ morphism $\rightarrow \text{Mod}_A \rightarrow \text{Mod}_B$
 $M \mapsto M \otimes_A B$

Then for every $M \in \text{Mod}_A^{\text{cn}}$ we have

i) $B \otimes_A \text{Sym}_A^m(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}_B^m(B \otimes_A M)$

ii) $B \otimes_A \Lambda_A^m(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_B^m(B \otimes_A M)$

proof: we always have a comparison map $B \otimes_A \text{Sym}_A^m(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} \text{Sym}_B^m(B \otimes_A M)$, and the construction commutes with sifted colimits. (OK for $B \otimes_A (-)$. For Sym ok by Cor at previous page.) Thus we can assume M is free of finite rank.

$\Rightarrow M = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_0$, $M_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m}$. But then look at

$B \otimes_A A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m(M_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} \text{Sym}_{B \otimes_A}^m(B \otimes_A A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_0) \cong \text{Sym}_B^m(B \otimes_A M)$

$B \otimes_A \tilde{\alpha}_M$ for $\tilde{\alpha}_M: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{Z}}^m(M_0) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_A^m(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_0)$

\Rightarrow enough to show the statement when $A = \mathbb{Z}$.

By colimit extension argument, can assume $B = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_k] \Rightarrow$ can use the explicit descript

But then we are saying $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} ((\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m})^{\otimes n}) / \Sigma_n \cong ((B^{\oplus m})^{\otimes n}) / \Sigma_n$ \square OK

Same argument works for Λ^n .

b) $R \in \text{SCRing}$. $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$ which is locally free of rank $= m$. resp.
 (\tilde{M} locally free as object of $\mathcal{Q}\text{Coh}(\text{Spec}(R))$). $\bigvee \binom{m+m-1}{m}, \binom{m}{m}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ and $\Lambda_R^m(M)$ are both locally free of rk $\binom{m+m-1}{m}, \binom{m}{m}$.

proof: by a) above, we can actually assume that R is $\mathbb{Z}[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k]$ and that $M = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m}$ is free of rank m .

Further using the trick of the previous proof, we can assume $R = \mathbb{Z}$. But then we have already seen that both $\Lambda_R^n(M)$ and $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ are free of the expected rank.

We conclude by quoting the following

Prop (SAG 25.2.3.4). Let R be a discrete SCRing, and let $M \in \text{Mod}_R$ be a flat R -module. Then:

- 1) $\text{Sym}_R^m(M) \cong \text{Sym}_R^{m, \text{un}}(M)$, where $\text{Sym}_R^{m, \text{un}}(M) := \pi_0(M^{\otimes m}) / \Sigma_m$ (non derived version)
- 2) $\Lambda_R^n(M) \cong \Lambda_R^{n, \text{un}}(M)$, where $\Lambda_R^{n, \text{un}}(M) = \pi_0(M^{\otimes n}) / \text{sgn } \Sigma_n$ (non derived version)

In particular, $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ and $\Lambda_R^n(M)$ are discrete.

Warning: if $M \in \text{Mod}_R$ discrete, with R discrete, $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ and $\Lambda_R^n(M)$ are not discrete, in general, unless M is flat. In particular, they don't agree with the "classical constructions". However, the classical construction agrees with $\pi_0(\text{Sym}_R^m(M))$ and $\pi_0(\Lambda_R^n(M))$ resp.

From $\text{Sym}_R^m(-)$ to $\Lambda_R^n(-)$: the 2 functors are related as follows:

Prop (DAG 3.3.1). Let $R \in \text{SCRing}$, $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$. The functor $M \mapsto (\text{Sym}_R^m(M[1]))[-m]$ agrees with $\Lambda_R^n(M)$, up to equivalence.

proof: One has to show that the functor $T_R^n: M \mapsto \text{Sym}_R^m(M[1])[-n]$ agrees with the "non abelian left derived functor" (in Lurie's sense) of Λ_R^n .

Thus we have to show $\Lambda_R^n(M) \cong T_R^n(M)$ if $R \in \text{CRing}$ is discrete and $M = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^m$ is free. In fact we can use the previous prop (a) to reduce to the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

The case $m \leq 1$ is clear, so assume $m \geq 2$. Note that if M and N are free, we have:

$$\text{Sym}_R^m((M \oplus N)[1]) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=m} \text{Sym}_R^i(M[1]) \otimes_R \text{Sym}_R^j(N[1]) (**)$$

see below

and so we can assume $M \cong R$ (i.e. R is free of rank = 1).

In this case $\Lambda_R^m(M) = 0$, so it's enough to show $\text{Sym}_R^m(M[1])[-m] = 0$.

Claim: $R \oplus R[1] \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}_R^n(R[1])$. In particular, $\text{Sym}_R^m(R[1]) = 0$ $m \geq 2$.

(Note that Sym_R^n can be computed degreewise on cofibrant connective R -modules for R discrete). See SAG 25.2.4.2. □

Another useful ~~little~~ property:

Prop: $R \in \text{SCRing}$, $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn, perf}}$. Then $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ and $\Lambda_R^n(M)$ are perfect.

Note: $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}} \subset \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn, perf}} \subset \text{Mod}_R^{\text{perf}}$, and Sym and Λ preserve projectivity. 3

We wish now to use the operation $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}} \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}}$
 To define a λ -ring structure on $\Lambda_R^n(-)$ \uparrow proj. R -modules \iff locally free of finite rank

$$K_0^\oplus(\text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}}) \cong K_0(R), \quad R \in \text{SCRing}$$

\uparrow Lecture 5

Recall before the following classical definition.

Def: A commutative ring K is called a pre- λ -ring (SGA 6 terminology) if we are given a family of operations $\lambda^k: K \rightarrow K, k \geq 0$ such that $\forall x, y \in K, \lambda^k(x+y) = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda^i(x) \lambda^{k-i}(y)$ (*)
 $\lambda^0(x) = 1, \lambda^1(x) = x.$

We therefore have to define $\lambda^k: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(R), R \in \text{SCRing}.$

If $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}}$, we can set $\lambda^k(M) = [\Lambda_R^k(M)]$, which make sense, since $\Lambda_R^k(M) \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}} \quad \forall k \geq 0$, thanks to property b) discussed above.

We need them to prove the relation (*): to do so, we essentially reduce to the (**) decomposition above, that we need to explain more generally for any $M, N \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}}$.

Construction: $R \in \text{CRing}$ discrete; consider a sequence

SGA 6
V.2.2.1

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0 \quad \& \text{ finitely generated and free } R\text{-modules.}$$

$$(M' \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow \text{Cof}(p) = M'' \rightarrow +)$$

We define a filtration on $\text{Sym}_R^m(M)$ ($= \text{Sym}_R^{1,m}(M)$, with the

$$0 = F^{-1,m}(p) \subseteq F^{0,n}(p) \subseteq F^{1,n}(p) \subseteq \dots \subseteq F^{n,n}(p) \quad \text{notation introduced above}$$

(***)

where

$$F^{d,m}(p) = \bigvee M' = \text{Sym}_R^m(M)$$

= submodule generated by symmetric powers of homogeneous degree = d.

= Image of the canonical morphism:

$$\text{Sym}_R^{m-d}(M') \otimes_R \text{Sym}_R^d(M) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_R^m(M).$$

Claim: $F^{d,m}(p) / F^{d-1,m}(p) \cong \text{Sym}_R^d(M'') \otimes_R \text{Sym}_R^{n-d}(M')$. (Both terms discrete)

This is completely classical.

We can extend this construction: let $E = \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{SCRMod}^{\text{cn}}) \times \text{SCRing}$
 objects: $(\mathbb{Z}R, p: M' \rightarrow M), R \in \text{SCRing}, M, M' \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{cn}}$

$\mathcal{E}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{E} \checkmark$ full subcategory spanned by $(\mathbb{Z}[T, \dots, T_r], \rho: M' \rightarrow M)$
with $M', M^{\#}$ finitely generated and free (\rightarrow discrete).

Then

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{sift}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Fun}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{C}) \quad \dagger \text{ } \infty\text{-cat } \mathcal{C} \text{ admitting sifted colimits.}$$

$$(\mathcal{E} \cong \text{Fun}_{\Pi, \text{fin}}(\mathcal{E}_0, \text{Spc})).$$

This gives an extension of $F^{d,n}(R, \rho)$, any $R \in \text{S Ring}$

By construction, we have $\text{Cofib}(F^{d-1,n}(\rho) \rightarrow F^{d,n}(\rho)) \cong \text{Sym}_R^d(\text{Cof } \rho)$

Write $\text{gr}^d(\text{Sym}_R^m(M))$ for this cofiber. $\otimes_R \text{Sym}_R^{n-d}(M')$

Consequence: Suppose $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow M''$ cofiber sequence, with $M', M, M'' \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{proj}}$.

$$\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{gr}^d(\text{Sym}_R^m(M)) \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{Sym}_R^d(M'') \otimes \text{Sym}_R^{m-d}(M')$$

and

$$[\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \text{gr}^d(\text{Sym}_R^m(M))] = \sum_{d \geq 0} [\text{gr}^d(\text{Sym}_R^m(M))] = [\text{Sym}_R^m(M)] \text{ in } K_0(R).$$

Using now the equivalence $\Lambda_R^m(M) = \text{Sym}_R^m(M[1])[-m]$, we get the required formula (*), since the

product structure on $K_0(R)$ is induced exactly by \otimes_R .

In summary:

Prop: $K_0(R)$ is a pre- λ -ring.

We have then $\lambda^K: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(R)$.

Suppose $R \xrightarrow{f} R'$ is a morphism in S Ring . The compatibility of Λ_R^m with base change, discussed above, shows that λ^K is compatible with

$$K_0(R) \xrightarrow{f^*} K_0(R'), \quad M \mapsto M \otimes_R R'$$

Rmk: we don't discuss, for now, the proof of the fact that $K_0(R)$ has in fact the structure of λ -ring (aka special λ -ring). See SGA 6, VI for classical proof.

§ Globalization:

$X \in \text{DSch}$. We would like to extend $\lambda^K: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ to $K_0(X)$.

Def: Let $\text{Perf}(X) \subset \text{QCoh}(X)$ be the subcategory spanned by perfect complexes. We have $\text{Vect}(X) \subset \text{Perf}(X)$, where $\text{Vect}(X)$ is the subcat. generated by locally free sheaves. We have

$$K_0^{\text{naive}}(X) = K_0(\text{Vect}(X)) \xrightarrow{i} K_0(\text{Perf}(X)) = K_0(X) \quad \text{canonical map.}$$

Recall that if $X = \text{Spec}(R)$, $R \in \text{S Ring}$, then i is an isomorphism.

We say that X satisfies the global resolution property if i is an equivalence

Recall: if X is a classical scheme, this property is satisfied if X is quasi compact and quasi separated, admitting an ample family of line bundles (SGA 6 & Thomason). See SGA 6, II. 2.2.9.

Assume X has global resolution. Then we could replace $\text{Perf}(X)$ with $\text{Vect}(X)$

Then:

$$\text{Vect}(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{\text{Spec}(A) \hookrightarrow X} \text{Mod}_A^{\text{proj}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^k: \text{Vect}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}(X)$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$\varprojlim \text{Mod}_A^{\text{proj}} \longrightarrow \varprojlim \text{Mod}_A^{\text{proj}}$$

\Rightarrow get the map $\lambda^k: K_0(\text{Vect}(X)) \longrightarrow K_0(\text{Vect}(X)), \forall k \geq 0$

The identity making $K_0(X)$ into a pre- λ -ring can be checked locally. Hence they are automatically satisfied, thanks to the discussion above.

§ γ -operations.

This can be done axiomatically on any pre λ -ring K .

$\gamma^k: K \rightarrow K$. Take $x \in K$.

Write $\lambda_s(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda^i(x) s^i \in K[[s]]$.

Change variables: $s = \frac{t}{1-t}$. Then we can rewrite $\lambda_s(x)$ as $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma^k(x) t^k$

$\Rightarrow \gamma^k(x) = \text{coefficient of } t^k$

$$\underline{E}_x: \gamma^k(x) = \lambda^k(x + k - 1).$$

Properties: $\gamma_t(x+y) = \gamma_t(x) \gamma_t(y)$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0(x) = 1, \gamma^1(x) = x, \gamma^k(x+y) = \sum \gamma^i(x) \gamma^{k-i}(y).$$

Thus, the γ -operations satisfy the axioms of a λ -ring structure on K .

§ Adams operations.

Assume that K is augmented $\varepsilon: K \rightarrow H^0$, $H^0 \subset K$ binomial ring.

Then we can define:

$$\psi^0(x) = \varepsilon(x)$$

$$\psi^1(x) = x$$

$$\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$$

$$\psi^k(x) = \lambda^1(x) \psi^{k-1}(x) - \lambda^2(x) \psi^{k-2}(x) + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} (k-1) \lambda^k(x).$$

The operations are defined to satisfy $\psi^j \psi^k = \psi^{jk}$ $j, k \geq 0$ if K satisfy the "splitting principle".