

# PERVERSE PULLBACKS

ADEEL A. KHAN, TASUKI KINJO, HYEONJUN PARK, AND PAVEL SAFRONOV

ABSTRACT. We define a new perverse  $t$ -exact pullback operation on derived categories of constructible sheaves which generalizes most perverse  $t$ -exact functors in sheaf theory, such as microlocalization, the Fourier–Sato transform and vanishing cycles. This operation is defined for morphisms of algebraic stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure, and can be used to define cohomological Donaldson–Thomas invariants in a relative setting. We prove natural functoriality properties for perverse pullbacks, such as smooth and finite base change, compatibility with products and Verdier duality.

## CONTENTS

|                                                                   |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Introduction                                                      | 2  |
| Cohomological DT theory                                           | 2  |
| Perverse pullbacks                                                | 2  |
| Lagrangian microlocalization                                      | 4  |
| Conventions                                                       | 4  |
| Acknowledgements                                                  | 4  |
| 1. Schemes and stacks                                             | 4  |
| 1.1. Stacks                                                       | 4  |
| 1.2. Extensions to stacks                                         | 5  |
| 1.3. Derived stacks                                               | 7  |
| 1.4. Determinant lines                                            | 8  |
| 1.5. Differential forms                                           | 9  |
| 1.6. Immersions                                                   | 11 |
| 2. Constructible sheaves                                          | 12 |
| 2.1. Six-functor formalisms                                       | 12 |
| 2.2. Complex analytic constructible sheaves on schemes and stacks | 15 |
| 2.3. Vanishing cycles                                             | 20 |
| 3. D-critical structures                                          | 24 |
| 3.1. Oriented orthogonal bundles                                  | 24 |
| 3.2. D-critical structures on schemes                             | 26 |
| 3.3. Critical morphisms                                           | 30 |
| 3.4. Gluing objects on critical charts                            | 34 |
| 3.5. Virtual canonical bundle                                     | 37 |
| 3.6. Deformation of morphisms of LG pairs                         | 41 |
| 4. Functoriality of d-critical structures                         | 45 |
| 4.1. Pullbacks of d-critical structures                           | 45 |
| 4.2. Base change of d-critical structures                         | 52 |
| 4.3. D-critical structures on stacks                              | 56 |
| 4.4. Pushforwards of d-critical structures                        | 60 |
| 4.5. Orientations for relative d-critical structures              | 69 |
| 5. Perverse pullbacks                                             | 73 |
| 5.1. Vanishing cycles for orthogonal bundles                      | 73 |
| 5.2. Symmetries of vanishing cycles                               | 82 |
| 5.3. Perverse pullbacks for schemes                               | 85 |
| 5.4. Compatibility with base change                               | 90 |
| 5.5. Compatibility with d-critical pushforwards                   | 93 |

|                                                                       |     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.6. Compatibility with duality and products                          | 95  |
| 5.7. Perverse pullbacks for stacks                                    | 99  |
| 6. Perverse pullbacks for exact $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic fibrations | 102 |
| 6.1. D-critical and shifted symplectic structures                     | 102 |
| 6.2. Perverse pullbacks                                               | 109 |
| References                                                            | 114 |

## INTRODUCTION

For a locally compact topological space  $X$  the derived category  $\mathrm{Shv}(X)$  of sheaves of complexes of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces has two  $t$ -structures: one  $t$ -structure is determined by the condition that all stalk functors (i.e.  $i^*$  for inclusions of points) are  $t$ -exact and another  $t$ -structure is determined by the condition that all costalk functors (i.e.  $i^!$ ) are  $t$ -exact. By definition, arbitrary  $*$ -pullbacks are  $t$ -exact with respect to the first  $t$ -structure and arbitrary  $!$ -pullbacks are  $t$ -exact with respect to the second  $t$ -structure. Moreover, these two  $t$ -structures as well as  $*$ -pullbacks and  $!$ -pullbacks are exchanged under the Verdier duality functor  $\mathbb{D}$ .

In the case when  $X$  is the complex analytic space underlying a complex algebraic variety, there is a subcategory  $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X) \subset \mathrm{Shv}(X)$  of constructible sheaves which inherits the two  $t$ -structures. But it also has a third, perverse,  $t$ -structure, which is Verdier self-dual. Given an extra structure on a morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  of complex algebraic varieties (a relative d-critical structure or a derived enhancement  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure) the goal of this paper is to define a *perverse pullback* functor  $\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}_c^b(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  which is perverse  $t$ -exact and Verdier self-dual.

**Cohomological DT theory.** Cohomological Donaldson–Thomas theory associates a cohomology theory to certain algebro-geometric moduli spaces. Namely, for a complex algebraic stack  $X$  consider the following data:

- A derived enhancement  $X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  equipped with a  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure in the sense of [Pan+13].
- Orientation data, i.e. the choice of a square root line bundle of the canonical bundle  $K_{\mathfrak{X}} = \det(\mathrm{L}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ .

Given such data, the works [Bra+15; Ben+15; KL12] define a perverse sheaf  $\varphi_X$  on  $X$ , locally modeled on the sheaf of vanishing cycles for a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a smooth complex scheme  $U$ , so that the cohomological Donaldson–Thomas (DT) invariant of  $X$  is given by the cohomology of  $\varphi_X$ . Some of the examples of stacks which admit  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic derived enhancements are moduli stacks of compactly supported coherent sheaves on smooth 3-dimensional Calabi–Yau varieties (in which case a natural orientation data was constructed in [JU21]) and moduli stacks of local systems on a compact oriented 3-manifold (in which case a natural orientation data was constructed in [NS23]).

Cohomological DT invariants relate to the usual cohomology via a dimensional reduction isomorphism constructed in [Kin22]. Namely, consider an algebraic stack  $Y$  with a quasi-smooth derived enhancement  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Consider the stack of singularities  $\mathrm{Sing}(Y)$  [AG15] obtained as the classical truncation of the  $(-1)$ -shifted cotangent bundle  $T^*[-1]\mathfrak{Y}$ . The dimensional reduction theorem identifies the cohomological DT invariant of  $\mathrm{Sing}(Y)$  with the Borel–Moore homology of  $Y$ :

$$H^\bullet(\mathrm{Sing}(Y), \varphi_{\mathrm{Sing}(Y)}) \cong H_{\dim(\mathfrak{Y})-\bullet}^{\mathrm{BM}}(Y).$$

**Perverse pullbacks.** Motivated by relative cohomological Donaldson–Thomas theory (where we have a family of 3-dimensional Calabi–Yau varieties or an anticanonical divisor in a 4-dimensional Fano variety), in this paper we introduce a relative version of the perverse sheaf  $\varphi_X$  recalled above. Namely, instead of considering a fixed complex algebraic stack  $X$  and equipping it with a perverse sheaf  $\varphi_X \in \mathrm{Perv}(X)$  we consider a family  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  and equip it with a perverse pullback functor  $\mathrm{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(X)$ . The following is a condensed version of Theorem 5.24; we refer the reader to the main body of the paper to the precise definition of natural isomorphisms, their compatibility as well as a generalization to constructible sheaves with coefficients in a general commutative ring  $R$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of higher Artin stacks over  $\mathbb{C}$  together with a derived enhancement  $X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow B$ , and the choice of a square root line bundle of  $K_{\mathfrak{X}/B} = \det(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{X}/B})$ . Then there is a perverse t-exact functor*

$$\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}_c^b(B) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$$

which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) *It is compatible with pullbacks along smooth morphisms in  $B$ .*
- (2) *It is compatible with pullbacks along smooth morphisms in  $X$ .*
- (3) *It is compatible with  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic pushforwards (in the sense of [Par24]) along smooth morphisms in  $B$ .*
- (4) *It is compatible with pushforwards along finite morphisms in  $B$ .*
- (5) *It commutes with Verdier duality.*
- (6) *It is compatible with products.*

In the opposite extremes the perverse pullback is given as follows:

- For  $B = \text{pt}$  we have  $\pi^\varphi \mathbb{Q}_B = \varphi_X$  is the perverse sheaf defined in [Bra+15; Ben+15]. In fact, our construction of perverse pullbacks extends its definition to higher Artin stacks  $X$ .
- For  $X = \mathfrak{X} = B$  with the relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $\text{id}: X \rightarrow X$  determined by a function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\pi^\varphi = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (f^{-1}(c) \rightarrow X)_* \varphi_{f-c},$$

where  $\varphi_f: \mathbf{D}_c^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(f^{-1}(0))$  is the vanishing cycle functor. The above sum is necessary for perverse pullbacks to be compatible with products in the naive way. In fact, this natural isomorphism along with the properties (1)-(3) of perverse pullbacks from Theorem A determine them uniquely.

Following [Joy15], instead of considering a  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic enhancement  $X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  it turns out to be useful to consider relative d-critical structures on  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  (see Definitions 3.15 and 4.11). Namely, a relative d-critical structure  $s$  on  $\pi$  is given by a pair of a function  $\text{und}(s) \in \mathcal{O}_X$  together with a nullhomotopy of its de Rham differential  $d\text{und}(s) \in \mathbb{L}_{X/B}$  in the relative cotangent complex; we moreover require that smooth-locally  $X \rightarrow B$  is given by the relative critical locus of a function of a smooth  $B$ -scheme, compatibly with  $s$ . Given a  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic enhancement  $X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , the restriction of the relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $\mathfrak{X}$  to  $X$  defines such an element  $s$ ; the local structure is provided by the shifted Darboux theorems of [BBJ19; BG13; Ben+15; Par24]. The advantage of (relative) d-critical structures over (relative) exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structures is that the former are obviously functorial with respect to smooth maps, which is useful in extending our constructions to higher Artin stacks.

Besides the vanishing cycle functor, the perverse pullback functor recovers most of the perverse t-exact functors that appear in sheaf theory:

- Let  $X = E$  be a vector bundle over a scheme  $B$ . Then the composite  $u_E: E^\vee \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{0} E$  naturally carries a relative exact d-critical structure, together with a canonical choice of square root  $K_{E^\vee/E} \cong \det(E|_E)^{\otimes 2}$ . In this situation, the perverse pullback functor

$$u_E^\varphi: \mathbf{D}_c^b(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(E^\vee)$$

recovers the *Fourier–Sato transform* [KS90, Section 3.7] up to shift.

- Let  $Y \hookrightarrow B$  be a closed immersion between smooth varieties. In this situation, the composite  $\pi: N^*(Y/B) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B$  naturally carries a relative exact d-critical structure with a canonical choice of square root  $K_{N^*(Y/B)/B} \cong K_{Y/B}|_{N^*(Y/B)}^{\otimes 2}$ . Then the perverse pullback functor

$$\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}_c^b(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(N^*(Y/B))$$

recovers the *microlocalization functor* in [KS90, Section 4.3]. In particular, the composite

$$u_{N^*(Y/B)}^\varphi \circ \pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}_c^b(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(N(Y/B))$$

recovers the *specialization functor*. See the next paragraph for more details on the microlocal nature of the perverse pullback functor.

**Lagrangian microlocalization.** For a complex manifold  $B$  and a complex submanifold  $Y \subset B$  Kashiwara and Schapira [KS90, Section 4.3] defined the microlocalization functor  $\mu_{Y/B}: \mathbf{D}_c^b(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(N^*(Y/B))$  which is perverse  $t$ -exact. The definition of the microlocalization functor  $\mu_{Y/B}$  was extended in [Sch22] to the case when  $Y \rightarrow B$  is a quasi-smooth closed immersion, and independently in [KK23] when  $Y \rightarrow B$  is a morphism of derived Artin stacks locally of finite presentation.

One can interpret the perverse pullback functor as a Lagrangian version of the microlocalization functor as follows. The starting point for this point of view is given by the following results:

- By [Par24, Corollary 3.1.3] a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure is the same as an exact Lagrangian structure on a morphism  $X \rightarrow T^*B$ .
- For a complex symplectic manifold  $(S, \omega)$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action which acts on  $\omega$  with weight 1 there is a category  $\mathbb{Perv}(S)$  of perverse microsheaves constructed in [Was04; Côt+22]. Moreover, if  $S = T^*B$  is the cotangent bundle of a complex manifold  $B$ , there is an equivalence  $\mathbb{Perv}(T^*B) \cong \text{Perv}_{K_B^{1/2}}(B)$ , the category of perverse sheaves on  $B$  twisted by the gerbe of square roots of the canonical bundle  $K_B$ .

**Conjecture B.** *For a complex 0-shifted symplectic derived Artin stack  $(S, \omega)$  equipped with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action which acts on  $\omega$  with weight 1 there is a category  $\mathbb{Perv}(S)$  of perverse microsheaves on  $S$ . For a morphism  $f: L \rightarrow S$  equipped with an exact Lagrangian structure there is a Lagrangian microlocalization functor*

$$\mu_{L/S}^{\text{Lag}}: \mathbb{Perv}(S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Perv}(T^*L).$$

Moreover, for  $S = T^*B$  there is an equivalence  $\mathbb{Perv}(T^*B) \cong \text{Perv}_{K_B^{1/2}}(B)$  and under this equivalence  $\mu_{L/S}^{\text{Lag}}$  is equivalent to the perverse pullback along the composite  $L \rightarrow T^*B \rightarrow B$ .

The Lagrangian microlocalization functor is closely related to the notion of a sheaf quantization of a Lagrangian submanifold as in [NS20]: a sheaf of quantization of  $L \rightarrow S$  is an object  $\mathcal{L}_L \in \mathbb{Perv}(S)$  such that  $\mu_{L/S}^{\text{Lag}}(\mathcal{L}_L)$  is a (twisted) rank 1 local system on  $L$ .

In a follow-up paper we use the formalism of perverse pullbacks to construct shifted Lagrangian classes as in [JS19, Conjecture 1.1] and [AB17, Conjecture 5.18]: for a complex oriented  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack  $S$  and a morphism  $f: L \rightarrow S$  equipped with an oriented Lagrangian structure there is a  $(-1)$ -shifted Lagrangian class

$$[L]^{\text{Lag}}: f^* \varphi_S \longrightarrow \omega_L[-\dim(L)]$$

generalizing the virtual classes in Borel–Moore homology defined in [BJ17] and [OT23] for  $S = \text{pt}$ . This may be viewed as a decategorified and  $(-1)$ -shifted version of Conjecture B.

Given a morphism  $Y \rightarrow B$  of derived Artin stacks locally of finite presentation we obtain a 0-shifted Lagrangian morphism  $\pi: L = N^*(Y/B) \rightarrow S = T^*B$ . We expect that the perverse pullback functor in this case coincides with the derived microlocalization functor, i.e. we expect that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mu_{N^*(Y/B)/T^*B}^{\text{Lag}} \cong \mu_{Y/B}.$$

**Conventions.** Throughout the paper we work with schemes over a field  $k$  assumed to be of characteristic different from 2 and with  $i \in k$  satisfying  $i^2 = -1$ . Starting from Section 5,  $k$  will be the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. We also fix a commutative ring  $R$  of coefficients for our sheaves. We denote by  $\text{Gpd}_\infty$  the  $\infty$ -category of  $\infty$ -groupoids.

**Acknowledgements.** AAK acknowledges support from the grants AS-CDA-112-M01 and NSTC 112-2628-M-001-0062030. TK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25K17229. HP was supported by Korea Institute for Advanced Study (SG089201).

## 1. SCHEMES AND STACKS

**1.1. Stacks.** Recall the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^{\text{St}}$  of stable presentable  $\infty$ -categories with colimit-preserving functors as morphisms as in [Lur17, §4.8.1]. Let  $\text{Mod}_R \in \text{Pr}^{\text{St}}$  be the derived  $\infty$ -category of chain complexes of  $R$ -modules. Let

$$\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}} = \text{Mod}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\text{Pr}^{\text{St}})$$

be the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -linear stable presentable  $\infty$ -categories.

Let  $\text{Sch}$  be the category of schemes over  $k$  and  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepf}} \subset \text{Sch}$  the subcategory of separated schemes of finite type. Recall the following notion of higher Artin stacks, as in [TV08, Definition 1.3.3.1].

**Definition 1.1.** A **stack** is a presheaf of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $\text{Sch}$  satisfying Čech descent along étale surjections; we denote by  $\text{Stk}$  the  $\infty$ -category of such. We define  $n$ -geometric stacks (for  $n \geq -1$ ) inductively:

- (1) A stack is  **$(-1)$ -geometric** if it is (representable by) a scheme.
- (2) A morphism of stacks  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  **$n$ -geometric** (for  $n \geq -1$ ) if for every scheme  $V$  and every morphism  $V \rightarrow Y$ , the fibered product  $X \times_Y V$  is  $n$ -geometric.
- (3) An  $n$ -geometric morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is **smooth**, resp. **smooth surjective**, if for every commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

with  $U, V$  schemes and  $U \rightarrow X \times_Y V$  smooth surjective as an  $(n-1)$ -geometric morphism, the morphism  $U \rightarrow V$  is a smooth, resp. smooth surjective, morphism of schemes.

- (4) A stack  $X$  is  **$n$ -geometric** if it satisfies the following properties:
  - (a) Its diagonal  $\Delta_X: X \rightarrow X \times X$  is  $(n-1)$ -geometric.
  - (b) There exists a scheme  $U$  and a morphism<sup>1</sup>  $p: U \rightarrow X$  which is a smooth surjection.

We additionally introduce the following terminology:

- A stack is **higher Artin** if it is  $n$ -geometric for some  $n$ ; we denote by  $\text{Art} \subset \text{Stk}$  the  $\infty$ -category of such.
- A morphism is **geometric** if it is  $n$ -geometric for some  $n$ .
- A higher Artin stack is **Artin** if the corresponding functor is valued in groupoids. More generally, a higher Artin stack is  **$n$ -Artin** if the corresponding functor is valued in  $n$ -groupoids.
- A morphism is  **$n$ -representable** if it is representable by  $n$ -Artin stacks. It is **schematic** if it is representable by schemes.

For a pair of stacks  $X, Y$  we denote by  $\text{Map}(X, Y)$  the mapping stack whose  $S$ -points are given by

$$\text{Map}(X, Y)(S) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Stk}}(S \times X, Y).$$

**Definition 1.2.** A stack  $X$  is **locally of finite type** if for every cofiltered system  $\{S_i\}$  of affine schemes the natural morphism

$$\text{colim}_i X(S_i) \longrightarrow X(\lim_i S_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Remark 1.3.** For schemes over a field  $k$  the above definition coincides with the usual notion of schemes locally of finite type, see [Stacks, 01ZC].

Let  $\text{Stk}^{\text{lft}} \subset \text{Stk}$  be the full subcategory of stacks locally of finite type. We write  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}} \subset \text{Art}$  for the full subcategory spanned by  $X \in \text{Art}$  locally of finite type.

**1.2. Extensions to stacks.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with limits. In this section we describe several mechanisms to extend invariants of schemes valued in  $\mathcal{V}$  to invariants of stacks. Equip the category of schemes  $\text{Sch}$  with the étale topology. We will encounter objects on schemes functorial only with respect to smooth morphisms. Namely, consider the subcategory  $\text{Sch}_{\text{smooth}} \subset \text{Sch}$  whose objects are schemes and whose morphisms are smooth. Let  $\text{Art}_{\text{smooth}} \subset \text{Art}$  be a similarly defined subcategory for higher Artin stacks.

<sup>1</sup>which is automatically  $(n-1)$ -geometric when the diagonal  $\Delta_X$  is  $(n-1)$ -geometric.

To formalize invariants of relative schemes, let  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})$  be the category whose objects are morphisms of schemes and whose morphisms  $p: (X' \xrightarrow{\pi'} B') \rightarrow (X \xrightarrow{\pi} B)$  are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

We extend the étale topology to  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})$  by declaring covering families  $\{(X_i \rightarrow B_i) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)\}$  to be families of morphisms such that both  $\{B_i \rightarrow B\}$  and  $\{X_i \rightarrow X \times_B B_i\}$  are étale covers.

Let

$$\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{0\text{smooth}, 1\text{smooth}} \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})_{0\text{smooth}} \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})$$

be the following subcategories:

- $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})_{0\text{smooth}}$  has the same objects and morphisms  $(X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)$  such that  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth.
- $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{0\text{smooth}, 1\text{smooth}}$  has the same objects and morphisms  $(X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)$  such that both  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth.

Let  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})$  be the  $\infty$ -category of morphisms of stacks and let

$$\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Art})_{0\text{smooth}, 1\text{smooth}} \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})_{0\text{smooth}}^{\text{geometric}} \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})^{\text{geometric}} \subset \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})$$

be the following subcategories:

- $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})^{\text{geometric}}$  is the full subcategory whose objects are geometric morphisms of stacks,
- $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})_{0\text{smooth}}^{\text{geometric}}$  has the same objects and morphisms  $(X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)$  such that  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth.
- $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Art})_{0\text{smooth}, 1\text{smooth}}$  has objects morphisms of higher Artin stacks and morphisms  $(X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)$  such that both  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth.

We define the extensions of invariants of schemes to invariants of stacks as follows.

- For a functor

$$F: \text{Sch}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

satisfying étale descent we define its value on stacks  $X \in \text{Stk}$  by a right Kan extension:

$$(1.1) \quad F^{\triangleleft}(X) = \lim_{(S, s)} F(S),$$

where the limit is taken over the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sch}/_X$  of pairs  $(S, s)$  with  $S \in \text{Sch}$  and  $s: S \rightarrow X$  a morphism. By [Kha25, Proposition 3.2.5(i), Corollary 3.2.6(i)] this determines an inverse to the restriction functor from  $\mathcal{V}$ -valued sheaves on  $\text{Stk}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ -valued sheaves on  $\text{Sch}$ .

- For a functor

$$F: \text{Sch}_{\text{smooth}}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

satisfying étale descent we define its value on higher Artin stacks  $X \in \text{Art}$  by a right Kan extension:

$$(1.2) \quad F^{\triangleleft}(X) = \lim_{(S, s)} F(S),$$

where the limit is taken over the full subcategory  $\text{Sch}_{\text{smooth}}^{\text{smooth}/X} \subset (\text{Art}_{\text{smooth}})_{/X}$  consisting of pairs  $(S, s)$  with  $S \in \text{Sch}$  and  $s: S \rightarrow X$  a smooth morphism.

- For a functor

$$F: \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})_{0\text{smooth}}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

satisfying étale descent we define its value on geometric morphisms  $(X \rightarrow B) \in \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})^{\text{geometric}}$  of stacks by a right Kan extension:

$$(1.3) \quad F^{\triangleleft}(X \rightarrow B) = \lim_{(X' \rightarrow B', p)} F(X' \rightarrow B'),$$

where the limit is taken over the full subcategory of  $(\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Stk})_{0\text{smooth}}^{\text{geometric}})_{/(X \rightarrow B)}$  of objects  $(X' \rightarrow B', p)$  where  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is a morphism of schemes and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth.

- For a functor

$$F: \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Sch})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

satisfying étale descent we define its value on a morphism  $(X \rightarrow B) \in \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Art})$  of higher Artin stacks by a right Kan extension:

$$(1.4) \quad F^{\triangleleft}(X \rightarrow B) = \lim_{(X' \rightarrow B', p)} F(X' \rightarrow B'),$$

where the limit is taken over the full subcategory of  $(\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Art})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}})_{/(X \rightarrow B)}$  of objects  $(X' \rightarrow B', p)$  where  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is a morphism of schemes and both  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth.

**Remark 1.4.** By [Kha25, Corollary 3.2.7], the definitions (1.1) and (1.2) (and, similarly, (1.3) and (1.4)) of the extensions above are compatible, i.e. for a sheaf  $F: \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  and a higher Artin stack  $X \in \mathrm{Art}$  the restriction morphism

$$\lim_{(S, s) \in \mathrm{Sch}/X} F(S) \longrightarrow \lim_{(S, s) \in \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{smooth}/X}} F(S)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, the notation  $F^{\triangleleft}(X)$  for the extension is unambiguous.

**Remark 1.5.** Given étale-local invariants defined only on the subcategory  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}} \subset \mathrm{Sch}$  of schemes locally of finite type, we may similarly extend to stacks locally of finite type. Moreover, by Zariski descent it suffices to have the invariant defined on  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}} \subset \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}}$ , or even the subcategory of affine schemes of finite type.

**1.3. Derived stacks.** Let us briefly introduce the theory of derived stacks. The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{dAff}$  of **derived affine schemes** is opposite to the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\Delta}$  of simplicial commutative  $k$ -algebras via the  $\mathrm{Spec}$  functor. A **derived stack** is a presheaf of  $\infty$ -groupoids on  $\mathrm{dAff}$  satisfying Čech descent along étale surjections. We denote by  $\mathrm{dStk}$  the  $\infty$ -category of such. There is a fully faithful inclusion functor  $\mathrm{Stk} \rightarrow \mathrm{dStk}$  whose right adjoint  $(-)^{\mathrm{cl}}: \mathrm{dStk} \rightarrow \mathrm{Stk}$  is given by passing to the underlying classical stack. The notion of a geometric morphism of derived stacks is defined analogously to Definition 1.1.

For a derived affine scheme  $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$  we define  $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$  to be the  $\infty$ -category of dg  $A$ -modules. This  $\infty$ -category is stable and presentable and has a natural symmetric monoidal structure. We refer to objects of  $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$  as quasi-coherent complexes. Denote by  $\mathrm{Perf}(S) \subset \mathrm{QCoh}(S)$  the full subcategory of perfect complexes, i.e. dualizable objects in  $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ . For a morphism of derived affine schemes  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have a symmetric monoidal pullback functor  $f^*: \mathrm{QCoh}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ . This assignment defines a functor

$$\mathrm{QCoh}: \mathrm{dAff}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_k^{\mathrm{St}}$$

which satisfies étale descent. By right Kan extension we obtain the functor

$$\mathrm{QCoh}: \mathrm{dStk}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_k^{\mathrm{St}}.$$

If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a geometric morphism of derived stacks, we have the **relative cotangent complex**  $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$  which is functorial in the following way. For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

of derived stacks with  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X' \rightarrow Y' \times_Y X$  geometric we have a pullback morphism

$$(1.5) \quad (X' \rightarrow X)^* \mathbb{L}_{X/Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X'/Y'}$$

which is an isomorphism if the diagram is Cartesian. Moreover, for geometric morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$  of derived stacks, we have a fiber sequence

$$(1.6) \quad (X \rightarrow Y)^* \mathbb{L}_{Y/Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/Y}.$$

We refer to [CHS25, Lemma B.10.13] for more details on the functoriality of the relative cotangent complex.

For a morphism of classical stacks  $X \rightarrow Y$  we define the relative cotangent complex by viewing them as derived stacks. For instance, if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of schemes, we have  $\Omega_{X/Y}^1 = h^0(\mathbb{L}_{X/Y})$ , the sheaf of relative Kähler differentials. We have the following basic fact.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a geometric morphism of derived stacks. Then the cofiber of the pullback morphism*

$$(X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X)^* \mathbb{L}_{X/Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/Y^{\text{cl}}},$$

*i.e.  $\mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X \times_Y Y^{\text{cl}}}$ , is 2-connective.*

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow Y)^* \mathbb{L}_Y & \longrightarrow & (X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X)^* \mathbb{L}_X & \longrightarrow & (X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X)^* \mathbb{L}_{X/Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{cl}})^* \mathbb{L}_{Y^{\text{cl}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/Y^{\text{cl}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{cl}})^* \mathbb{L}_{Y^{\text{cl}}/Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X \times_Y Y^{\text{cl}}}. \end{array}$$

In this diagram all columns and the first two rows are cofiber sequences, so the bottom row is a cofiber sequence. Therefore, the claim reduces to the case  $Y = \text{pt}$ .

Let  $i: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X$  be the natural morphism. By definition, for any derived affine scheme  $S$  equipped with a morphism  $f: S \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}$  and a connective quasi-coherent complex  $M \in \text{QCoh}(S)$  we have

$$\text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}((i \circ f)^* \mathbb{L}_X, M) \cong \text{Map}_{\text{dStk}_S}(S[M], X).$$

The right-hand side preserves colimits in  $X$ . Writing  $X = \text{colim}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$  for a diagram of affine derived schemes  $\{X_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ , so that  $X^{\text{cl}} = \text{colim}_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{\text{cl}}$ , we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{colim}_{\alpha} \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(f_{\alpha}^* \mathbb{L}_{X_{\alpha}^{\text{cl}}}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(f^* \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}}, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{colim}_{\alpha} \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}((i_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha})^* \mathbb{L}_{X_{\alpha}}, M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(f^* \mathbb{L}_X, M), \end{array}$$

where  $f_{\alpha}: S \rightarrow X_{\alpha}^{\text{cl}}$  and  $i_{\alpha}: X_{\alpha}^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}$ . As colimits in  $\text{Gpd}_{\infty}$  are universal, we get

$$\text{colim}_{\alpha} \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(f_{\alpha}^* \mathbb{L}_{X_{\alpha}^{\text{cl}}/X_{\alpha}}, M) \cong \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(S)}(\mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X}, M).$$

The 2-connectivity of  $\mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X}$  is equivalent to the contractibility of the right-hand side for every  $M \in \text{QCoh}(S)$  concentrated in cohomological degrees  $[-1, 0]$ . Thus, by the above isomorphism the claim reduces to the case of an affine derived scheme  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Since the cofiber of  $A \rightarrow H^0(A)$  is 2-connective, we get that  $\mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/X} = \mathbb{L}_{H^0(A)/A}$  is 2-connective by [Lur17, Corollary 7.4.3.2].  $\square$

We say that a geometric morphism of derived stacks  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is **locally of finite presentation**, or *lfp* for short, if the induced morphism of classical truncations  $f^{\text{cl}}: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{cl}}$  is locally of finite presentation in the classical sense, and the relative cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$  is perfect. Note that a locally of finite presentation morphism of classical stacks need not be lfp as a morphism of derived stacks.

The (relative) **dimension** of an lfp morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , denoted  $\dim(X/Y)$ , is the rank of the perfect complex  $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$ . Note that when  $f$  is smooth, we have  $\dim(X/Y) = \dim(X^{\text{cl}}/Y^{\text{cl}})$ .

**1.4. Determinant lines.** For a stack  $X$  we denote by  $\text{Pic}^{\text{gr}}(X)$  the  $\infty$ -category of pairs  $(L, \alpha)$  of a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  and a locally constant function  $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . It is a Picard  $\infty$ -groupoid (or  $E_{\infty}$ -group) with the symmetric monoidal structure given by  $(L_1, \alpha_1) \otimes (L_2, \alpha_2) = (L_1 \otimes L_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$  with braiding involving the Koszul sign. We fix an identification  $(L, \alpha)^{\vee} \cong (L^{\vee}, \alpha)$ , under which the evaluation pairing  $(L, \alpha) \otimes (L, \alpha)^{\vee} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X, 0)$  is identified with the composite  $(L, \alpha) \otimes (L^{\vee}, \alpha) = (L \otimes L^{\vee}, 0) \cong (\mathcal{O}_X, 0)$ . If  $l$  is a nonvanishing section of a line bundle  $L$ , we denote by  $l^{-1}$  the section of  $L^{\vee}$  which pairs to 1 with  $l$ .

For a perfect complex  $E \in \text{Perf}(X)$  we denote by  $\det(E) \in \text{Pic}^{\text{gr}}(X)$  the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded determinant line bundle [Del87; KM76]. Our conventions follow [KPS24, Section 2.2]. The main isomorphisms we will use are as follows:

- For a fiber sequence

$$\Delta: E_1 \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_3$$

there is an isomorphism

$$i(\Delta): \det(E_1) \otimes \det(E_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(E_2).$$

- For a perfect complex  $E$  there is an isomorphism

$$\iota_E: \det(E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(E)^\vee.$$

For a perfect complex  $E$  we have the fiber sequence  $\Delta_E: E \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow E[1]$  given by the rotation of  $E \xrightarrow{\text{id}} E \rightarrow 0$ . It gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\theta_E: \det(E[1]) \cong \det(E)^\vee$$

so that the composite

$$\det(E) \otimes \det(E[1]) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \theta_E} \det(E) \otimes \det(E)^\vee \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \mathcal{O}_X$$

coincides with  $i(\Delta_E)$ .

We will use the following explicit descriptions:

- If  $E$  is a trivial vector bundle with a basis of sections  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ , the determinant line bundle  $\det(E)$  has a nonvanishing section  $s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n$ .
- Given a short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E_3 \longrightarrow 0$$

of trivial vector bundles with  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  a basis of sections of  $E_1$  and  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1}, \dots, s_{n+m}\}$  a basis of sections of  $E_2$ , then

$$i(\Delta)(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n \otimes s_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge s_{n+m}) = s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_{n+m}.$$

- Again, if  $E$  is a trivial vector bundle with a basis of sections  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  and with  $\{s^1, \dots, s^n\}$  the dual basis of  $E^\vee$ , then

$$\iota_E(s^1 \wedge \dots \wedge s^n) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n)^{-1}.$$

Given a smooth morphism of stacks  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , the relative cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$  is perfect of Tor-amplitude  $\geq 0$  and the **canonical bundle** is  $K_{X/Y} = \det(\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}) \in \text{Pic}^{\text{gr}}(X)$ .

**1.5. Differential forms.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism of derived stacks. We have the relative cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{X/B} \in \text{QCoh}(X)$  equipped with the de Rham differential

$$d_B: \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathbb{L}_{X/B})$$

defined as in [Cal+17; CHS25; Par24]. Recall the following notions from [Pan+13].

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- For  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  the space of **relative  $p$ -forms of degree  $n$  on  $X \rightarrow B$**  is

$$\mathcal{A}^p(X/B, n) = \text{Map}_{\text{QCoh}(X)}(\mathcal{O}_X, \wedge^p \mathbb{L}_{X/B}[n]).$$

- The space  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)$  of **relative exact two-forms of degree  $n$  on  $X \rightarrow B$**  is the homotopy fiber of  $d_B: \mathcal{A}^0(X/B, n+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(X/B, n+1)$  at the zero form.

For a commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

we have a natural pullback morphism  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X'/B', n)$ . We have natural isomorphisms

$$\Omega \mathcal{A}^p(X/B, n+1) \cong \mathcal{A}^p(X/B, n), \quad \Omega \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n+1) \cong \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)$$

and a forgetful map  $d_B: \mathcal{A}^1(X/B, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)$ . We will now give two examples of relative exact two-forms we will encounter.

1.5.1. *Case  $n = 0$ .* Given a quasi-coherent complex  $V \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$  over a stack  $X$  recall the **total space**  $\mathrm{Tot}_X(V)$  which is a stack over  $X$  whose  $S$ -points are given by pairs  $(f, \alpha)$  of a morphism  $f: S \rightarrow X$  of stacks and a morphism  $\alpha: \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow f^*V$  in  $\mathrm{QCoh}(S)$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism of stacks. The **relative cotangent bundle** is  $T^*(X/B) = \mathrm{Tot}_X(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$ .

The relative cotangent bundle is stable under base change: given a Cartesian square of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

which is Tor-independent (e.g. either  $X \rightarrow B$  or  $B' \rightarrow B$  is flat), so that it is Cartesian when regarded as a square of *derived* stacks, there is an isomorphism  $T^*(X/B) \times_B B' \cong T^*(X'/B')$  of  $B'$ -stacks constructed using (1.5). Moreover, for a composite  $X \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  of geometric morphisms we have a Cartesian square

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T^*(B_1/B_2) \times_{B_1} X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T^*(X/B_2) & \longrightarrow & T^*(X/B_1) \end{array}$$

The relative cotangent bundle carries the so-called **Liouville one-form**  $\lambda_{X/B} \in \mathcal{A}^1(T^*(X/B)/B, 0)$  defined as follows (see e.g. [Pan+13; Cal19]). For a morphism  $(f, \alpha): S \rightarrow T^*(X/B)$  we set

$$(f, \alpha)^* \lambda_{X/B}: \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow f^* \mathbb{L}_{X/B} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{S/B}.$$

We have the following particular cases:

- (1) If  $X \rightarrow B$  is a smooth schematic morphism,  $\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$  has Tor-amplitude  $[0, 0]$ , so  $T^*(X/B) \rightarrow X$  is a vector bundle.
- (2) If  $X \rightarrow B$  is smooth and geometric,  $\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$  has Tor-amplitude  $\geq 0$  in which case  $T^*(X/B) \rightarrow X$  is a cone. In this case the zero section  $X \rightarrow T^*(X/B)$  is a closed immersion.

**Example 1.9.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a smooth morphism of schemes. Vector fields on  $X \rightarrow B$  give rise to functions on  $T^*(X/B)$ . So, given étale coordinates  $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$  on  $X \rightarrow B$  we obtain étale coordinates  $\{q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  on  $T^*(X/B) \rightarrow B$ , so that  $p_i$  corresponds to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$ . In these coordinates we have

$$\lambda_{X/B} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dq_i.$$

1.5.2. *Case  $n = -1$ .*

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $U \rightarrow B$  be a flat geometric morphism of stacks equipped with a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The **relative critical locus** is the fiber product

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_0 \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{d_B f}} & T^*(U/B), \end{array}$$

where  $\Gamma_0: U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  is the zero section and  $\Gamma_{d_B f}: U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  is the graph of the relative one-form  $d_B f$ .

By construction we have  $\Gamma_{d_B f}^* \lambda_{U/B} \sim d_B f$  in  $\mathcal{A}^1(U/B, n)$ . Thus,  $f$  provides a nullhomotopy  $h_f: \Gamma_{d_B f}^* \lambda_{U/B} \sim 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{ex}}(U/B, 0)$ . Similarly,  $\Gamma_0^* \lambda_{U/B} \sim 0$ . The difference of the two nullhomotopies on  $\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  provides an element

$$s_f = h_f - h_0 \in \Omega \mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B, 0) \cong \mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B, -1).$$

When we need to specify the base scheme, we also denote it by  $s_{f, B}$ .

**1.6. Immersions.** In this preliminary section we collect some useful definitions and facts about immersions. Throughout we fix a scheme  $B$  over  $k$ . We will use smoothings of schemes, which are immersions of a given scheme into a smooth scheme.

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -scheme and  $x \in X$  a point. An immersion  $\iota: X \rightarrow U$  into a smooth  $B$ -scheme is **minimal at  $x$**  if the pullback  $\iota^*: \Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/B, x}^1$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark 1.12.** For any immersion  $\iota: X \rightarrow U$  the map  $\iota^*: \Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/B, x}^1$  is surjective, so that  $\dim \Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1 \geq \dim \Omega_{X/B, x}^1$ . The minimality assumption is that this is an equality.

The local existence of minimal immersions is shown in [Stacks, Tag 0CBL]. Moreover, locally, every immersion can be replaced by a minimal one.

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $X$  be a  $B$ -scheme and  $x \in X$  a point. Let  $\iota: X \rightarrow U$  be an immersion into a smooth  $B$ -scheme. Then there is an open neighborhood  $X^\circ \subset X$  of  $x$  and a factorization of  $X^\circ \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\iota} U$  as  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{\iota^\circ} V \rightarrow U$ , where  $V$  is a smooth  $B$ -scheme and  $\iota^\circ$  is a closed immersion minimal at  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r = \dim \Omega_{X/B, x}^1$ . By [Stacks, Tag 0CBK] we may find an open neighborhood  $X' \subset X$  of  $x$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{A}_B^r \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\iota} & U \end{array}$$

with  $\pi$  unramified. By [EGAIV, Corollaire 18.4.7] we may find an open neighborhood  $X^\circ \subset X'$  of  $x$  and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\circ & \xrightarrow{\iota^\circ} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_B^r \end{array}$$

with  $\iota^\circ$  a closed immersion and  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^r$  étale. In particular,  $V \rightarrow B$  is smooth of relative dimension  $r$ . In particular,  $\iota^\circ$  is minimal.  $\square$

One can also show the existence of minimal immersions compatibly with smooth morphisms.

**Proposition 1.14.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth morphism of  $B$ -schemes with  $Y \rightarrow B$  locally of finite type,  $x \in X$  a point and  $y = f(x)$ . Then there are open neighborhoods  $X^\circ \subset X$  of  $x$  and  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  of  $y$ , a smooth morphism  $f^\circ: X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$ , a smooth morphism  $\tilde{f}: U \rightarrow V$  of smooth  $B$ -schemes and closed immersions  $\iota: X^\circ \rightarrow U$  and  $j: Y^\circ \rightarrow V$ , such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X^\circ & \xrightarrow{\iota} & U \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^\circ & & \downarrow \tilde{f} \\ Y & \longleftarrow & Y^\circ & \xrightarrow{j} & V \end{array}$$

*commutes and  $\iota$  and  $j$  are minimal at  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be the relative dimension of  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  at  $x$ . By [Stacks, Tag 054L], we may find open neighborhoods  $X^\circ \subset X$  of  $x$  and  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  of  $y$  together with étale morphism  $g: X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d \times Y^\circ$  and a smooth morphism  $f^\circ: X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longleftarrow & X^\circ \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{A}^d \times Y^\circ \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^\circ \swarrow \pi_2 \\ Y & \longleftarrow & Y^\circ \end{array}$$

By [Stacks, Tag 0CBL], by shrinking  $Y^\circ$  we may find a closed immersion  $j: Y^\circ \rightarrow V$  minimal at  $y \in Y^\circ$  with  $V$  a smooth  $B$ -scheme. Therefore, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X^\circ & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{A}^d \times Y^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times j} & \mathbb{A}^d \times V \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^\circ & \swarrow \pi_2 & \searrow \pi_2 & & \\ Y & \longleftarrow & Y^\circ & \xrightarrow{j} & V & & \end{array}$$

The composite  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{A}^d \times Y^\circ \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times j} \mathbb{A}^d \times V$  is unramified. Therefore, by [EGAIV, Corollaire 18.4.7], shrinking  $X^\circ$  we may factor  $X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d \times V$  as  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{\iota} U \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{A}^d \times V$ , where  $\iota$  is a closed immersion and  $h$  is étale. Thus, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X^\circ & \xrightarrow{\iota} & U & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{A}^d \times V \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^\circ & \swarrow \pi_2 & & & \\ Y & \longleftarrow & Y^\circ & \xrightarrow{j} & V & & \end{array}$$

Let  $\tilde{f} := \pi_2 \circ h: U \rightarrow V$  which is smooth, as it is a composite of an étale morphism and a projection. By construction  $j: Y^\circ \rightarrow V$  is minimal at  $y$ , so that  $\dim(\Omega_{Y^\circ/B, y}^1) = \dim(\Omega_{V/B, j(y)}^1)$ . Since  $f^\circ$  is smooth of relative dimension  $d$  at  $x$ , we have  $\dim(\Omega_{X^\circ/B, x}^1) = \dim(\Omega_{Y^\circ/B, y}^1) + d$ . Since  $h$  is étale,  $\pi_2$  is smooth of relative dimension  $d$  at  $\iota(x)$ , i.e.  $\dim(\Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1) = \dim(\Omega_{V/B, j(y)}^1) + d$ . Therefore,  $\dim(\Omega_{X^\circ/B, x}^1) = \dim(\Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1)$ .  $\square$

## 2. CONSTRUCTIBLE SHEAVES

In this section we summarize the properties of a theory of constructible sheaves on schemes which we will use in the paper. Such theories will involve choosing the ground field  $k$  the schemes will be defined over and a commutative ring  $R$  of coefficients.

**2.1. Six-functor formalisms.** For an  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  which admits finite limits and which has a class  $c$  of morphisms stable under compositions and pullbacks, recall the symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C})_{c; \text{all}}$  which has the following informal description:

- Its objects are objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- Morphisms from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$  are given by correspondences  $X_1 \leftarrow X_{12} \rightarrow X_2$  with  $X_{12} \rightarrow X_2$  in  $c$ .
- The composite of  $X_1 \leftarrow X_{12} \rightarrow X_2$  and  $X_2 \leftarrow X_{23} \rightarrow X_3$  is given by the pullback  $X_1 \leftarrow X_{12} \times_{X_2} X_{23} \rightarrow X_3$ .
- The symmetric monoidal structure is given by the Cartesian symmetric monoidal structure in  $\mathcal{C}$ :  $X_1, X_2 \mapsto X_1 \times X_2$ .

We have the following notion of 6-functor formalisms from [Man22, Definition A.5.7].

**Definition 2.1.** A *weak 6-functor formalism on  $\mathcal{C}$*  is a lax symmetric monoidal functor

$$\mathbf{D}_!^*: \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all}; \text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}.$$

We denote the values of a weak 6-functor formalism as follows:

- The image of  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{D}(X) \in \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$ .
- The image of  $X \xleftarrow{\text{id}} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is given by  $f_!: \mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(Y)$ . We denote its right adjoint by  $f^!: \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ .
- The image of  $Y \xleftarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X$  is given by  $f^*: \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ . We denote its right adjoint by  $f_*: \mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(Y)$ .
- The lax symmetric monoidal structure is given by  $\boxtimes: \mathbf{D}(X) \otimes \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X \times Y)$  and  $\text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\text{pt})$  denoted by  $M \in \text{Mod}_R \mapsto \underline{M} \in \mathbf{D}(\text{pt})$ .

The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{D}(X)$  carries a symmetric monoidal structure defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} = \Delta^*(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G}), \quad \mathbf{1}_X = p^* \underline{R},$$

where  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$  is the diagonal and  $p: X \rightarrow \text{pt.}$  We denote by  $\mathcal{H}\text{om}(-, -): \mathbf{D}(X)^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  the internal Hom. We denote by  $\mathbb{R}\Gamma_c(X, -)$  the  $!$ -pushforward along  $X \rightarrow \text{pt.}$

**Definition 2.2.** An object  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  is *lisse* if it is dualizable with respect to (2.1).

Given a Cartesian square

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \end{array}$$

in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  we obtain the following natural transformations:

- (1) There is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ex}_!^*: f'_! g^* \xrightarrow{\sim} h^* f_!$$

witnessed by the 2-cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X' & & \\ & \swarrow g & & \searrow f' & \\ X & & & & Y' \\ \swarrow \text{id} & & \searrow f & & \swarrow h \\ X & & & & Y \\ & \searrow & & \swarrow & \\ & & Y' & & \end{array}$$

in the  $\infty$ -category of  $\text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all}; \text{all}}$ . By construction the exchange natural isomorphism  $\text{Ex}_!^*$  is compatible with compositions.

- (2) The natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ex}_*^!: f^! h_* \xrightarrow{\sim} g_*(f')^!$$

is defined to be the mate of  $\text{Ex}_!^*$ .

- (3) There is a natural transformation

$$\text{Ex}^{*,!}: g^* f^! \longrightarrow (f')^! h^*$$

obtained as the mate of the composite

$$f'_! g^* f^! \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_!^*} h^* f_! f^! \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} h^*.$$

For a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have the following natural transformations:

- (1)  $\text{Pr}_!^*: f_!((-) \otimes f^*(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_!(-) \otimes (-)$  witnessing the projection formula.
- (2)  $\text{Pr}^{!,*}: f^!(-) \otimes f^*(-) \longrightarrow f^!(- \otimes -)$  is the mate of

$$f_!(f^!(-) \otimes f^*(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_!^*} f_! f^!(-) \otimes (-) \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} (-) \otimes (-).$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a weak 6-functor formalism. A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  is *weakly cohomologically smooth* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\text{Pr}_!^*: f^!(-) \otimes f^*(-) \rightarrow f^!(- \otimes -)$  is an isomorphism.
- (2) The object  $f^! \mathbf{1}_Y \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  is invertible.
- (3) For any Cartesian diagram (2.2) the morphism  $\text{Ex}^{*,!}: g^* f^! \mathbf{1}_Y \rightarrow (f')^! \mathbf{1}_{Y'}$  is an isomorphism.

A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  is *cohomologically smooth* if for any Cartesian diagram (2.2) the morphism  $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$  is weakly cohomologically smooth.

Next we introduce the notion of a cohomologically proper morphism. For a monomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

In this case the base change isomorphism is  $\text{Ex}_*^!: f^! f_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}$ . We denote its mates by

$$\text{fgsp}_f: f_! \longrightarrow f_* \quad \epsilon_f: f^! \longrightarrow f^*.$$

Equivalently, they are mates of the base change isomorphism  $\text{Ex}_!^*: \text{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} f^* f_!$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a weak 6-functor formalism. A monomorphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  is *cohomologically proper* if  $\text{fgsp}_f$  is an isomorphism.

For a general morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & & & \\ & \searrow \Delta & & & \\ & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X & \\ & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow f & \\ & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \end{array}$$

If  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is cohomologically proper, we define  $\text{fgsp}_f: f_! \rightarrow f_*$  as the mate of the composite

$$\text{id} \cong (p_1)_* \Delta_* \Delta^! p_2^! \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{fgsp}_\Delta} (p_1)_* \Delta^! \Delta^! p_2^! \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} (p_1)_* p_2^! \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*^!} f^! f_*.$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a weak 6-functor formalism. A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  is *cohomologically proper* if  $\text{fgsp}_\Delta$  and  $\text{fgsp}_f$  are isomorphisms.

**Remark 2.6.** If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a monomorphism, its diagonal  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is an isomorphism in which case the map  $\text{fgsp}_\Delta$  is an isomorphism. Therefore, the above definitions of cohomological properness are consistent.

We are ready to state the definition of a 6-functor formalism we will use in this paper.

**Definition 2.7.** A *6-functor formalism* is a weak 6-functor formalism  $\mathbf{D}_!^*: \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all}; \text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) Every proper morphism is cohomologically proper.
- (2) Every smooth morphism is cohomologically smooth.

Next we introduce the Verdier duality functor for a 6-functor formalism. For  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  we denote by  $\omega_X = p^! \mathbf{1}_{\text{pt}}$ , where  $p: X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ . Similarly, for  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we denote  $\omega_{X/Y} = f^! \mathbf{1}_Y$ . For  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  we define

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X)$$

which comes with natural transformations

$$\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}))$$

and

$$\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes}: \mathbb{D}(-) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(-) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(- \boxtimes -).$$

For a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we have natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}: f^! \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} f^*$$

and

$$\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}: f_* \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} f_!$$

which fit into a commutative diagram

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} f^! h_* \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}} & f^! \mathbb{D} h_! & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} f^* h_! \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_!^* \\ g_*(f')^! \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}} & g_* \mathbb{D}(f')^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} g_!(f')^*. \end{array}$$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a monomorphism. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_* f^! \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}^!, \mathbb{D}} & f_* \mathbb{D} f^* & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*, \mathbb{D}} & \mathbb{D} f_! f^* \\ \downarrow \epsilon_f & & & & \uparrow \text{fgsp}_f \\ f_* f^* \mathbb{D} & \xleftarrow{\text{unit}} & \mathbb{D} & \xleftarrow{\text{unit}} & \mathbb{D} f_* f^* \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* Applying (2.3) we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_!^*} & \mathbb{D} f_! f^* \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! & & \uparrow \text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} \\ f_* f^! \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^!, \mathbb{D}} & f_* \mathbb{D} f^* \end{array}$$

Using the definitions of  $\text{fgsp}_f$  and  $\epsilon_f$  as mates of  $\text{Ex}_!^*$  and  $\text{Ex}_*^!$ , respectively, we obtain the claim.  $\square$

For a lisse object  $V \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  with dual  $V^\vee \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  and another object  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  we have a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ex}^{V, \mathbb{D}}: \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes V^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

The natural transformation  $\psi$  commutes with the operation  $f^*$  in the following sense: given a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^* & \xrightarrow{f^* \psi} & f^* \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \\ \downarrow \psi f^* & & \downarrow \psi f^* \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \\ \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} f^* & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} f^* \psi} & \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} f^* \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D}. \end{array}$$

We also have the following compatibility between  $\psi$  and  $\text{Ex}^!, \mathbb{D}$ : there is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^! \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^!, \mathbb{D}} & \mathbb{D} f^* \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \\ f^! \mathbb{D}(\psi) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{D} f^*(\psi) \\ f^! \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^!, \mathbb{D}} & \mathbb{D} f^*. \end{array}$$

**2.2. Complex analytic constructible sheaves on schemes and stacks.** In this section  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $R$  a commutative Noetherian ring of finite global dimension. Let  $\text{Top}^{\text{lch}}$  be the category of locally compact Hausdorff topological spaces.

Using results of [KS90; Vol21], an  $\infty$ -categorical six-functor formalism for locally compact Hausdorff topological spaces was constructed in [Kha25, Theorem 8.1.8]. In particular, we get a lax symmetric monoidal functor

$$\text{Shv}_!^*: \text{Corr}(\text{Top}^{\text{lch}})_{\text{all}; \text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$$

which sends a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  to  $\text{Shv}(X; R)$ , the derived  $\infty$ -category of complexes of sheaves of  $R$ -modules on  $X$  with  $*$ -pullbacks and  $!$ -pushforwards along arbitrary morphisms which admit right adjoints  $f_*$  and  $f^!$ .

Given a scheme  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  which is separated and of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  we denote by  $X^{\text{an}} \in \text{Top}^{\text{lch}}$  its analytification. For a scheme  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  an object  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Shv}(X^{\text{an}}; R)$  is **constructible** if there is a finite stratification  $X = \sqcup_i X_i$  of  $X$  into locally closed subschemes  $X_i$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_i}$  is locally constant with perfect stalks. Let  $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X) \subset \text{Shv}(X^{\text{an}}; R)$  be the full subcategory of constructible sheaves and  $\mathbf{D}(X) = \text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}_c^b(X))$  the  $\infty$ -category of ind-constructible sheaves. By [Ver76] (see also the overview in [MS22]) the six-functor formalism restricts to constructible sheaves and, hence, ind-constructible sheaves, i.e. we have a lax symmetric monoidal functor

$$\mathbf{D}_!^*: \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all}; \text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$$

which sends  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  to  $\mathbf{D}(X)$ . Let us summarize some properties of constructible sheaves that can be found in [KS90; Ach21; MS22].

**Proposition 2.9.**  $\mathbf{D}_!^* : \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$  is a 6-functor formalism which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) For every  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  the unit  $\text{id} \rightarrow \pi_* \pi^*$  is an isomorphism for  $\pi : \mathbb{A}^1 \times X \rightarrow X$  the projection.
- (2) The functor  $\mathbf{D}^* : \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft},\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$  given by sending  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to  $f^* : \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  satisfies étale descent.
- (3) For a closed immersion  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  and its complementary open immersion  $j : U \rightarrow X$  the base change isomorphism gives  $j^! i_* \cong 0$ . The corresponding commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} j_! j^! & \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} & \text{id} \\ \downarrow \text{unit} & & \downarrow \text{unit} \\ j_! j^! i_* i^* \cong 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} & i_* i^* \end{array}$$

is Cartesian.

- (4) For every  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  the morphism  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}))$  is an isomorphism.
- (5) For every  $X, Y \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X), \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y)$  the morphism  $\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes} : \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{G})$  is an isomorphism.
- (6) There is a perverse  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  for  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  with heart  $\text{Perv}(X)$  with the following properties:
  - (a) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is smooth,  $f^{\dagger} := f^![-\dim(X/Y)] : \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  is  $t$ -exact.
  - (b) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is smooth with connected fibers,  $f^{\dagger} : \text{Perv}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$  is fully faithful.
  - (c) If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is finite,  $f_* : \mathbf{D}_c^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y)$  is  $t$ -exact.
- (7) If  $R$  is a field and  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$ , the natural functor  $\text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\text{Perv}(X))) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  is an equivalence.
- (8) Lisse objects in  $\mathbf{D}(X)$  are precisely locally constant sheaves with perfect stalks.

Let us now present several corollaries of the assumptions. First, we may extend a 6-functor formalism to stacks as follows.

**Proposition 2.10.** There is a functor

$$\mathbf{D}_!^* : \text{Corr}(\text{Art}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$$

with the following properties:

- (1) Its restriction to  $\text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}}$  coincides with the original 6-functor formalism.
- (2) The functor

$$\mathbf{D}(X) \longrightarrow \lim_{(S,s)} \mathbf{D}(S)$$

induced by  $*$ -pullbacks, where the limit is taken over the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Sch}_{/X}^{\text{sepft}}$  of schemes  $S \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  together with a morphism  $s : S \rightarrow X$ , is an equivalence.

- (3) Every smooth morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$  is cohomologically smooth with respect to this extension of the 6-functor formalism.

*Proof.* We will construct an extension of the 6-functor formalism in three steps using the subcategories

$$\text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}} \subset \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{sepft};\text{all}} \subset \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}} \subset \text{Corr}(\text{Art}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}},$$

where morphisms in  $\text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{sepft};\text{all}}$  are given by correspondences  $X_1 \leftarrow X_{12} \rightarrow X_2$  of schemes locally of finite type, where  $X_{12} \rightarrow X_2$  is separated and of finite type.

- (1) The extension of  $\mathbf{D}_!^* : \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})_{\text{all};\text{all}} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$  to

$$\mathbf{D}_!^* : \text{Corr}(\text{Sch}^{\text{lft}})_{\text{sepft};\text{all}} \longrightarrow \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}}$$

is provided by [Man22, Proposition A.5.16].

- (2) Since étale morphisms are cohomologically smooth and  $\mathbf{D}$  satisfies étale descent with respect to  $*$ -pullbacks, it also satisfies étale descent with respect to  $!$ -pullbacks. Therefore, the functor

$$\mathbf{D}(X) \longrightarrow \lim_{(S,s)} \mathbf{D}(S)$$

induced by  $!$ -pullbacks, where  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  and the limit is taken over the category  $\mathrm{Sch}_{/X}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  of schemes  $S \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  together with a morphism  $s: S \rightarrow X$ , is an equivalence. Therefore, by [Man22, Lemma A.5.11] we obtain an extension

$$\mathbf{D}_!^*: \mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}})_{\mathrm{all};\mathrm{all}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_R^{\mathrm{St}}.$$

- (3) By assumption every morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  which is smooth, separated and of finite type is cohomologically smooth. Using étale descent we get that any smooth morphism in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  is cohomologically smooth. In particular, we obtain a  $\sharp$ -direct image (in the sense of [Kha23, Definition 2.30]) for every smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $f_{\sharp}(-) = f_!(f^!(\mathbf{1}_Y) \otimes (-))$ . Therefore, by [Kha25, Theorem 3.4.3(ii)] we obtain an extension

$$\mathbf{D}_!^*: \mathrm{Corr}(\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}})_{\mathrm{all};\mathrm{all}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_R^{\mathrm{St}}.$$

□

We extend the notion of constructible and perverse sheaves to stacks as follows. For  $Y \in \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  we have:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(Y)$  is constructible if for every smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  the object  $f^*\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  is constructible.
- (2) There is a  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{D}_c^b(Y)$  such that for every smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  the functor  $f^!$  is  $t$ -exact.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $X \in \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}}$ ,  $V \in \mathbf{D}(X)$  a lisse object and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$ . Then  $V \otimes \mathcal{F}$  is constructible.*

*Proof.* By definition of constructibility the claim for any  $X \in \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  reduces to the same claim for  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ . In this case we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}, -) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}(\mathcal{F}, V^\vee \otimes (-)).$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is constructible, this functor preserves colimits. □

The definition of the Verdier duality functor  $\mathbb{D}$  extends verbatim to stacks. We have the following functoriality of the isomorphism  $\psi$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a smooth morphism in  $\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y)$ . Then the composite*

$$\begin{aligned} f^!\mathcal{F} &\xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathcal{F}}} f^!\mathbb{D}^2\mathcal{F} \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}^{!,\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{D}f^*\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F} \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pr}^{!,*}} \mathbb{D}(\omega_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes f^!\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Ex}^{!,\mathbb{D}})^{-1}} \mathbb{D}(\omega_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{D}(f^*\mathcal{F})) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\mathrm{Ex}^{\omega_{X/Y}^{-1},\mathbb{D}})^{-1}} \mathbb{D}^2(\omega_{X/Y} \otimes f^*\mathcal{F}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Pr}^{!,*}} \mathbb{D}^2f^!\mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to  $\psi_{f^!\mathcal{F}}$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *For  $Y \in \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{lft}}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}(Y)$  constructible, the morphism  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}))$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a smooth morphism. In the composite in Lemma 2.12 all morphisms, except possibly the first, are isomorphisms. Since  $\omega_{X/Y}$  is invertible and  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is constructible,  $f^!\mathcal{F}$  is also constructible by Proposition 2.11. Thus, the composite is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.9(5). Therefore,  $f^!\mathcal{F} \rightarrow f^!\mathbb{D}^2\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism. Since the functors  $\{f^!: \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)\}$ , where  $f$  ranges over smooth

morphisms from a separated scheme of finite type, are jointly conservative, we get that  $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Given a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$ , we obtain a unique natural isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Ex}^{*,\mathbb{D}}: f^*\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}f^!$$

fitting into the commutative squares

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^* & \xrightarrow{\psi_{f^*}} & \mathbb{D}\mathbb{D}f^* \\ f^*\psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{D}\text{Ex}^{!,\mathbb{D}} \\ f^*\mathbb{D}\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{*,\mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D}f^!\mathbb{D}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^! & \xrightarrow{\psi_{f^!}} & \mathbb{D}\mathbb{D}f^! \\ f^!\psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{D}\text{Ex}^{*,\mathbb{D}} \\ f^!\mathbb{D}\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!,\mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D}f^*\mathbb{D}. \end{array}$$

For a pair of morphisms  $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  and  $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$  we have a natural transformation

$$\text{Ex}^{!,\boxtimes}: f_1^!\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes f_2^!\mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow (f_1 \times f_2)^!(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)$$

which is an isomorphism for  $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y_2)$  as can be seen by applying the Verdier duality functor  $\mathbb{D}$  and using Proposition 2.9(5). See [Mas01, Proposition 1.3].

**Proposition 2.14.** *For a smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$  there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{pur}_f: f^*[2\dim(X/Y)] \xrightarrow{\sim} f^!$$

of functors  $\mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  which is functorial for compositions up to coherent homotopy and compatible with products.

*Proof.* By functoriality the natural isomorphism  $\text{pur}_f$  extends uniquely from schemes to stacks, so it is sufficient to construct it for smooth morphisms of schemes.

Since a smooth morphism is cohomologically smooth, the natural morphism  $\text{Pr}^{!,*}: \omega_{X/Y} \otimes f^*(-) \rightarrow f^!(-)$  is an isomorphism. Thus, it is enough to construct an isomorphism  $\omega_{X/Y} \cong \mathbf{1}_X[2\dim(X/Y)]$ , functorial in  $f$ . The construction of this isomorphism and its 1-categorical functoriality (i.e. the isomorphisms  $\text{pur}_{\text{id}} \cong \text{id}$  and  $\text{pur}_{g \circ f} \cong \text{pur}_f \circ \text{pur}_g$ ) are well-known. But since  $\omega_{X/Y}[-2\dim(X/Y)]$  is a local system of vector spaces, the 1-categorical functoriality implies the  $\infty$ -categorical functoriality.  $\square$

Given a smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$  of relative dimension  $d$ , we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Ex}^{\dagger,\mathbb{D}}: f^{\dagger}\mathbb{D} = f^![-d]\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!,\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{D}f^*[d] \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{pur}_f} \mathbb{D}f^{\dagger}.$$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$  be a smooth morphism. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D}\mathbb{D}f^{\dagger} \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \mathbb{D}\text{Ex}^{\dagger,\mathbb{D}} \\ f^{\dagger}\mathbb{D}\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\dagger,\mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D}f^{\dagger}\mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* The claim follows from Lemma 2.12.  $\square$

Similarly, for a schematic and proper morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ex}_{*,\mathbb{D}}: f_*\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*,\mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{D}f_! \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{fgsp}_f} \mathbb{D}f_*.$$

**Proposition 2.16.** *Consider a Cartesian square (2.2), where  $h$  is proper and  $f$  is smooth. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^{\dagger}h_*\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*,\mathbb{D}}} & f^{\dagger}\mathbb{D}h_* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\dagger,\mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D}f^{\dagger}h_* \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^{\dagger} & & & & \uparrow \text{Ex}_*^{\dagger} \\ g_*(f')^{\dagger}\mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\dagger,\mathbb{D}}} & g_*\mathbb{D}(f')^{\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*,\mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D}g_*(f')^{\dagger} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be the relative dimension of  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $\text{BC}: f^*h_* \rightarrow g_*(f')^*$  be the Beck–Chevalley transformation obtained as the mate of the isomorphism  $g^*f^* \cong (f')^*h^*$ . By [KPS24, Lemma 7.4] the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*h_* & \xrightarrow{\text{BC}} & g_*(f')^* \\ \downarrow \text{pur}_f & & \downarrow \text{pur}_{f'} \\ f^!h_*[-2d] & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^!} & g_*(f')^![-2d]. \end{array}$$

commutes. By [FYZ23, Example 3.2.1] the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^!(f')^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_!^*} & f^*h_! \\ \downarrow \text{fgsp}_g & & \downarrow \text{fgsp}_h \\ g_*(f')^* & \xleftarrow{\text{BC}} & f^*h_* \end{array}$$

commutes. Combining the above two diagrams with (2.3) we get the result.  $\square$

We may use Proposition 2.9(7) to (uniquely) extend exact functors on  $\text{Perv}(-)$ , and natural transformations between them, to  $\mathbf{D}(-)$  as follows. For this it will be convenient to record the following consequence of the universal property of bounded derived  $\infty$ -categories. Consider the following  $\infty$ -categories:

- (1) The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}$  of  $R$ -linear abelian categories and  $R$ -linear exact functors. (This is a  $(2, 1)$ -category.)
- (2) The  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t}$  of stable presentable  $R$ -linear  $\infty$ -categories equipped with a  $t$ -structure, and  $t$ -exact  $R$ -linear colimit-preserving functors.
- (3) The subcategory  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}} \subset \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t}$  consisting of those objects  $\mathcal{E}$  for which the  $t$ -structure restricts to  $\mathcal{E}^\omega$ , and the colimit-preserving functor  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{E}^\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is a  $t$ -exact equivalence; and those morphisms given by functors that preserve compact objects.
- (4) The full subcategory  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}, \text{comp}} \subset \text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}}$  spanned by those  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfying the following condition. By [Bun+19, Corollary 7.4.12] and [Lur09, Proposition 5.3.6.2], there is a unique  $t$ -exact  $R$ -linear colimit-preserving functor  $\text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{E}^\heartsuit)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  extending the inclusion  $\mathcal{E}^\heartsuit \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  belongs to  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}, \text{comp}}$  if this functor is an equivalence.

We then have:

**Proposition 2.17.** *Consider the canonical functor  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}$  sending  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{E}^{\omega, \heartsuit}$ , the heart of the full subcategory of compact objects (with respect to its induced  $t$ -structure). This induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}, \text{comp}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}.$$

*Proof.* By [Lur09, Proposition 5.3.6.2] and [Bun+19, Corollary 7.4.12], the restriction functor

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{L}, \omega, t\text{-ex}}(\text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})), \text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{B}))) \cong \text{Fun}^{t\text{-ex}}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A}), \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

is an equivalence for any  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}$ , where the source denotes  $t$ -exact functors that preserve colimits and compact objects. Passing to underlying  $\infty$ -groupoids, we find that the functor  $\text{Pr}_R^{\text{St}, t, \text{cg}, \text{comp}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}$  is fully faithful. Essential surjectivity is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *Let  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}}$  an  $R$ -linear abelian category. Suppose  $R$  is a field. Then the restriction*

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{L}, t\text{-ex}}(\mathbf{D}(X), \text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})))^\sim \longrightarrow \text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\text{Perv}(X), \mathcal{A})^\sim$$

*is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -groupoids, where  $\text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\text{Perv}(X), \mathcal{A})^\sim$  denotes the groupoid of exact  $R$ -linear functors and  $\text{Fun}^{\text{L}}(\mathbf{D}(X), \text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{A})))^\sim$  the  $\infty$ -groupoid of colimit-preserving  $R$ -linear functors sending  $\text{Perv}(X)$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9(7) we have the natural equivalence  $\text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ . The functor in question is thus the functor induced on mapping  $\infty$ -groupoids by the equivalence of Proposition 2.17.  $\square$

**Remark 2.19.** The proof shows that Corollary 2.18 holds at the level of functor  $\infty$ -categories, and Proposition 2.17 admits a corresponding  $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical upgrade.

**Remark 2.20.** Corollary 2.18 does not extend to Artin stacks. Even though the natural functors  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Perv}(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  and  $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{D}_c^b(X)) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  are equivalences for  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ , neither functor is an equivalence for  $X = \mathrm{BG}_m$ .

**2.3. Vanishing cycles.** For a complex analytic space  $X$  and a holomorphic function  $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$X_{\mathrm{Re} \leq 0} = \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{Re}(t(x)) \leq 0\}.$$

The *vanishing cycles functor*  $\varphi_t: \mathrm{Shv}(X; R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(t^{-1}(0); R)$  is defined by

$$\varphi_t = (t^{-1}(0) \rightarrow X_{\mathrm{Re} \leq 0})^*(X_{\mathrm{Re} \leq 0} \rightarrow X)^!.$$

**Proposition 2.21.** For  $X \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  and  $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the functor

$$\phi_t = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (t^{-1}(c) \rightarrow X)_* \varphi_{t-c}$$

preserves constructibility, is perverse  $t$ -exact, and satisfies the following property:

- (1) For a smooth morphism  $f: X \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ , a function  $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and a perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Perv}(B)$ , the object  $\phi_t f^! \mathcal{F}$  is supported on the  $B$ -relative critical locus of  $t$ .

For a morphism  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  with  $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $t' := f^* t$  there are natural transformations

$$\mathrm{Ex}_\phi^!: \phi_{t'} f^! \rightarrow f^! \phi_t, \quad \mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi: \phi_t f_* \rightarrow f_* \phi_{t'}$$

which satisfy the following properties:

- (2)  $\mathrm{Ex}_\phi^!$  and  $\mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi$  are functorial for compositions.  
(3) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{11} & \xrightarrow{f_1} & X_{12} \\ \downarrow g_1 & & \downarrow g_2 \\ X_{21} & \xrightarrow{f_2} & X_{22} \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian diagram in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ . Let  $t_{22}: X_{22} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be a morphism and denote by  $t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}$  its restrictions to  $X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi_{t_{21}} f_2^! g_2, * & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_\phi^!} & f_2^! \phi_{t_{22}} g_2, * & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi} & f_2^! g_2, * \phi_{t_{12}} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi & & & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi \\ \phi_{t_{21}} g_1, * f_1^! & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi} & g_1, * \phi_{t_{11}} f_1^! & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_\phi^!} & g_1, * f_1^! \phi_{t_{12}} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (4) If  $f$  is smooth,  $\mathrm{Ex}_\phi^!$  is invertible.  
(5) If  $f$  is proper,  $\mathrm{Ex}_*^\phi$  is invertible.

*Proof.* We first show that  $\varphi_t$  preserves constructibility and the perverse  $t$ -structure. Indeed, the claim is local, so by embedding  $X$  into a smooth scheme and using proper base change we are reduced to the corresponding claim for  $X$  smooth. The fact that  $\varphi_f$  preserves constructibility is shown in [MS22, Corollary 4.12]. Perverse  $t$ -exactness is shown in [KS90, Corollary 10.3.13].

Next we show that  $\phi_t$  preserves constructibility and the perverse  $t$ -structure. Fix  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X)$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Whitney stratification of  $X$  such that for all strata  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_S$  is locally constant. By [Mas00, Remark 1.10] for every  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$(2.8) \quad \mathrm{supp}(\varphi_{t-c} \mathcal{F}) \subset \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \mathrm{Crit}_S(t|_S) \cap t^{-1}(c).$$

Since  $t|_S$  is locally constant on  $\text{Crit}_S(t|_S)^{\text{red}}$  and  $\text{Crit}_S(t|_S)$  has finitely many irreducible components,  $t|_S$  takes finitely many values on  $\text{Crit}_S(t|_S)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite, this implies that only finitely many summands in the definition of  $\phi_f \mathcal{F}$  are nonzero. Thus,  $\phi_t \mathcal{F}$  is constructible and, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is perverse, so is  $\phi_t \mathcal{F}$ .

The exchange natural transformations  $\text{Ex}_\phi^!$  and  $\text{Ex}_\phi^*$  are constructed from the usual exchange natural transformations between the six-functor operations which satisfy analogs of (2)-(3). The smooth and proper base change theorems verify (4)-(5).

Let us now show (1). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Whitney stratification of  $B$  such that for all strata  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_S$  is locally constant. Then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$  is a Whitney stratification [Gib+76, Chapter I, Proposition 1.4] and  $f^\dagger \mathcal{F}$  is constructible with respect to  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ . Using (2.8) we get

$$\text{supp}(\phi_t f^\dagger \mathcal{F}) \subset \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{Crit}_{f^{-1}(S)}(t|_{f^{-1}(S)}) \subset \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}} \text{Crit}_{f^{-1}(S)/S}(t|_{f^{-1}(S)}).$$

Using the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(S) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

we get that  $\text{Crit}_{f^{-1}(S)/S}(t|_{f^{-1}(S)}) \subset \text{Crit}_{X/B}(t)$ . □

Taking mates of  $\text{Ex}_\phi^!$  and  $\text{Ex}_\phi^*$  we obtain

$$\text{Ex}_!^\phi: f_! \phi_{t'} \longrightarrow \phi_t f_!, \quad \text{Ex}_\phi^*: f^* \phi_t \longrightarrow \phi_{t'} f^*.$$

Moreover, by the proper and smooth base change theorems  $\text{Ex}_!^\phi$  is an isomorphism if  $f$  is proper and  $\text{Ex}_\phi^*$  is an isomorphism if  $f$  is smooth.

**Proposition 2.22.** *For a scheme  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  equipped with a function  $t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  there is a natural isomorphism  $\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}: \phi_t \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t}$  on constructible objects, satisfying the following properties:*

(1) *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_t & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \phi_t \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \\ \phi_t \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t} \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

(2) *For a morphism  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi_{t'} f^! \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}} & \phi_{t'} \mathbb{D} f^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t'} f^* \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^* \\ f^! \phi_t \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & f^! \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} f^* \phi_{-t} \end{array}$$

*commutes, where  $t' := f^* t$ .*

(3) *For a morphism  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$ , the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi_t f_* \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}} & \phi_t \mathbb{D} f_! & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t} f_! \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^* & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_!^\phi \\ f_* \phi_{t'} \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & f_* \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t'} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} f_! \phi_{-t'} \end{array}$$

*commutes, where  $t' := f^* t$ .*

*Proof.* We begin by recalling a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ex}^{\varphi, \mathbb{D}}: \varphi_t \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \varphi_{-t}$$

constructed in [Mas16] (the construction is reviewed in [Kin25, §2.3]).

Consider the diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_0 & \searrow^{g_0} & & & \\
& & X_{\text{Re}=0} & \xrightarrow{h_+} & X_{\text{Re}\geq 0} \\
& & \downarrow h_- & & \downarrow i_+ \\
& & X_{\text{Re}\leq 0} & \xrightarrow{i_-} & X,
\end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian. The natural isomorphism  $\text{Ex}^{\varphi, \mathbb{D}}$  is defined by the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_t \mathbb{D} &= g_0^* h_-^* i_-^! \mathbb{D} \\
&\xleftarrow{\epsilon_{g_0}} g_0^! h_-^* i_-^! \mathbb{D} \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{*,!}} g_0^! h_+^! i_+^* \mathbb{D} \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{*, \mathbb{D}}} g_0^! h_+^! \mathbb{D} i_+^! \\
&\xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{D} g_0^* h_+^* i_+^! \\
&= \mathbb{D} \varphi_{-t},
\end{aligned}$$

where the natural transformations on lines 2 and 3 are isomorphisms by [Mas16].  $\text{Ex}^{\varphi, \mathbb{D}}$  induces a natural isomorphism  $\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}: \phi_t \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t}$ .

Property (1) follows from the fact that the natural transformations  $\epsilon_f$  and  $\text{Ex}^{*,!}$  are Verdier self-dual while the natural isomorphisms  $\text{Ex}^{*, \mathbb{D}}$  and  $\text{Ex}^{!, \mathbb{D}}$  are exchanged under Verdier duality. Properties (2) and (3) follows from the standard commutativity diagrams between the six functors.  $\square$

**Remark 2.23.** There is a natural isomorphism  $T_\pi: \phi_t \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{-t}$  such that the composite

$$\phi_t \xrightarrow{T_\pi} \phi_{-t} \xrightarrow{T_\pi} \phi_t$$

is the monodromy operator  $T: \phi_t \rightarrow \phi_t$  for the sheaf of vanishing cycles. Consider the composite isomorphism

$$\overline{\text{Ex}}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}: \phi_t \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t} \xrightarrow{T_\pi} \mathbb{D} \phi_t.$$

Then Proposition 2.22(1) implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_t & \xrightarrow{T} & \phi_t & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \phi_t \\
\downarrow \psi & & & & \downarrow \overline{\text{Ex}}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \\
\phi_t \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{Ex}}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}} & & & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-t} \mathbb{D}
\end{array}$$

commutes.

**Proposition 2.24.** For a pair of schemes  $X_1, X_2 \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  equipped with functions  $t_i: X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  there is a natural Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism  $\text{TS}: \phi_{t_1}(-) \boxtimes \phi_{t_2}(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(- \boxtimes -)$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) TS is unital, associative and commutative.
- (2) For smooth morphisms  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  and functions  $t_i: Y_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $t'_i := f_i^* t_i$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\phi_{t'_1} f_1^\dagger \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \phi_{t'_2} f_2^\dagger \mathcal{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & \phi_{t'_1 \boxplus t'_2} (f_1 \times f_2)^\dagger (\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \\
\downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! \boxtimes \text{Ex}_\phi^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! \\
(f_1 \times f_2)^\dagger (\phi_{t_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \phi_{t_2} \mathcal{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (f_1 \times f_2)^\dagger \phi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2} (\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)
\end{array}$$

commutes.

(3) For proper morphisms  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{seft}}$  and functions  $t_i: Y_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $t'_i := f_i^* t_i$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_{t_1} f_{1,*} \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \phi_{t_2} f_{2,*} \mathcal{F}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & \phi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2} (f_1 \times f_2)_* (\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi \boxtimes \text{Ex}_*^\phi & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi \\ (f_1 \times f_2)_* (\phi_{t'_1} \mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \phi_{t'_2} \mathcal{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (f_1 \times f_2)_* \phi_{t'_1 \boxplus t'_2} (\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(4) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi_{t_1}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes \phi_{t_2}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & \phi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes}} & \phi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)) \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \boxtimes \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \\ \mathbb{D}\phi_{-t_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}\phi_{-t_2}(\mathcal{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes}} & \mathbb{D}(\phi_{-t_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes \phi_{-t_2}(\mathcal{F}_2)) & \xleftarrow{\text{TS}} & \mathbb{D}(\phi_{-(t_1 \boxplus t_2)}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* We begin by recalling the natural isomorphism

$$\text{TS}^\varphi: \varphi_{t_1}(-) \boxtimes \varphi_{t_2}(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(- \boxtimes -)|_{t_1^{-1}(0) \times t_2^{-1}(0)}$$

constructed in [Mas01] and [Sch03, Corollary 1.3.4]. Let

$$i_1: (X_1)_{\text{Re} \leq 0} \longrightarrow X_1, \quad z_1: t_1^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow (X_1)_{\text{Re} \leq 0}$$

and similarly for  $i_2, z_2$ . Let

$$i: (X_1 \times X_2)_{\text{Re} \leq 0} \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2, \quad z: (t_1 \boxplus t_2)^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow (X_1 \times X_2)_{\text{Re} \leq 0}.$$

Finally, let

$$l: (X_1)_{\text{Re} \leq 0} \times (X_2)_{\text{Re} \leq 0} \longrightarrow (X_1 \times X_2)_{\text{Re} \leq 0},$$

which is an inclusion of a closed subset. The natural isomorphism  $\text{TS}^\varphi$  is defined by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{t_1}(-) \boxtimes \varphi_{t_2}(-) &= z_1^* i_1^!(-) \boxtimes z_2^* i_2^!(-) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} (z_1 \times z_2)^* (i_1^!(-) \boxtimes i_2^!(-)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{!, \boxtimes}} (z_1 \times z_2)^* (i_1 \times i_2)^!(- \boxtimes -) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} (z_1 \times z_2)^* l^! i^!(- \boxtimes -) \\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon_l} (z_1 \times z_2)^* l^* i^!(- \boxtimes -) \\ &= \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(- \boxtimes -)|_{t_1^{-1}(0) \times t_2^{-1}(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the natural transformations on lines 3 and 5 are isomorphisms by [Mas01].

Fix  $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_2)$ . In [Mas01] it is shown that  $(t_1^{-1}(0) \times t_2^{-1}(0)) \cap \text{supp } \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)$  is an open subset of  $\text{supp } \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ . Therefore, we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (t_1^{-1}(c) \times t_2^{-1}(-c) \rightarrow (t_1 \boxplus t_2)^{-1}(0))_* \left( \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)|_{t_1^{-1}(c) \times t_2^{-1}(-c)} \right).$$

Applying the Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism  $\text{TS}^\varphi$  we thus get an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (t_1^{-1}(c) \times t_2^{-1}(-c) \rightarrow (t_1 \boxplus t_2)^{-1}(0))_* (\varphi_{t_1-c}(\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes \varphi_{t_2+c}(\mathcal{F}_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{t_1 \boxplus t_2}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)$$

natural in  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ . This gives rise to a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigoplus_{c_1 \in \mathbb{C}} (t_1^{-1}(c_1) \rightarrow X_1)_* \varphi_{t_1-c_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \right) \boxtimes \left( \bigoplus_{c_2 \in \mathbb{C}} (t_2^{-1}(c_2) \rightarrow X_2)_* \varphi_{t_2-c_2}(\mathcal{F}_2) \right) \\ & \quad \sim \downarrow \text{TS} \\ & \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} ((t_1 \boxplus t_2)^{-1}(c) \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2)_* \varphi_{(t_1 \boxplus t_2)-c}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxplus \mathcal{F}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Unitality, associativity and commutativity of the isomorphism TS follow from the corresponding properties of  $\text{TS}^\varphi$  which are obvious from the construction. Properties (2) and (3) follow from the natural compatibilities between the exchange natural transformations. Property (4) follows from the compatibility of exchange natural transformations with external tensor products.  $\square$

### 3. D-CRITICAL STRUCTURES

**3.1. Oriented orthogonal bundles.** Let  $U$  be a scheme. An **orthogonal bundle** is a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow U$  equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form  $q$ . For an orthogonal vector bundle  $(E, q)$  over  $U$  the quadratic form induces an isomorphism  $q^\sharp: E \xrightarrow{\sim} E^\vee$ . Taking its determinant we obtain an isomorphism

$$\det(E) \xrightarrow{\det(q^\sharp)} \det(E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\iota_E} \det(E)^\vee.$$

In particular, we obtain a **squared volume form**, i.e. a trivialization

$$\text{vol}_q^2: \mathcal{O}_U \cong \det(E)^{\otimes 2}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\text{vol}_q^2} \det(E)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{(\iota_E \circ \det(q^\sharp)) \otimes \text{id}} \det(E)^\vee \otimes \det(E)$$

is the coevaluation of the duality between  $\det(E)$  and  $\det(E)^\vee$ .

**Example 3.1.** Suppose  $E$  has an orthonormal basis  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  of sections. Then the squared volume form  $\text{vol}_q^2$  is given by

$$1 \mapsto (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n)^{\otimes 2}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(E_1, q_1)$  and  $(E_2, q_2)$  be two orthogonal vector bundles over a scheme  $U$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \det(E_1 \oplus E_2)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\det(E_1) \otimes \det(E_2))^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(E_1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(E_2)^{\otimes 2} \\ & \nwarrow \text{vol}_{q_1+q_2}^2 & \mathcal{O}_U & \nearrow \text{vol}_{q_1}^2 \otimes \text{vol}_{q_2}^2 & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* The statement is local on  $U$ , so we may assume that  $E_1$  has an orthonormal basis of sections  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $E_2$  has an orthonormal basis of sections  $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ . Then the image of  $\text{vol}_{q_1+q_2}^2$  under the top isomorphism is

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\mapsto (-1)^{(n+m)(n+m-1)/2} (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \wedge f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_m)^{\otimes 2} \\ &\mapsto (-1)^{(n+m)(n+m-1)/2} (-1)^{nm} (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n)^{\otimes 2} \otimes (f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_m)^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $(-1)^{(n+m)(n+m-1)/2} (-1)^{nm} = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (-1)^{m(m-1)/2}$ , so this expression coincides with  $\text{vol}_{q_1}^2 \otimes \text{vol}_{q_2}^2$ .  $\square$

We will now define orientations of orthogonal bundles.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(E, q)$  be an orthogonal bundle over  $U$ . An **orientation** of  $E$  is an isomorphism  $\text{vol}: \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(E)$  whose square is  $\text{vol}_q^2$ . We denote by  $\text{or}_E \rightarrow U$  the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded **orientation**  $\mu_2$ -**torsor** of  $E$ : its parity coincides with the parity of  $\text{rk}(E)$  and the underlying  $\mu_2$ -torsor parametrizes orientations.

For a pair of orthogonal bundles  $(E_1, q_1)$  and  $(E_2, q_2)$  we have an isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \text{or}_{E_1} \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_{E_2} \longrightarrow \text{or}_{E_1 \oplus E_2}$$

which sends

$$(\text{vol}_1, \text{vol}_2) \mapsto \text{vol}_1 \otimes \text{vol}_2,$$

which squares to  $\text{vol}_{q_1+q_2}^2$  by Lemma 3.2.

For an orthogonal bundle  $(E, q)$  we denote by  $\overline{E}$  the orthogonal bundle  $(E, -q)$ . Then we have an isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad \text{or}_E \longrightarrow \text{or}_{\overline{E}}$$

which sends  $\text{vol} \mapsto i^{\text{rk}(E)} \text{vol}$ , using the fact that  $\text{vol}_{-q}^2 = (-1)^{\text{rk}(E)} \text{vol}_q^2$ .

Besides the direct sum of orthogonal bundles we will also consider reductions of orthogonal bundles by isotropic subbundles.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(E, q)$  be an orthogonal bundle over a scheme  $U$  and  $K \subseteq E$  an isotropic subbundle. The **reduction** of  $E$  by  $K$  is the orthogonal bundle  $K^\perp/K$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(E, q)$  be an orthogonal bundle over a scheme  $U$ ,  $K \subseteq E$  an isotropic subbundle and  $F = K^\perp/K$  the reduction of  $E$  by  $K$ . Consider the composite isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \text{red}_K: \det(E) &\xleftarrow{i(\Delta_1)} \det(K^\perp) \otimes \det(K^\vee) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \iota_K} \det(K^\perp) \otimes \det(K)^\vee \\ &\xleftarrow{i(\Delta_2)} \det(K) \otimes \det(K)^\vee \otimes \det(F) \\ &\cong \det(F) \end{aligned}$$

induced by the exact sequences

$$\Delta_1: 0 \longrightarrow K^\perp \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow K^\vee \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\Delta_2: 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K^\perp \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then

$$\text{red}_K(\text{vol}_{E,q}^2) = (-1)^{\text{rk} K} \text{vol}_{F,q}^2.$$

*Proof.* The claim is local, so we may assume that  $E = F \oplus K \oplus K^\vee$  with  $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  an orthonormal basis of sections of  $F$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  a basis of sections of  $K$  and  $\{e^1, \dots, e^m\}$  the dual basis of sections of  $K^\vee$ . Then

$$\text{red}_K(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n \wedge e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_m \wedge e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^m) = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{red}_K(\text{vol}_{E,q}^2) &= (-1)^{(n+2m)(n+2m-1)/2} \text{red}_K(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n \wedge e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_m \wedge e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^m)^2 \\ &= (-1)^{(n+2m)(n+2m-1)/2} (s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n)^2 \\ &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (-1)^m (s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n)^2 \\ &= (-1)^m \text{vol}_{F,q}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, using the notation of Lemma 3.5 we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \text{or}_E \cong \text{or}_{K^\perp/K}$$

which sends a volume form  $\text{vol}$  on  $E$  to the volume form  $i^{\text{rk} K} \text{red}_K(\text{vol})$  on  $K^\perp/K$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(E, q)$  be an orthogonal bundle of even rank over a scheme  $U$ .

- An isotropic subbundle  $K \subseteq E$  is **Lagrangian** if  $\text{rk}(E) = 2\text{rk}(K)$ .
- Suppose  $E$  carries an orientation. A Lagrangian subbundle  $K \subseteq E$  is **positive** if the image of the orientation of  $E$  under the isomorphism (3.3) is the standard orientation of the zero bundle  $K^\perp/K = 0$ .

Given an orthogonal bundle  $(E, q)$  over a scheme  $U$  we consider the following maps:

- $\pi_E: E \rightarrow U$  is the projection;
- $0_E: U \rightarrow E$  is the zero section;
- $q_E: E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the function quadratic along the fibers of  $\pi_E$  corresponding to the quadratic form  $q$ .

Then we can form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E & \xrightarrow{q_E} \mathbb{A}^1 \\ 0_E \nearrow & \downarrow \pi_E & \\ & U & \end{array}$$

**3.2. D-critical structures on schemes.** For a scheme  $X$  over  $B$  we introduce a presheaf on the étale site of  $X$  by the formula

$$(U \rightarrow X) \mapsto \Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}_{U/B}) := \pi_0(\mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(U/B, -1))$$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  is a sheaf on  $X$  in the étale topology.
- (2) There is a long exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow h^{-1}(\mathbb{L}_{X/B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{X/B} \xrightarrow{\text{und}} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_B} h^0(\mathbb{L}_{X/B}) = \Omega_{X/B}^1$$

of sheaves on  $X$ .

- (3) Let  $X \hookrightarrow U$  be a closed immersion into a smooth  $B$ -scheme  $U$  with  $\mathcal{I}_{X,U}$  the ideal sheaf. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{X/B} \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,U}} \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X,U}^2 \xrightarrow{d_B} \Omega_{U/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{X,U} \Omega_{U/B}^1,$$

so that the composite  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B} \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,U}} \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X,U}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X,U} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$  is equal to  $\text{und}: \mathcal{S}_{X/B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$  we obtain a long exact sequence (3.4) of presheaves. Since  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$  and  $h^0(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$  are quasi-coherent presheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, they are sheaves. Therefore, using the long exact sequence (3.4) we get that  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  is a sheaf since  $h^{-1}(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $h^0(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$  are sheaves. This proves parts (1) and (2).

The definition of  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  is insensitive to replacing  $\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$  by its truncation  $\tau_{\geq -1}\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$ . By [Stacks, Tags 0FV4 and 08UW] we may model  $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_B} \tau_{\geq -1}\mathbb{L}_{X/B}$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{X,U}/\mathcal{I}_{X,U}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{X,U} \Omega_{U/B}^1 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow d_B \\ \mathcal{I}_{X,U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_U \end{array}$$

This gives the description of  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  as in part (3). □

**Example 3.8.** If  $X \rightarrow B$  is smooth, using the exact sequence (3.4) we identify sections of  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  with functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $d_B f = 0$ .

It is useful to use the following terminology.

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $B$  be a scheme.

- (1) An **LG pair over  $B$**  is a smooth  $B$ -scheme  $U \rightarrow B$  together with a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ .
- (2) A **morphism**  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$  is a morphism of  $B$ -schemes  $\Phi: U \rightarrow V$  such that  $\Phi^*g = f$ .

Recall that in Section 1.5.2 for an LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$  we have considered the relative critical locus  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  which is equipped with a section

$$s_f \in \Gamma(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), \mathcal{S}_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}).$$

It has the following explicit description.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Consider an LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$ . Under the embedding  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow U$  we have  $\iota_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), U}(s_f) = f \pmod{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), U}^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}}$  be the ideal defining the closed immersion  $\Gamma_{d_B f}$  and  $R = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . The pullback

$$\Gamma_{d_B f}^*: (\mathcal{O}_{T^*(U/B)} \xrightarrow{d_B} \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{d_B} \Omega_{U/B}^1)$$

factors as the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{T^*(U/B)} & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}_{T^*(U/B)}/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}}^2 & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}} \Omega_{T^*(U/B)}^1 \\
\downarrow \Gamma_{d_B f}^* & & \downarrow \Gamma_{d_B f}^* \\
\mathcal{O}_U & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{U/B}^1,
\end{array}$$

where the top vertical morphisms are given by modding out by powers of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}}$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.7, the bottom vertical morphisms assemble into a quasi-isomorphism of two-term complexes in degrees  $[0, 1]$ .

Consider the Liouville one-form  $\lambda_{U/B} \in \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1$ . The nullhomotopy  $h_f$  of  $\Gamma_{d_B f}^* \lambda_{U/B}$  represented by  $f \in \mathcal{O}_U$  in the bottom complex lifts to a nullhomotopy represented by  $\pi^* f \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*(U/B)}/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}}^2$  in the middle complex, where  $\pi: T^*(U/B) \rightarrow U$  is the projection.

Next, the pullback

$$\Gamma_0^*: (\mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{d_B} \Omega_{U/B}^1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_R \xrightarrow{d_B} \tau_{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_{R/B})$$

using the description of the truncated cotangent complex as in the proof of Proposition 3.7(3) is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{T^*(U/B)}/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}}^2 & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}} \Omega_{T^*(U/B)}^1 \\
\downarrow \Gamma_0^* & & \downarrow \Gamma_0^* \\
\mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}^2 & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{U/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R,U} \Omega_{U/B}^1.
\end{array}$$

Under the morphism

$$\Gamma_0^*: \Omega_{T^*(U/B)/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_{d_B f}} \Omega_{T^*(U/B)}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R,U} \Omega_{U/B}^1$$

we have  $\Gamma_0^* \lambda_{U/B} = 0$  which represents the nullhomotopy  $h_0$ . Therefore, the difference  $s_f = h_f - h_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{R/B}$  has image  $\Gamma_0^* \pi^* f = f$  under  $\iota_{R,U}: \mathcal{S}_{R/B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}^2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Consider an LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$ . Then  $\text{und}(s_f) = f|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$ .*

*Proof.* The claim follows from Proposition 3.10 as well as the compatibility of  $\iota_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), U}$  and  $\text{und}$  established in Proposition 3.7(3).  $\square$

We have the following functoriality of relative critical loci. For a morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs we have a correspondence

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(V/B) \times_V U & \\ \pi_V \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_U \\ T^*(V/B) & & T^*(U/B) \end{array}$$

of relative cotangent bundles. Now consider the diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & U & & \\ & \Phi \swarrow & & \searrow \Gamma_{d_B f} & \\ & V & & T^*(V/B) \times_V U & \\ & \Gamma_{d_B g} \searrow & \swarrow \pi_V & \searrow \pi_U & \\ & T^*(V/B) & & & T^*(U/B), \end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian. The triangle commutes because  $\Phi^*d_Bg = d_Bf$ . Taking the zero loci of the three sections  $V \rightarrow T^*(V/B)$  (with zero locus  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$ ),  $U \rightarrow T^*(V/B) \times_V U$  (with zero locus  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U$ ) and  $U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  (with zero locus  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ ) we obtain a correspondence

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U & \\ \pi_V \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_U \\ \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) & & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \end{array}$$

of relative critical loci.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a morphism of LG pairs over  $B$ . Then*

$$\pi_V^*s_g = \pi_U^*s_f$$

*in the correspondence (3.7).*

*Proof.* In the correspondence (3.5) we have  $\pi_U^*\lambda_{U/B} = \pi_V^*\lambda_{V/B}$  as can be easily checked in local coordinates. Now consider the correspondence (3.6). In the following we consider homotopies in the spaces of exact relative two-forms of degree 0. The nullhomotopy  $h_g$  of  $\Gamma_{d_Bg}^*\lambda_{V/B}$  is represented by  $g \in \mathcal{O}_V$ . Its pullback along  $\Phi: U \rightarrow V$  therefore provides a nullhomotopy  $h'_g: (\Gamma_{d_Bg} \times \text{id})^*\pi_V^*\lambda_{V/B} \sim 0$  represented by  $\Phi^*g = f \in \mathcal{O}_U$ . Equating the one-forms  $\pi_V^*\lambda_{V/B} = \pi_U^*\lambda_{U/B}$  and  $(\Gamma_{d_Bg} \times \text{id})^*\pi_V^*\lambda_{V/B} = \Gamma_{d_Bf}^*\pi_U^*\lambda_{U/B}$  we see that the resulting nullhomotopy of  $\Gamma_{d_Bf}^*\pi_U^*\lambda_{U/B}$  coincides with  $h_f$ . Passing to the zero loci we get  $\pi_U^*s_f = \pi_V^*s_g$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.13.** In the following sections we will encounter morphisms of LG pairs  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  over  $B$  such that  $\Phi: U \rightarrow V$  restricts to  $\Phi: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  (as  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \rightarrow V$  is a closed immersion, such a restriction is unique, if it exists). In this case  $\Phi$  defines a splitting of  $\pi_U: \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and thus Proposition 3.12 implies that  $\Phi^*s_g = s_f$ .

We will use the Hessian quadratic form associated to an LG pair.

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$ . There is a (degenerate) quadratic form  $\text{Hess}(f)$ , the **Hessian** of  $f$ , on the restriction of the tangent bundle  $T_{U/B}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$ . It satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *Let  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(\text{Hess}(f)_x) = T_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B, x}$ , i.e. the Hessian  $\text{Hess}(f)_x$  restricts to a nondegenerate quadratic form on the normal bundle  $N_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/U, x}$ .*
- (2) *Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a morphism of LG pairs. Then in the correspondence (3.7) we have*

$$\pi_V^*\text{Hess}(g) = \pi_U^*\text{Hess}(f)$$

*as quadratic forms on  $T_{U/B}|_{\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U}$ .*

*Proof.* For a smooth morphism  $U \rightarrow B$  we may define its  $n$ -th jet bundle  $J_{U/B}^n$ , which is an  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -bimodule on  $U$ , as in [EGAIV, §16.7]. It has the following properties:

- (1)  $J_{U/B}^0 = \mathcal{O}_U$ .
- (2) For  $n \geq m$  there is a morphism  $J_{U/B}^n \rightarrow J_{U/B}^m$ .
- (3) There is a splitting  $i_n: \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow J_{U/B}^n$  of  $J_{U/B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$  as left  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules and a splitting  $d_B^n: \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow J_{U/B}^n$  as right  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules.
- (4) There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^n \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow J_{U/B}^n \longrightarrow J_{U/B}^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\bar{J}_{U/B}^n$  be the quotient of  $J_{U/B}^n$  by  $i_n: \mathcal{O}_U \rightarrow J_{U/B}^n$ . Then we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^2 \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow \bar{J}_{U/B}^2 \longrightarrow \bar{J}_{U/B}^1 \cong \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{O}_U$  consider the element  $d_B^2 f \in \bar{J}_{U/B}^2$  whose image in  $\bar{J}_{U/B}^1 \cong \Omega_{U/B}^1$  is  $d_B f$ . Restricting to  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  we get that  $d_B^2 f|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  defines a section  $\text{Hess}(f)$  of  $\text{Sym}^2 \Omega_{U/B}^1|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  which is the relevant quadratic form. The functoriality of the Hessian (i.e. property (2)) follows from the functoriality of jet bundles and the map  $d_B^2$ .

Let us now show property (1). Choose étale coordinates  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  on  $U \rightarrow B$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal defining the closed immersion  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow U$ . In a neighborhood of  $x$  we have  $\mathcal{I} = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n} \right)$ . A vector field  $v = \sum_i v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$  on  $U$  tangent to  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  if, and only if,

$$\sum_i v_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \in \mathcal{I}$$

for every  $j$ . Restricting to  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  we get that the subspace  $T_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B, x} \subset T_{U/B, x}$  is given by tangent vectors  $v = \sum_i v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$  such that

$$\sum_i v_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} = 0.$$

But this is precisely the definition of the subspace  $\text{Ker}(\text{Hess}(f)_x) \subset T_{U/B, x}$ .  $\square$

Global versions of relative critical loci are given as follows.

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes. A **relative d-critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$**  is a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  which satisfies the following property:

- There exists a collection of LG pairs  $\{U_a, f_a\}_{a \in A}$  together with open immersions  $u_a: \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\{u_a: \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \rightarrow X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$  and  $u_a^* s = s_{f_a}$ . We call such a triple  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  a **critical chart**.

**Remark 3.16.** Take  $B = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  and suppose  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  carries a relative d-critical structure  $s$  with  $\text{und}(s) = f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then  $f$  is locally constant on  $X^{\text{red}}$ . If we further assume that  $f|_{X^{\text{red}}} = 0$ , then the relative d-critical structure on  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$  is the same as a d-critical structure on  $X$  in the sense of [Joy15].

**Example 3.17.** Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a smooth morphism of schemes and  $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be a function. Then  $\text{Crit}_{X/B}(\pi^* f) = X$  and hence  $\pi^* f \in \mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  defines a relative d-critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$ .

We can define products of relative d-critical structures as follows. Given two morphisms of schemes  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1, X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  equipped with sections  $s_1 \in \Gamma(X_1, \mathcal{S}_{X_1/B_1})$  and  $s_2 \in \Gamma(X_2, \mathcal{S}_{X_2/B_2})$  let

$$s_1 \boxplus s_2 = \pi_1^* s_1 + \pi_2^* s_2 \in \Gamma(X_1 \times X_2, \mathcal{S}_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2}),$$

where  $\pi_i: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  is the projection.

**Proposition 3.18.**

- (1) Let  $(U_1, f_1)$  be an LG pair over  $B_1$  and  $(U_2, f_2)$  be an LG pair over  $B_2$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Crit}_{U_1/B_1}(f_1) \times \text{Crit}_{U_2/B_2}(f_2) \cong \text{Crit}_{U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2}(f_1 \boxplus f_2)$$

under which  $s_{f_1} \boxplus s_{f_2} \mapsto s_{f_1 \boxplus f_2}$ .

- (2) Let  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  be morphisms of schemes equipped with relative d-critical structures  $s_i \in \Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{S}_{X_i/B_i})$ . Then  $s_1 \boxplus s_2$  is a relative d-critical structure on  $X_1 \times X_2$ .

*Proof.*

- (1) We have a natural isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{U_1/B_1} \boxplus \mathbb{L}_{U_2/B_2} \cong \mathbb{L}_{U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2}$ . Using this isomorphism we obtain an isomorphism  $T^*(U_1/B_1) \times T^*(U_2/B_2) \cong T^*(U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2)$  under which  $\Gamma_{d_B f_1} \times \Gamma_{d_B f_2} \mapsto \Gamma_{d_B(f_1 \boxplus f_2)}$ . This shows that the two closed subschemes  $\text{Crit}_{U_1/B_1}(f_1) \times \text{Crit}_{U_2/B_2}(f_2)$  and  $\text{Crit}_{U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2}(f_1 \boxplus f_2)$  of  $U_1 \times U_2$  are equal. The fact that under this isomorphism  $s_{f_1} \boxplus s_{f_2} \mapsto s_{f_1 \boxplus f_2}$  follows from Proposition 3.10.
- (2) Given a collection of critical charts  $(U_a^1, f_a^1, u_a^1)$  of  $X_1$  and  $(U_a^2, f_a^2, u_a^2)$  of  $X_2$ , by part (1) we get that  $(U_a^1 \times U_a^2, f_a^1 \boxplus f_a^2, u_a^1 \times u_a^2)$  is a critical chart for  $(X_1 \times X_2, s_1 \boxplus s_2)$ . Since  $\{u_a^i: \text{Crit}_{U_a^i/B_i}(f_a^i) \rightarrow X_i\}$  is an open cover,  $\{u_a^1 \times u_b^2: \text{Crit}_{U_a^1/B_1}(f_a^1) \times \text{Crit}_{U_b^2/B_2}(f_b^2) \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2\}$  is an open cover.  $\square$

For a morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a d-critical structure  $s$  the opposite  $-s$  is also a d-critical structure, so that if  $\{U_a, f_a, u_a\}$  is a collection of critical charts of  $(X, s)$ , then  $\{U_a, -f_a, u_a\}$  is a collection of critical charts of  $(X, -s)$ .

**3.3. Critical morphisms.** In this section we introduce a particularly nice class of morphisms of LG pairs and prove their local structure. Throughout the section we fix a base scheme  $B$ .

**Definition 3.19.** A *critical morphism* of LG pairs  $(U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  over  $B$  is an unramified morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$  such that  $\Phi$  restricts to a morphism  $\Phi: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$ .

Clearly, any étale morphism  $(U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (U, f)$  is a critical morphism.

**Remark 3.20.** In [Joy15] Joyce introduces the notion of an *embedding* of critical charts, which is equivalent to critical morphisms with  $\Phi$  assumed to be an immersion instead of just an unramified morphism.

**Example 3.21.** Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$  and  $(E, q)$  an orthogonal bundle over  $U$ . Let  $\pi_E: E \rightarrow U$  and  $\mathbf{q}_E: E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be as in Section 3.1. The inclusion  $0_E: U \rightarrow E$  of the zero section identifies  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \cong \text{Crit}_{E/B}(f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E)$  compatibly with the natural exact two-forms of degree  $-1$ . Thus,  $(E, f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E)$  is an LG pair over  $B$  and

$$0_E: (U, f) \longrightarrow (E, f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E)$$

is a critical morphism.

The rest of the section is devoted to local structure results for relative LG pairs and critical morphisms. First, an LG pair can always be replaced by one which admits an open immersion into  $\mathbb{A}_B^n$ ; the following is a family version of [Joy15, Proposition 2.19].

**Proposition 3.22.** *Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$ . For every  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  there is an open neighborhood  $U^\circ \subset U$  of  $x$ , a smooth  $B$ -scheme  $V$  which admits an open immersion  $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^k$  together with a function  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and a closed immersion  $\Phi: U^\circ \rightarrow V$  such that  $\Phi^*g = f|_{U^\circ}$  and  $\Phi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f|_{U^\circ}) \cong \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose an open neighborhood  $U^\circ \subset U$  of  $x$  which is affine over  $B$  and which admits an étale morphism  $c^\circ: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$ . Then we may find a closed immersion  $\Phi: U^\circ \hookrightarrow V = \mathbb{A}_B^k$ . Possibly shrinking  $V$  to a neighborhood of  $\Phi(x)$  we may extend  $c^\circ: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  to  $p: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  such that  $p \circ \Phi = c^\circ$  and  $f^\circ := f|_{U^\circ}$  to  $g': V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $g' \circ \Phi = f^\circ$ .

Since  $\Phi: U^\circ \rightarrow V$  is a regular immersion, by shrinking  $U^\circ$  and  $V$  we may find a function  $r: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  such that  $U^\circ$  is the zero locus of  $r$  and in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V^\circ \\ \downarrow c^\circ & & \downarrow (p, r) \\ \mathbb{A}_B^n & \xrightarrow{(1, 0)} & \mathbb{A}_B^{n+m} \end{array}$$

the vertical arrows are étale.

Consider

$$g := g' - \sum_i \frac{\partial g'}{\partial r_i} r_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 g'}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} r_i r_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i r_i^2.$$

It satisfies

$$\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial r_i} \right|_{U^\circ} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} \right|_{U^\circ} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

The first equation implies that  $\Phi$  restricts to  $U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/\mathbb{A}_B^n}(g)$ . The second equation implies that we may shrink  $V$  so that  $\Phi: U^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/\mathbb{A}_B^n}(g)$  is an isomorphism, which we assume. Therefore, taking the full critical locus relative to  $B$  we get that  $\Phi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$ .  $\square$

We next show that any critical morphism is locally of the form as in Example 3.21; the following is a family version of [Joy15, Proposition 2.23].

**Proposition 3.23.** *Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a critical morphism of LG pairs over  $B$  and  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . Then there is an open immersion  $\iota: (U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (U, f)$ , an étale morphism  $j: (V^\circ, g^\circ) \rightarrow (V, g)$ , a point*

$x^\circ \in \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ)$  mapping to  $x$ , a critical morphism  $\Phi^\circ: (U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (V^\circ, g^\circ)$ , a trivial orthogonal bundle  $(E, q) \rightarrow U$  and an étale morphism  $\alpha: V^\circ \rightarrow E$  such that  $\alpha^*(f^\circ \circ \pi_E + \mathfrak{q}_E) = g^\circ$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{0_E} & E \\ \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \alpha \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi^\circ} & V^\circ \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow j \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* As a first step, we construct a local splitting of  $\Phi: U \rightarrow V$ . For this, consider an open neighborhood  $U^\circ \subset U$  of  $x$  affine over  $B$  together with an étale morphism  $c: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$ . Consider the unramified morphism  $U^\circ \hookrightarrow U \xrightarrow{\Phi} V$ . By [EGAIV, Corollaire 18.4.7] we may find a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & V' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V, \end{array}$$

where  $V' \rightarrow V$  is an étale morphism and  $\Phi'$  a closed immersion. Possibly shrinking  $V'$  (and, correspondingly,  $U^\circ$ ) we may assume that  $V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow B$  is affine. Then  $c$  lifts to  $p': V' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  such that  $p' \circ \Phi' = c$ . Let  $f^\circ: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $U^\circ$  and  $g': V' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the restriction of  $g$  to  $V'$ .

Since  $\Phi': U^\circ \rightarrow V'$  is a regular immersion, by shrinking  $V'$  we can find a function  $r: V' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  such that  $U^\circ$  is the zero locus of  $r$  and in the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & V' \\ \downarrow c & \square & \downarrow (p', r) \\ \mathbb{A}_B^n & \xrightarrow{(1,0)} & \mathbb{A}_B^{n+m}, \end{array}$$

the vertical arrows are étale.

By assumption we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{V'/B}(g') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi'} & V' \end{array}$$

Thus,  $\frac{\partial g'}{\partial r_j}|_{U^\circ}$  lies in the ideal generated by  $\frac{\partial f^\circ}{\partial c_i}$ . Since  $p' \circ \Phi' = c$  and  $g' \circ \Phi' = f^\circ$ , we have  $\frac{\partial g'}{\partial p'_i}|_{U^\circ} = \frac{\partial f^\circ}{\partial c_i}$ . So, we may write in the  $\{p'_i, r'_i\}$  coordinates

$$\frac{\partial g'}{\partial r_j}|_{U^\circ} = \sum_i a'_{ij} \frac{\partial g'}{\partial p'_i}|_{U^\circ}$$

for some functions  $a'_{ij}: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Choose any lifts  $a_{ij}: V' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  of  $a'_{ij}$  and consider

$$p_i := p'_i + \sum_j a_{ij} r_j: V' \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Possibly shrinking  $V'$  we may assume that  $V' \xrightarrow{(p,r)} \mathbb{A}_B^{n+m}$  is étale. Moreover, in the  $\{p_i, r_i\}$  coordinates we have

$$\frac{\partial g'}{\partial r_j}|_{U^\circ} = 0.$$

Let  $V^\circ = U^\circ \times_{\mathbb{A}_B^n} V'$  with the projection  $\tilde{p}: V^\circ \rightarrow U$ . Then we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi^\circ} & V^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V \end{array}$$

with vertical maps étale and with  $\Phi^\circ: U^\circ \rightarrow V^\circ$  an immersion as it is the composite  $U^\circ \rightarrow U^\circ \times_{\mathbb{A}_B^n} U^\circ \rightarrow U^\circ \times_{\mathbb{A}_B^n} V'$  of an open immersion and a closed immersion. Since  $(p, r): V' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^{n+m}$  is étale, so is its base change  $(\tilde{p}, r): V^\circ \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^m$ . Let  $g^\circ$  be the restriction of  $g$  to  $V^\circ$ .

Since  $g^\circ \circ \Phi^\circ = f^\circ$  and  $\frac{\partial g^\circ}{\partial r_j}|_{U^\circ} = 0$ , we see that  $g^\circ - f^\circ \circ \tilde{p}$  vanishes to the second order along  $U^\circ \hookrightarrow V^\circ$ . Thus, shrinking  $V^\circ$  we may find  $q_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}$  such that

$$g^\circ = f^\circ \circ \tilde{p} + \sum_{i,j} q_{ij} r_i r_j,$$

where  $q_{ij}$  is a symmetric invertible matrix. Using the Gram–Schmidt process, we may assume that  $q_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $q_{ii}$  are nowhere vanishing functions on  $V^\circ$ . Possibly replacing  $V^\circ$  by an étale cover so that  $q_{ii}$  admit square roots, we obtain an étale morphism  $\alpha = (\tilde{p}, \sqrt{q}r): V^\circ \rightarrow E = U \times \mathbb{A}^m$ , so that if we equip  $E$  with the trivial quadratic form  $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{A}^m}$  we get  $\alpha^*(f + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbb{A}^m}) = g'$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.24.** Consider an LG pair  $(V, g)$  over  $B$  and a  $B$ -point  $\sigma: B \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  of the relative critical locus. Let  $x \in B$ . Assume that  $g$  is *relatively Morse* at  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $\sigma$  is an inclusion of a smooth connected component. Then  $\sigma: (B, g|_\sigma) \rightarrow (V, g)$  is a critical morphism. In this case Proposition 3.23 reduces to the relative Morse lemma: we may find an open neighborhood  $B^\circ \subset B$  of  $x$ , an étale morphism  $V^\circ \rightarrow V$ , a lift  $\sigma^\circ: B^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g|_{V^\circ})$  of  $\sigma$  and étale coordinates  $y: V^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^m$  such that

$$g|_{V^\circ} = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2.$$

The following proposition shows that any two critical charts are locally related by a zigzag of critical morphisms; this is a family version of [Joy15, Theorem 2.20].

**Proposition 3.25.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$ . Let  $(U, f, u)$  and  $(V, g, v)$  be two critical charts and  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), y \in \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  points such that  $u(x) = v(y)$ . Then there are open immersions  $(U^\circ, f^\circ, u^\circ) \rightarrow (U, f, u)$  and  $(V^\circ, g^\circ, v^\circ) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$ , a critical chart  $(W, h, w)$ , critical morphisms*

$$(U^\circ, f^\circ, u^\circ) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (W, h, w) \xleftarrow{\Psi} (V^\circ, g^\circ, v^\circ)$$

*and points  $x^\circ \in \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ), y^\circ \in \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ)$  which map to  $x$  and  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.22 we may find an open neighborhood  $V^\circ \subset V$  of  $y$ , a critical chart  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{v})$  which admits an open immersion into  $\mathbb{A}_B^n$  and a critical morphism  $\Xi: (V^\circ, g^\circ, v^\circ) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{v})$ . Moreover, by construction  $\Xi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \cong \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$ .

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_X \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) & & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ U & & & & \tilde{V} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n. \end{array}$$

Since  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_X \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \rightarrow U$  is an immersion, we may find a Cartesian diagram

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_X \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U^\circ & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

with  $U^\circ \rightarrow U$  open with  $x \in R$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_X \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \mathbb{A}_B^n \end{array}$$

Shrinking  $U^\circ$  further, we may assume that  $\Theta: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  factors through  $\tilde{V} \subset \mathbb{A}_B^n$ . The Cartesian diagram (3.8), the fact that  $\text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \rightarrow X$  is a monomorphism and the Cartesian diagram from Proposition 4.1 imply that  $R \cong \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ)$ , where  $f^\circ = f|_{U^\circ}$ . Let  $u^\circ = u|_{\text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ)}$ . Thus, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \cong \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \tilde{V} \end{array}$$

with  $\text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g^\circ)$  an open immersion.

Using the functoriality of the description of  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  from Proposition 3.7(3) with respect to  $\Theta$  we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{X/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}/\mathcal{I}_{R,\tilde{V}}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\tilde{V}/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R,\tilde{V}}\Omega_{\tilde{V}/B}^1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{X/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{U^\circ}/\mathcal{I}_{R,U^\circ}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U^\circ/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R,U^\circ}\Omega_{U^\circ/B}^1 \end{array}$$

Using that  $s_{f^\circ} = s_{g^\circ}$  on  $R$  we thus obtain that  $f^\circ - g^\circ \circ \Theta \in \mathcal{I}_{R/U^\circ}^2$ . Shrinking  $U^\circ$ , we may find a (trivial) orthogonal bundle  $(E', q') \rightarrow \tilde{V}$  together with a section  $s'$  of  $\Theta^*E'$ , vanishing on  $R$ , such that

$$f^\circ - \tilde{g} \circ \Theta = q'(s').$$

Now let  $W' = E'$  equipped with the function  $\tilde{g} \circ \pi_{E'} + q_{E'}: W' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ .

Shrinking  $U^\circ$  we may choose an étale morphism  $y: U^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^m$ , i.e. étale coordinates on  $U^\circ \rightarrow B$  near  $x$ . Consider the hyperbolic quadratic form  $q_m$  on  $\mathbb{A}^{2m}$  given by  $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i z_i$  and let  $E = E' \times \mathbb{A}^{2m}$  with the sum quadratic form  $q = q' \boxplus q_m$ . Consider the section  $s = (s', y_1, \dots, y_m, 0, \dots, 0)$  of  $\Theta^*E$ . By construction  $\Omega_{E/B}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{U^\circ/B}^1$  is surjective, so  $\Phi: (U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (W, \tilde{g} \circ \pi_E + q_E)$  given by the section  $s$  is unramified. Since  $s'$  vanishes on  $R$ ,  $\Phi$  is a critical morphism.

Define  $\tilde{\Psi}: (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (W, \tilde{g} \circ \pi_E + q_E)$  to be the zero section which is a critical morphism by Example 3.21. We set

$$\Psi: (V^\circ, g^\circ) \xrightarrow{\Xi} (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} (W, \tilde{g} \circ \pi_E + q_E),$$

which is a composite of critical morphisms. □

Finally, any critical chart can be replaced by a minimal chart at a point.

**Proposition 3.26.** *Let  $(V, g)$  be an LG pair over  $B$  and  $y \in \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  a point. Then there is an open subscheme  $V^\circ \subset V$ , a smooth  $B$ -scheme  $U$ , a point  $x \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ , a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V^\circ, g|_{V^\circ})$ , such that  $\Phi(x) = y$ ,  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow U$  is minimal at  $x$  and  $\Phi: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g|_{V^\circ})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B, y} \longrightarrow T_{V/B, y} \longrightarrow N_y \longrightarrow 0$$

and consider an arbitrary splitting  $T_{V/B, y} \cong T_{\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B, y} \oplus N_y$ . In a neighborhood  $V^\circ \subset V$  of  $y$  we may extend it to an isomorphism

$$T_{V^\circ/B} \cong T_{\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B, y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ} \oplus N_y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}.$$

With respect to this decomposition let  $dg|_{V^\circ} = \alpha + \beta$  for

$$\alpha \in \Omega_{\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B, y}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}, \quad \beta \in N_y^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}.$$

Consider  $U = \beta^{-1}(0)$  with  $f = g|_U$  and the morphism  $\nabla\beta: T_{V^\circ/B} \rightarrow N_y^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}$ .

We have  $\text{Hess}(f)_y = (\nabla df)_y: T_{V^\circ/B, y} \rightarrow \Omega_{V^\circ/B, y}^1$  which is nondegenerate on  $N_y$  by Proposition 3.14(1). Thus, possibly shrinking  $V^\circ$  to a smaller neighborhood of  $y$  we may assume that  $\nabla\beta$  is surjective, i.e.  $U \rightarrow B$  is smooth. Moreover,  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) = \text{Crit}_{V^\circ/B}(g|_{V^\circ})$  as both are defined by the equations  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  in  $V^\circ$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Gluing objects on critical charts.** We will use the results comparing different critical charts from Section 3.3 to glue objects over schemes equipped with relative d-critical structures. We begin with the following general paradigm for gluing. Consider a site  $\mathcal{C}$  and a functor  $\pi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We consider a collection of morphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$  called **localization morphisms**, which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) The collection of localization morphisms is closed under composition and it contains identities.
- (2) For every localization morphism  $x \rightarrow z$  and any morphism  $y \rightarrow z$  the fiber product  $x \times_z y$  exists, it is preserved by  $\pi$  and  $x \times_z y \rightarrow y$  is a localization morphism.

We say  $\mathcal{F}$  is **locally connected** if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For every  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  there is a collection of objects  $\{x_a\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  together with a covering  $\{\pi(x_a) \rightarrow X\}$ .
- (2) For every  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$  together with morphisms  $\pi(x) \rightarrow z \leftarrow \pi(y)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are collections of localization morphisms  $\{x_a \rightarrow x\}$  and  $\{y_a \rightarrow y\}$  such that for each  $a$  there exists a diagram  $x_a \rightarrow z_a \leftarrow y_a$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\{\pi(x_a) \times_z \pi(y_a) \rightarrow \pi(x) \times_z \pi(y)\}$  is a cover.

**Example 3.27.** Suppose  $\pi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a Cartesian fibration, so that it is classified by a presheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ . Consider the class of  $\pi$ -Cartesian morphisms as the class of localization morphisms on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally connected precisely if the sheafification of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is connected.

**Proposition 3.28.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a site,  $\pi_i: \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  two functors, where  $\mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is locally connected and  $\mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a Cartesian fibration satisfying descent. Consider a functor  $F: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$  over  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) For every  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  the morphism  $F(f): F(x) \rightarrow F(y)$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is  $\pi_2$ -Cartesian.
- (2) Given two morphisms  $f_1, f_2: x \rightarrow y$  such that  $\pi_1(f_1) = \pi_1(f_2)$  we have  $F(f_1) = F(f_2)$ .

Then there is a Cartesian section  $s$  of  $\pi_2: \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  determined by the following conditions:

- For an object  $x \in \mathcal{F}_1$  we have an isomorphism  $i_x: s(\pi_1(x)) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(x)$ .
- For a morphism  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s(\pi_1(x)) & \xrightarrow{s(\pi_1(f))} & s(\pi_1(y)) \\ \downarrow i_x & & \downarrow i_y \\ F(x) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(y) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proofs of [Joy15, Theorem 2.28] and [Bra+15, Theorem 6.9]. For an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  consider a collection  $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  together with a cover

$$(3.9) \quad \{\pi_1(x_a) \rightarrow X \mid a \in A\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is locally connected, for every  $a, b \in A$  there is a set  $D_{ab}$  and for each  $d \in D_{ab}$  there are morphisms  $\{x_a'^d \rightarrow x_a\}$  and  $\{x_b'^d \rightarrow x_b\}$  and objects  $\{y^d\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  together with morphisms  $x_a'^d \xrightarrow{\Phi^d} y^d \xleftarrow{\Psi^d} x_b'^d$  such that for every  $a, b \in A$

$$(3.10) \quad \{\pi_1(x_a'^d) \times_X \pi_1(x_b'^d) \rightarrow \pi_1(x_a) \times_X \pi_1(x_b) \mid d \in D_{ab}\}$$

is a cover.

Since  $\mathcal{F}_2$  satisfies descent, using the cover (3.9) we have to specify an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{ab}: F(x_a)|_{\pi_1(x_a) \times_X \pi_1(x_b)} \xrightarrow{\sim} F(x_b)|_{\pi_1(x_a) \times_X \pi_1(x_b)}$$

for every  $a, b \in A$  which satisfies the cocycle conditions

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha_{bc} \circ \alpha_{ab} = \alpha_{ac}, \quad \alpha_{aa} = \text{id}.$$

We proceed to the definition of  $\alpha_{ab}$ . By descent, using (3.10) to construct  $\alpha_{ab}$  it is enough to construct, for every  $d \in D_{ab}$ ,

$$\alpha_{ab}^d: F(x_a)|_{\pi_1(x'_a)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^d} \xrightarrow{\sim} F(x_b)|_{\pi_1(x'_a)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^d}$$

such that for every  $d, e \in D_{ab}$  we have

$$(3.12) \quad \alpha_{ab}^d|_{\pi_1(x'_a)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_e)^e \times_X \pi_1(x'_e)^e} = \alpha_{ab}^e|_{\pi_1(x'_a)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_e)^e \times_X \pi_1(x'_e)^e}.$$

We have isomorphisms  $\Phi^d: x'_a \xrightarrow{\sim} y^d|_{\pi_1(x'_a)^d}$  and  $\Psi^d: x'_b \xrightarrow{\sim} y^d|_{\pi_1(x'_b)^d}$  and we define

$$\alpha_{ab}^d = F(\Psi^d)^{-1} \circ F(\Phi^d).$$

To show (3.12), using the condition of local connectedness, we get a collection of localization morphisms  $\{y'_c \rightarrow y^d\}$  and  $\{y'_c \rightarrow y^e\}$  together with zigzags  $y'_c \xrightarrow{\Phi_c} z_c \xleftarrow{\Psi_c} y'_c$  such that

$$\{\pi_1(y'_c)^d \times_X \pi_1(y'_c)^e \rightarrow \pi_1(y^d) \times_X \pi_1(y^e)\}$$

is a cover.

Since  $x'_a \rightarrow x_a$  and  $y'_c \rightarrow y^d$  are localization morphisms, we can form the fiber product

$$x'^{de}_{ac} = y'_c{}^d \times_{y^d} x'_a{}^d \times_{x_a} x'_a{}^e \times_{y^e} y'_c{}^e.$$

Then we have a (non-commutative) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x'^{de}_{ac} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^d} & y'^d_c \\ \downarrow \Phi^e & \nearrow \Phi_c & \uparrow \Psi^d \\ & z_c & \\ \downarrow \Psi_c & \nwarrow \Psi^e & \\ y'^e_c & \xleftarrow{\Psi^e} & x'^{de}_{bc} \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Using the functoriality of  $F$  as well as the second assumption in the statement, we get, on the cover

$$\{\pi_1(y'^{de}_{ac}) \times_X \pi_1(y'^{de}_{bc}) \rightarrow \pi_1(x'_a)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^d \times_X \pi_1(x'_a)^e \times_X \pi_1(x'_b)^e\},$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ab}^d &= F(\Psi^d)^{-1} \circ F(\Phi^d) \\ &= F(\Psi^d) \circ F(\Phi_c)^{-1} \circ F(\Phi_c) \circ F(\Phi^d) \\ &= F(\Psi^e)^{-1} \circ F(\Psi_c)^{-1} \circ F(\Psi_c) \circ F(\Phi^e) \\ &= F(\Psi^e)^{-1} \circ F(\Phi^e) = \alpha_{ab}^e. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the construction of  $\alpha_{ab}$  and shows that it is independent of the choices of the intermediate zigzags. Let us next check the cocycle conditions (3.11). The condition  $\alpha_{aa} = \text{id}$  follows from  $F(\text{id}) = \text{id}$  since we can choose the zigzag for  $x_a$  and itself to be given by the identity maps. Let  $a, b, c \in A$ . Using local connectedness we can choose localizations  $\{x'^f_i \rightarrow x_i\}$  for  $i = a, b, c$  such that

$$\{\pi_1(x'^f_a) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_b) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_c) \rightarrow \pi_1(x_a) \times_X \pi_1(x_b) \times_X \pi_1(x_c)\}$$

and an object  $y$  together with morphisms  $\Phi_i: x'^f_i \rightarrow y$  for  $i = a, b, c$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ab}|_{\pi_1(x'^f_a) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_b) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_c)} &= \alpha_{\Phi_b}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\Phi_a} \\ \alpha_{bc}|_{\pi_1(x'^f_a) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_b) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_c)} &= \alpha_{\Phi_c}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\Phi_b} \\ \alpha_{ac}|_{\pi_1(x'^f_a) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_b) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_c)} &= \alpha_{\Phi_c}^{-1} \circ \alpha_{\Phi_a}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\alpha_{bc} \circ \alpha_{ab} = \alpha_{ac}$  on  $\pi_1(x'^f_a) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_b) \times_X \pi_1(x'^f_c)$ . As we can cover  $\pi_1(x_a) \times_X \pi_1(x_b) \times_X \pi_1(x_c)$  by such morphisms, this proves the claim.  $\square$

**Remark 3.29.** Consider the setting of Proposition 3.28 when  $\pi_1: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a Cartesian fibration (see Example 3.27). Then the sheafification of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  has trivial  $\pi_0$ . So, a morphism to  $\mathcal{F}_2$  defines a global object precisely if all the obstructions coming from the nontrivial  $\pi_1$  vanish; this is guaranteed by the second condition in Proposition 3.28.

**Corollary 3.30.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of categories over  $X$  in the Zariski topology. Fix a locally constant function  $d: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the following data:*

- (1) *For every critical chart  $(U, f, u)$ , such that  $\dim(U/B) \equiv d \pmod{2}$ , we have an object*

$$x_u \in \Gamma(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), \mathcal{F}).$$

- (2) *For every critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of even relative dimension we have an isomorphism*

$$J_\Phi: x_u \xrightarrow{\sim} (x_v)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}.$$

*which satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) *For a composite  $(U, f, u) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (V, g, v) \xrightarrow{\Psi} (W, h, w)$  of critical morphisms of even relative dimension we have*

$$J_{\Psi \circ \Phi} = (J_\Psi)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \circ J_\Phi.$$

- (2) *For the identity critical morphism  $(U, f, u) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} (U, f, u)$  we have*

$$J_{\text{id}} = \text{id}.$$

- (3) *Given two critical morphisms  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of even relative dimension such that  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$ , we have an equality*

$$J_{\Phi_1} = J_{\Phi_2}.$$

*Then there is an object  $x \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  restricting to  $x_u$  on each critical chart and with  $J_\Phi$  as the isomorphisms of these local objects for critical morphisms.*

*Proof.* Consider the following category  $\text{CritCharts}_d(X/B)$ :

- Its objects are critical charts  $(U, f, u)$  for  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  with  $\dim(U/B) \equiv d \pmod{2}$ .
- Its morphisms are critical morphisms of critical charts of even relative dimension.

We have a natural functor  $\pi_1: \text{CritCharts}_d(X/B) \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$  to the Zariski site of  $X$  given by sending  $(U, f, u) \mapsto \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . As the class of localization morphisms we take open immersions of critical charts. Given a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  we may construct a new critical chart  $(U \times \mathbb{A}^1, f \boxplus x^2, u)$  of dimension one higher. Thus, the fact that  $\pi_1: \text{CritCharts}_d(X/B) \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$  is locally connected follows from Proposition 3.25. Let  $\pi_2: \int \mathcal{F} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$  be the Grothendieck construction applied to the sheaf of categories  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the data given in the statement determines a functor  $F: \text{CritCharts}_d(X/B) \rightarrow \int \mathcal{F}$  over  $X_{\text{Zar}}$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.28, whence the claim.  $\square$

Restricting Corollary 3.30 to sheaves of sets we obtain the following statement.

**Corollary 3.31.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of sets over  $X$  in the Zariski topology. Fix a locally constant function  $d: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the following data:*

- (1) *For every critical chart  $(U, f, u)$ , such that  $\dim(U/B) \equiv d \pmod{2}$ , we have an element  $x_u \in \Gamma(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), \mathcal{F})$ .*

*which satisfy the following condition:*

- (1) *For every critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of even relative dimension we have an equality  $x_u = (x_v)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$ .*

*Then there is a section  $x \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$  restricting to  $x_u$  on each critical chart.*

**3.5. Virtual canonical bundle.** We are now going to define the virtual canonical bundle of a relative d-critical structure on a morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow B$ , which is a line bundle on the reduced scheme  $X^{\text{red}}$ . For this, we begin by defining the canonical quadratic form associated to a critical morphism. Observe that in the local model of a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  as in Proposition 3.23 there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.13) \quad N_{U/V}|_{U^\circ} \cong N_{U/E}|_{U^\circ} \cong E|_{U^\circ}.$$

In particular, the normal bundle of  $U \rightarrow V$  admits a quadratic form étale locally. We will now show that it extends to a global quadratic form.

**Proposition 3.32.** *Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a critical morphism of LG pairs over  $B$ . Then there is a nondegenerate quadratic form  $q_\Phi$  on the normal bundle  $N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  which is uniquely determined by the following property:*

- (1) *Consider a local form of the critical morphism  $\Phi$  as in Proposition 3.23. Then under the natural isomorphism  $N_{U/V}|_{U^\circ} \cong E|_{U^\circ}$  (3.13) the quadratic form  $q_\Phi$  identifies with the quadratic form  $q$  on  $E$ .*

Moreover, it satisfies the following properties:

- (2) *For another critical morphism  $\Psi: (V, g) \rightarrow (W, h)$  consider the unique splitting of the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \longrightarrow N_{U/W}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \longrightarrow N_{V/W}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \longrightarrow 0$$

*obtained by taking the orthogonal complement of  $N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \subset N_{U/W}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  with respect to  $q_{\Psi \circ \Phi}$ . Then the resulting isomorphism*

$$N_{U/W}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \cong N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \oplus N_{V/W}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$$

*sends the quadratic form  $q_{\Psi \circ \Phi}$  to  $q_\Phi + q_\Psi$ .*

- (3) *Let  $\Phi': (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be another critical morphism between the same critical charts. Then*

$$\text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} = \text{vol}_{q_{\Phi'}}^2|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}.$$

- (4) *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_1, f_1) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & (V_1, g_1) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ (U_2, f_2) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & (V_2, g_2) \end{array}$$

*with  $\pi_U$  and  $\pi_V$  smooth morphisms and  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  critical morphisms such that  $U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \times_{V_2} U_2$  is étale. Then under the isomorphism  $\pi_U^* N_{U_2/V_2} \cong N_{U_1/V_1}$  we have  $q_{\Phi_2} \mapsto q_{\Phi_1}$ .*

- (5) *For a pair of critical morphisms  $\Phi_i: (U_i, f_i) \rightarrow (V_i, g_i)$  of LG pairs over  $B_i$  under the isomorphism*

$$N_{U_1 \times U_2 / V_1 \times V_2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1 \times U_2 / B_1 \times B_2}(f_1 \boxplus f_2)} \cong N_{U_1 / V_1}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1 / B_1}(f_1)} \boxplus N_{U_2 / V_2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_2 / B_2}(f_2)}$$

*we have  $q_{\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2} \mapsto q_{\Phi_1} + q_{\Phi_2}$ .*

- (6) *If  $\bar{\Phi}: (U, -f) \rightarrow (V, -g)$  is a morphism of LG pairs over  $B$  equal to  $\Phi$  on the level of underlying schemes, then  $q_{\bar{\Phi}} = -q_\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* Since we can cover the critical locus  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  by the local models as in Proposition 3.23, uniqueness is clear. To show existence, we have to show that the quadratic form is independent of the choice of the local form of the critical morphism. For this, suppose  $(U_1^\circ, V_1^\circ, E_1, q_1)$  and  $(U_2^\circ, V_2^\circ, E_2, q_2)$  are two choices of the data as in Proposition 3.23. Let

$$\bar{U} = U_1^\circ \times_U U_2^\circ, \quad \bar{V} = V_1^\circ \times_V V_2^\circ.$$

Let  $R = \text{Crit}_{\overline{U}/B}(f)$  and let  $p: R \rightarrow B$  be the projection. Then we have a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{U/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & T_{E_1/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & E_1|_R \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \uparrow \text{id} & & \uparrow \sim & & \uparrow \sim \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{U/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & T_{V/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & N_{U/V}|_R \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{U/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & T_{E_2/B}|_R & \longrightarrow & E_2|_R \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

Choose local splittings  $T_{E_1/B}|_R \cong T_{U/B}|_R \oplus E_1|_R$  and  $T_{E_2/B}|_R \cong T_{U/B}|_R \oplus E_2|_R$ . Denote the composite isomorphisms by

$$t: E_1|_R \xrightarrow{\sim} E_2|_R, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & s \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}: T_{U/B}|_R \oplus E_1|_R \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{U/B}|_R \oplus E_2|_R.$$

Under the vertical isomorphisms the quadratic form  $\text{Hess}(g)$  on  $T_{V/B}|_R$  restricts to  $\text{Hess}(f) + q_1$  and  $\text{Hess}(f) + q_2$ , respectively. Therefore, for  $v \in T_{U/B}|_R$  and  $w \in E_1|_R$  we have

$$\text{Hess}(f)(v) + q_1(w) = \text{Hess}(f)(v + s(w)) + q_2(t(w)).$$

By considering the associated symmetric bilinear form we get that  $s(w) \in \text{Ker}(\text{Hess}(f))$ . Thus,  $q_1(w) = q_2(t(w))$ , i.e.  $t: (E_1|_R, q_1) \rightarrow (E_2|_R, q_2)$  preserves quadratic forms. So,  $q_\Phi$  is well-defined.

Let us now check the remaining properties:

- (2) It is enough to establish this equality locally. For this, apply Proposition 3.23 to get  $(U^\circ, V_1^\circ, E_1, q_1)$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \longrightarrow & E_1 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
U^\circ & \longrightarrow & V_1^\circ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V
\end{array}$$

Similarly, apply Proposition 3.23 to get  $(V_2^\circ, W^\circ, E_2, q_2)$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \longrightarrow & E_2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
V_2^\circ & \longrightarrow & W^\circ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & W
\end{array}$$

Let

$$\overline{U} = U^\circ \times_V V_2^\circ.$$

Then we get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \longrightarrow & E_1 \times_V E_2 \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\overline{U} & \longrightarrow & W^\circ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{\Psi \circ \Phi} & W
\end{array}$$

Thus, under the local isomorphism of  $N_{U/W}$  and  $E_1 \oplus E_2|_U$  we see that  $q_{\Psi \circ \Phi}$  is sent to  $q_1 + q_2$ .

- (3) We have to show that the function  $\text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2/\text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2$  on  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}$  is identically 1. This can be checked pointwise on  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}$ . For a  $k$ -point  $b \in B$  let  $R_b = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_B \text{Spec } k = \text{Crit}_{U \times_B \text{Spec } k/\text{Spec } k}(f)$  be the corresponding fiber product which carries a d-critical structure relative to  $\text{Spec } k$ . Then it is sufficient to show that  $\text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2 = \text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2$  when restricted to  $R_b^{\text{red}}$  for every  $b$ . But this is shown in [Joy15, Theorem 2.27].
- (4) It is enough to establish this claim locally. Apply Proposition 3.23 to get  $(U_2^\circ, V_2^\circ, E, q)$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_2 & \longrightarrow & E \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U_2^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2^\circ} & V_2^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & V_2 \end{array}$$

By property (1) under the isomorphism  $N_{U_2^\circ/V_2^\circ} \cong E|_{U_2^\circ}$  we have  $q_{\Phi_2} \mapsto q$ .

Then  $\Phi_2^\circ \times \Phi_1: U_2^\circ \times_{U_2} U_1 \rightarrow V_2^\circ \times_{V_2} V_1$  has a local model given by the pullback of  $U_2 \rightarrow E$ . Thus, again by property (1) we get that under the isomorphism  $N_{U_2^\circ \times_{U_2} U_1/V_2^\circ \times_{V_2} V_1} \cong E|_{U_2^\circ \times_{U_2} U_1}$  we have  $q_{\Phi_1} \mapsto q$ .

- (5) The claim follows from property (2) as we may write  $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2$  as the composite  $(\text{id} \times \Phi_2) \circ (\Phi_1 \times \text{id})$  of critical embeddings.
- (6) The claim is local and follows from the fact that given a local model of  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  as in Proposition 3.23 specified by a trivial orthogonal bundle  $(E, q) \rightarrow U$  the local model of  $\bar{\Phi}: (U, -f) \rightarrow (V, -g)$  is specified by the same data with the trivial orthogonal bundle  $(E, -q) \rightarrow U$ .

□

Given a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  we denote by  $P_\Phi \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded orientation  $\mu_2$ -torsor for the orthogonal bundle  $(N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}, q_\Phi)$ . Then Proposition 3.32 implies the following properties of  $P_\Phi$ :

- (1) Given another critical morphism  $\Psi: (V, g) \rightarrow (W, h)$  we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Xi_{\Phi, \Psi}: P_{\Psi \circ \Phi} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\Psi|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi$$

by combining (3.1) and Proposition 3.32(2).

- (2) If  $\Phi': (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  is another critical morphism equal to  $\Phi$  on the critical loci, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$P_\Phi \cong P_{\Phi'}$$

given by the identity on volume forms, using Proposition 3.32(3).

- (3) Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_1, f_1) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & (V_1, g_1) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ (U_2, f_2) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & (V_2, g_2) \end{array}$$

with horizontal morphisms critical morphisms, vertical morphisms smooth and  $U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \times_{V_2} U_2$  étale, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.14) \quad P_{\Phi_1} \cong (\text{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U_2/B}(f_2))^* P_{\Phi_2}$$

given by the identity on volume forms, using Proposition 3.32(4).

- (4) Given a pair of critical morphisms  $\Phi_i: (U_i, f_i) \rightarrow (V_i, g_i)$  of LG pairs over  $B_i$ , so that  $\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2: (U_1 \times U_2, f_1 \boxplus f_2) \rightarrow (V_1 \times V_2, g_1 \boxplus g_2)$  is a critical morphism of LG pairs over  $B_1 \times B_2$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.15) \quad P_{\Phi_1} \boxtimes P_{\Phi_2} \cong P_{\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2}$$

defined via the isomorphism (3.1), using Proposition 3.32(5).

- (5) If  $\bar{\Phi}: (U, -f) \rightarrow (V, -g)$  is the same morphism between the opposite LG pairs, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(3.16) \quad P_{\Phi} \cong P_{\bar{\Phi}}$$

defined via the isomorphism (3.2), using Proposition 3.32(6).

The quadratic form  $q_{\Phi}$  for a critical morphism allows us to define the virtual canonical bundle as follows.

**Theorem 3.33.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$ . Then there is a line bundle  $K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}$  on  $X^{\text{red}}$ , the **virtual canonical bundle**, uniquely determined by the following conditions:*

- (1) *For every critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  its restriction to  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}$ .*  
(2) *For every critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  the corresponding isomorphism*

$$J_{\Phi}: K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}$$

*fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{J_{\Phi}} & K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \\ & \searrow \text{id} \otimes \text{vol}_{q_{\Phi}}^2 & \nearrow i(\Delta)^2 \\ & K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \otimes (\det N_{U/V}^{\vee})^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}, & \end{array}$$

*where the diagonal morphism on the right is determined by the short exact sequence*

$$\Delta: 0 \rightarrow N_{U/V}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega_{V/B}^1|_U \rightarrow \Omega_{U/B}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

*For every point  $x \in X$  there is an isomorphism*

$$\kappa_x: K_{X/B,x}^{\text{vir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)^{\otimes 2}$$

*uniquely determined by the following condition:*

- (3) *For every critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  with a point  $y \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  such that  $u(y) = x$  the isomorphism*

$$K_{U/B,y}^{\otimes 2} \cong K_{X/B,x}^{\text{vir}} \xrightarrow{\kappa_x} \det(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)^{\otimes 2}$$

*coincides with the composite of the natural isomorphism of determinant lines induced by the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow N_{X/U,x}^{\vee} \rightarrow \Omega_{U/B,y}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/B,x}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

*as well as the squared volume form on  $N_{X/U,x}$  induced by the quadratic form  $\text{Hess}(f)_x$ .*

*In addition, we have the following isomorphisms:*

- (4) *For a pair  $(X_1 \rightarrow B_1, s_1), (X_2 \rightarrow B_2, s_2)$  of morphisms of schemes equipped with relative  $d$ -critical structures consider the relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s_1 \boxplus s_2$  on  $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$ . Then there is an isomorphism*

$$(3.17) \quad K_{X_1/B_1}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes K_{X_2/B_2}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2}^{\text{vir}}$$

*uniquely determined by the condition that for every point  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$  there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X_1/B_1,x_1}^{\text{vir}} \otimes K_{X_2/B_2,x_2}^{\text{vir}} & \xrightarrow{(3.17)} & K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,(x_1,x_2)}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{x_1} \otimes \kappa_{x_2} & & \downarrow \kappa_{(x_1,x_2)} \\ \det(\Omega_{X_1/B_1,x_1}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(\Omega_{X_2/B_2,x_2}^1)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(\Omega_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,(x_1,x_2)}^1)^{\otimes 2}. \end{array}$$

(5) For a morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  let  $K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}}$  be the virtual canonical bundle. For  $d: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  there is an isomorphism

$$(3.18) \quad R_d: K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{X/B,-s}^{\text{vir}}$$

squaring to the identity.

*Proof.* Consider the Zariski stack on  $X$  whose value on an open immersion  $R \rightarrow X$  is given by the groupoid  $\text{Pic}_{R^{\text{red}}}$  of line bundles on  $R^{\text{red}}$ . We may then apply Corollary 3.30 to glue the objects  $K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}$  defined for a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  using the isomorphisms  $J_\Phi$  for a critical morphism. The relevant conditions of Corollary 3.30 are verified as follows:

- (1) The first condition follows from Proposition 3.32(2).
- (2) The second condition follows since  $N_{U/U} = 0$  with the zero quadratic form.
- (3) The third condition follows from Proposition 3.32(3).

The uniqueness of the isomorphism  $\kappa_x$  is clear. The existence will follow once we show that the condition is compatible with critical morphisms  $(U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$ . Since this is a pointwise statement, it reduces to the statement about  $d$ -critical loci over a field which is shown in [Joy15, Theorem 2.28(iv)].

In a critical chart  $(U_1, f_1, u_1)$  for  $X_1$  and  $(U_2, f_2, u_2)$  for  $X_2$  we define the isomorphism (3.17) to be the obvious isomorphism

$$K_{U_1/B_1}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1/B_1}(f_1)^{\text{red}}} \boxtimes K_{U_2/B_2}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_2/B_2}(f_2)^{\text{red}}} \cong K_{U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1 \times U_2/B_1 \times B_2}(f_1 \boxplus f_2)^{\text{red}}},$$

under the identification of Proposition 3.18. Since the quadratic form  $q_\Phi$  is compatible with products by Proposition 3.32(5),  $J_\Phi$  is also compatible with products, so the above isomorphism is compatible with critical morphisms.

In a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  for  $X$  we define the isomorphism  $R_d$  to be given by the multiplication by  $(-1)^{\dim(U/B)+d}$  on  $K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}$ . By Proposition 3.32(6) we have  $q_{\overline{\Phi}} = -q_\Phi$ . Since

$$\text{vol}_{-q_\Phi}^2 = (-1)^{\dim(V/B)-\dim(U/B)} \text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2,$$

we have

$$J_{\overline{\Phi}} = (-1)^{\dim(V/B)-\dim(U/B)} J_\Phi,$$

so thus defined isomorphism is compatible with critical morphisms.  $\square$

**Remark 3.34.** In the setting of Theorem 3.33 assume that  $B$  is a point. In this case, the map  $\kappa_x$  has also appeared in [KPS24, (3.13)]. We note that our choice of  $\kappa_x$  differs from the one in loc. cit. by  $(-1)^{\text{rk} \Omega_{X/B,x}}$ . See [KPS24, Remark 3.36] for the origin of the sign.

**3.6. Deformation of morphisms of LG pairs.** In this section we fix a scheme  $B \in \text{Sch}^{\text{seft}}$ . Given a pair  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1$  of étale morphisms  $(U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$  which induce equal morphisms on relative critical loci and which satisfy an extra condition we show that, étale locally, they can be extended to an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -family  $\Phi_t: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$ . The following is a family version of [Bra+15, Proposition 3.4].

**Proposition 3.35.** *Let  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be étale morphisms of LG pairs over  $B$  and  $u \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  be a point. Assume that*

$$(3.19) \quad \Phi_0|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} = \Phi_1|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \longrightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad (d\Phi_1|_u^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_u - \text{id})^2 = 0: T_{U/B,u} \rightarrow T_{U/B,u}.$$

Then we can find étale morphisms of LG pairs over  $B \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (W, h) & \\ \Psi_U \swarrow & & \searrow \Psi_V \\ (U \times \mathbb{A}^1, f \boxplus 0) & & (V \times \mathbb{A}^1, g \boxplus 0) \end{array}$$

and a map  $w: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W$  such that  $\Psi_U \circ w = (u, \text{id}): \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $\Psi_V \circ w = (v, \text{id}): \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , and

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Crit}_{W/B \times \mathbb{A}^1}(h) \\ \swarrow \Psi_U \quad \searrow \Psi_V \\ \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\Phi_0 = \Phi_1} \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} W_0 \\ \swarrow \Psi_{U,0} \quad \searrow \Psi_{V,0} \\ U \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} V \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} W_1 \\ \swarrow \Psi_{U,1} \quad \searrow \Psi_{V,1} \\ U \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} V \end{array}$$

commute, where  $W_t$ ,  $\Psi_{U,t}: W_t \rightarrow U$ , and  $\Psi_{V,t}: W_t \rightarrow V$  are the fibers of  $W$ ,  $\Psi_U$ , and  $\Psi_V$  at  $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ .

For a scheme  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$ , a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  and a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, E)$  we denote by  $Z_X(s)$  the zero section of  $s$  as a subscheme of  $X$ . The key to prove Proposition 3.35 is the following perturbation lemma.

**Lemma 3.36** (Perturbation). *Let  $(U, f) \hookrightarrow (V, g)$  be a closed embedding of codimension  $r$  of LG pairs over  $B$ . Assume that there exist a vector bundle  $E$  over  $V$  of rank  $r$ , a section  $s \in \Gamma(V, E)$ , a cosection  $\sigma \in \Gamma(V, E^\vee)$ , and a 2-tensor  $a \in \Gamma(V, E \otimes E)$  such that  $U = Z_V(s)$  as subschemes of  $V$  and*

$$g = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(a) + \sigma(s) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V / \mathcal{I}_{U/V}^2).$$

*Then we can find a closed embedding  $(\tilde{U}, 0) \hookrightarrow (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$  of LG pairs over  $B$ , an étale morphism  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$ , and commutative diagrams*

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z_{\tilde{U}}(\sigma) \hookrightarrow \tilde{U} \hookrightarrow \tilde{V} & & Z_{\tilde{U}}(a) \hookrightarrow \tilde{U} \hookrightarrow \tilde{V} \\ \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\ Z_U(\sigma) \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow V & & Z_U(a) \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow V \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \hookrightarrow \tilde{V} \\ & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ U_0 := Z_U(\sigma, a) \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow V & & \end{array}$$

for some dotted arrows, such that

$$(3.23) \quad T_{\tilde{U}/B}|_{U_0} = T_{U/B}|_{U_0}$$

as subspaces of  $T_{\tilde{V}/B}|_{U_0} \cong T_{V/B}|_{U_0}$ .

*Proof.* Choose a  $(0, 2)$ -tensor  $c \in \Gamma(V, E^\vee \otimes E^\vee)$  such that

$$g = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(a) + \sigma(s) + c(s, s) \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V).$$

We first define  $\tilde{V} := Z(\tilde{\tau}) \subseteq E \otimes E$  as the zero locus of the section

$$\tilde{\tau} := a|_{E \otimes E} + \tau + c|_{E \otimes E}(\tau, \tau) \in \Gamma(E \otimes E, E \otimes E|_{E \otimes E}),$$

where  $\tau$  is the tautological section, and  $c|_{E \otimes E}(\tau, \tau)$  is the image of  $c|_{E \otimes E} \otimes \tau \otimes \tau$  under the contraction map

$$c_{13,25}: (E^\vee \otimes E^\vee) \otimes (E \otimes E) \otimes (E \otimes E): (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6) \mapsto \alpha_1(\alpha_3) \cdot \alpha_2(\alpha_5) \cdot \alpha_4 \otimes \alpha_6.$$

We then define  $\tilde{U} := Z(\tilde{s}) \subseteq \tilde{V}$  as the zero locus of the section

$$\tilde{s} := s|_{\tilde{V}} - \sigma|_{\tilde{V}}(\tau|_{\tilde{V}}) \in \Gamma(\tilde{V}, E|_{\tilde{V}}),$$

where  $\sigma|_{\tilde{V}}(\tau|_{\tilde{V}})$  is the image of  $\sigma|_{\tilde{V}} \otimes \tau|_{\tilde{V}}$  under the contraction map

$$c_{13}: E^\vee \otimes (E \otimes E) \rightarrow E: (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \mapsto \alpha_1(\alpha_3) \cdot \alpha_2.$$

Then the function  $\tilde{g} := g|_{\tilde{V}}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  vanishes on  $\tilde{U} := Z_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{s}) = Z_{E \otimes E}(\tilde{\tau}, s - \sigma(\tau))$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}|_{\tilde{U}} &= ((\sigma \otimes \sigma)(a) + \sigma(s) + c(s, s))|_{\tilde{U}} = ((\sigma \otimes \sigma)(a) + \sigma(\sigma(\tau)) + c(\sigma(\tau), \sigma(\tau)))|_{\tilde{U}} \\ &= (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(a + \tau + c(\tau, \tau))|_{\tilde{U}} = (\sigma \otimes \sigma)(\tilde{\tau})|_{\tilde{U}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of the first dotted arrow  $Z_{\tilde{U}}(\sigma) \rightarrow Z_U(\sigma) = Z_V(s, \sigma)$  over  $V$  in (3.22) follows from:

$$Z_{\tilde{U}}(\sigma) = Z_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{s}, \sigma) \subseteq Z_{\tilde{V}}(s).$$

The existence of the second dotted arrow  $Z_{\tilde{U}}(a) \rightarrow Z_U(a) = Z_V(s, a)$  over  $V$  in (3.22) is equivalent to:

$$Z_{\tilde{U}}(a) = Z_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{s}, a) = Z_{E \otimes E}(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{s}, a) \subseteq Z_{E \otimes E}(s).$$

Since  $Z_{E \otimes E}(a, \tau) \hookrightarrow Z_{E \otimes E}(a, \tilde{\tau})$  is an étale closed embedding, the complement is closed, and thus we have such dotted arrow for the open subschemes

$$\tilde{V}^\circ := \tilde{V} - (Z_{\tilde{V}}(a) - Z_V(a)) \subseteq \tilde{V}, \quad \tilde{U}^\circ := \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}^\circ \subseteq \tilde{U}.$$

We define the third dotted arrow  $U_0 \rightarrow \tilde{U}$  in (3.22) as the canonical closed embedding

$$U_0 := Z_U(\sigma, a) = Z_V(s, \sigma, a) = Z_{E \otimes E}(\tau, s, \sigma, a) \subseteq Z_{E \otimes E}(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{s}) = Z_{\tilde{V}}(\tilde{s}) = \tilde{U},$$

under the identification of  $V$  with the zero section  $0: V \rightarrow E \otimes E$ . By direct computations of de Rham differentials, we can show that

- $\tilde{V} \hookrightarrow E \otimes E \rightarrow V$  is étale near  $Z_{\tilde{V}}(a) \supseteq U_0$ ;
- $\tilde{U}$  is smooth over  $B$  near  $Z_{\tilde{U}}(\tau, \sigma) = U_0$  since  $U = Z_V(s)$  is smooth over  $B$ ;
- $T_{\tilde{U}/B}|_{U_0} = T_{U/B}|_{U_0}$  under the identification  $T_{\tilde{V}/B}|_{U_0} = T_{V/B}|_{U_0}$ .

Consequently, we have Lemma 3.36 after replacing  $\tilde{V}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  with suitable open subschemes containing  $U_0$ .  $\square$

We now prove Proposition 3.35 using Lemma 3.36 and Lemma 3.37 below.

*Proof of Proposition 3.35.* Choose an étale coordinate  $y: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  near  $v \in V$  after shrinking  $V$  if necessary. Consider the zero locus  $W' := Z(z) \subseteq U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  of the section

$$(3.24) \quad z := y \circ \text{pr}_2 - ((1-t)x_0 \circ \text{pr}_1 + tx_1 \circ \text{pr}_1) \in \Gamma(U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{O}_{U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1}^n),$$

where  $x_0 := y \circ \Phi_0: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$ ,  $x_1 := y \circ \Phi_1: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$ , and  $t := \text{pr}_3: U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then  $W'$  has most of the desired properties: the projection maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W' & \\ \text{pr}_{13} \swarrow & & \searrow \text{pr}_{23} \\ U \times \mathbb{A}^1 & & V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

are étale since  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = \text{id}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_0} = 1 - t(1 - \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0})$  is invertible by (3.20); the induced triangles

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & \text{Crit}_{W'/B \times \mathbb{A}^1}(f|_{W'}) & & W'_0 := W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \{0\} & & W'_1 := W' \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \{1\} \\ & \swarrow \text{pr}_{13} \quad \searrow \text{pr}_{23} & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \quad \searrow \text{pr}_2 & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \quad \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \exists \nearrow & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0 = \Phi_1} & \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times \mathbb{A}^1 & & U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} & V & & U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & V \\ & & & & & \exists \nearrow & & & & \exists \nearrow & & \end{array}$$

commute after shrinking  $W'$ , since the graphs of the three lower horizontal arrows in (3.25) are sections of the three étale maps induced by  $\text{pr}_{13}$ ; we have a map  $w' := (u, v, \text{id}): \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W' \subseteq U \times_B V$ . However,  $W'$  is not sufficient to have Proposition 3.35 since

$$f \circ \text{pr}_1 \neq g \circ \text{pr}_2 \quad \text{in } W' \subseteq U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

The computations in Lemma 3.37 below ensure that we can apply Lemma 3.36 above to the closed embedding  $W' \hookrightarrow U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and its function  $f \circ \text{pr}_1 - g \circ \text{pr}_2$ . Then we can find:

- an étale morphism  $e: R \rightarrow U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,
- a smooth closed subscheme  $W \subseteq R$  such that  $h := f \circ \text{pr}_1 \circ e|_W = g \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ e|_W$ , and
- a map  $w': \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W' \subseteq U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  lifts to a map  $w: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W \subseteq R$  via the third dotted arrow in (3.22) since the 2-tensor  $a$  in Lemma 3.37 vanishes on  $\{(u, v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ .

Moreover, the induced maps

$$(3.26) \quad \Psi_U: W \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{13}} U \times \mathbb{A}^1, \quad \Psi_V: W \hookrightarrow R \rightarrow U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{23}} V \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

are étale near the image of  $w$ , since the tangent space remains the same by (3.23); the commutativity of the first triangle in (3.21) follows from the commutativity of the first triangle in (3.25) and the first dotted arrow in (3.22); the commutativity of the remaining two triangles in (3.21) follows from the commutativity of the

remaining two triangles in (3.25) and the second dotted arrow in (3.22) since the 2-tensor  $a$  in Lemma 3.37 vanishes on  $W'_0 \cup W'_1$ .  $\square$

We need the following lemma to complete the proof of Proposition 3.35.

**Lemma 3.37.** *In the situation of Proposition 3.35, there exist*

- an open subscheme  $V^\circ \subseteq V$  containing  $v$ ,
- an open subscheme  $S \subseteq (U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1)$  containing  $\{(u, v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ ,
- an étale coordinate  $y: V^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$ ,
- a section  $a \in \Gamma(S, \text{pr}_1^*(T_{U/B} \otimes T_{U/B})|_S)$  that vanishes in  $(\{(u, v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cup (S \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \{0, 1\})$ ,
- an isomorphism of vector bundles  $b: \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n} \cong \text{pr}_1^* T_{U/B}|_S$ ,

such that we have

$$(f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0) = (df \otimes df)(a) + df \circ b(z) \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S/\mathcal{I}_{Z(z)/S}^2),$$

where  $z$  is defined as in (3.24) and  $df \in \Gamma(S, \text{pr}_1^* \Omega_{U/B}^1|_S)$  is the pullback of  $df \in \Gamma(U, \Omega_{U/B}^1)$ .

*Proof.* Choose an étale coordinate  $y := (y^m, y^q): V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^m \times_B \mathbb{A}_B^q$  such that the Hessian at  $v \in V$  is:

$$(3.27) \quad \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}|_v = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^m \partial y^m}|_v & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^m \partial y^q}|_v \\ \hline \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^q \partial y^m}|_v & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^q \partial y^q}|_v \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{id} \end{array} \right].$$

Indeed, this is always possible after shrinking  $V$  and a coordinate change of  $y$  by  $GL_{m+q}$ .

Let  $x_0 := y \circ \Phi_0$  and  $x_1 := y \circ \Phi_1$  be the induced coordinates. Then there is a  $q \times q$ -matrix  $N$  such that

$$(3.28) \quad \left( \text{id} - \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right)|_u = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & * \\ \hline 0 & N \end{array} \right], \quad \text{where} \quad N^t = -N, \quad N^2 = 0$$

Indeed, (3.19) gives  $\frac{\partial x_1^m}{\partial x_0^m}|_u = 0$ ; the formula  $\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_1^2}|_u = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}|_v = \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_0^2}|_u$  gives

$$\left( \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right)^t|_u \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{id} \end{array} \right] \left( \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right)|_u = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{id} \end{array} \right].$$

and hence  $\frac{\partial x_1^q}{\partial x_0^q}|_u = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial x_1^q}{\partial x_0^q}|_u^t = -\frac{\partial x_1^q}{\partial x_0^q}|_u$ ; (3.20) gives  $\frac{\partial x_1^q}{\partial x_0^q}|_u^2 = 0$ .

We also note that there exists a matrix  $L \in \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus m+q}, \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus m+q})$  of functions on  $U$  such that

$$(3.29) \quad x_0 - x_1 = L \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}, \quad \text{where} \quad L|_u = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} * & * \\ \hline 0 & N \end{array} \right].$$

Indeed, the existence of a matrix satisfying the first formula follows from (3.19). Moreover, (3.27) gives  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \subseteq Z_V(y^q)$  and hence we can find a  $q \times (m+q)$ -matrix  $M$  of functions on  $V$  such that

$$y^q = M \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}, \quad \text{where} \quad M|_v = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then we have

$$x_0^q - x_1^q = \Phi_0^*(M) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} - \Phi_1^*(M) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \left( \Phi_0^*(M) - \Phi_1^*(M) \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial x_1} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0},$$

where  $\left( \Phi_0^*(M) - \Phi_1^*(M) \frac{\partial x_0}{\partial x_1} \right)|_u = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & N \end{array} \right]$  by (3.28). Hence we have (3.29) as claimed.

We claim that there exists a matrix  $A$  of functions on  $S \subseteq U \times_B V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that

$$(3.30) \quad (f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot A \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z), \quad \text{where} \quad A|_{(\{(u,v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1) \cup (S \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \{0,1\})} = 0.$$

Since we have (3.29) and  $(f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0)|_{Z(z, t(1-t))} = 0$  after shrinking  $S$ , it suffices to find  $A$  such that

$$(3.31) \quad (f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot A \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z, (x_0 - x_1)^{\otimes 3}), \quad \text{where} \quad A|_{\{(u,v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1} = 0,$$

where  $(x_0 - x_1)^{\otimes 3} \in \Gamma(U, (\mathcal{O}_U^{q+m})^{\otimes 3})$ . Indeed, we have

$$(3.32) \quad f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \cdot (x_0 - y) + (x_0 - y)^t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2} \cdot (x_0 - y) \quad \text{in} \quad Z((x_0 - y)^{\otimes 3})$$

$$(3.33) \quad = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \cdot (x_1 - y) + (x_1 - y)^t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2} \cdot (x_1 - y) \quad \text{in} \quad Z((x_1 - y)^{\otimes 3}).$$

Then the restriction of  $(1-t) \times (3.32) + t \times (3.33)$  to  $Z(z)$  is:

$$(3.34) \quad (f \boxplus (-g) \boxplus 0) = \frac{1}{2} t(1-t)(x_0 - x_1)^t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_0^2} \cdot (x_0 - x_1) \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z, (x_0 - x_1)^{\otimes 3}).$$

Consider the matrix

$$A := \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) \cdot L^t \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_0^2} \cdot L.$$

Then the left equality in (3.31) follows from (3.34) and the left equality in (3.29). The right equality in (3.31) follows from the right equality in (3.29) and (3.27).

By the left equality in (3.30), there exists a matrix  $B'$  of functions on  $S \subseteq U \times V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that

$$(3.35) \quad f \boxplus -g \boxplus 0 = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot A \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot B' \cdot z \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z^{\otimes 2}).$$

By pulling back (3.35) to  $Z(z)$  and taking the differential, we get

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \left( (1-t) + t \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_0} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \left( \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot A \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_0^2} \right)^t \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z),$$

where  $\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_0} \in \text{Hom}_S(\mathcal{O}_S^{q+m}, \mathcal{O}_S^{q+m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S^{q+m})$  and  $\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_0}$  is its contraction in the first factor. Hence

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = B \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z), \quad \text{where} \quad B := \left( 1 - t \left( 1 - \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right) \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_0} - \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_0^2} \right)^t \cdot A^t \right).$$

Since  $A|_{\{(u,v)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}|_u = 0$ , and  $\left( 1 - \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_0} \right)^2 = 0$ ,  $B$  is invertible.

Applying the partial differential of (3.35) by the  $y$ -coordinate and pulling back to  $Z(z)$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = B' \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z).$$

Then  $B' \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} - B \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}$  vanishes on  $Z(z)$  and hence we get

$$f \boxplus -g \boxplus 0 = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot A \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0} \right)^t \cdot B \cdot z \quad \text{in} \quad Z(z^{\otimes 2}),$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. FUNCTORIALITY OF D-CRITICAL STRUCTURES

**4.1. Pullbacks of d-critical structures.** In the definition of relative d-critical structures (see Definition 3.15) we have considered critical charts which are Zariski open. We may replace this condition by requiring étale or smooth critical charts; the goal of this section is to show that the resulting notion of a relative d-critical structure does not change (see Theorem 4.3). We begin with the following observation regarding smooth functoriality of relative critical loci.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $(V, g)$  be an LG pair over  $B$ . Let  $\pi: U \rightarrow V$  be a smooth morphism and denote  $f = \pi^*g$ . Then we have a Cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) & \longrightarrow & V. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & U & & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 V & & & & T^*(V/B) \times_V U \\
 & \searrow \Gamma_{d_B g} & & \swarrow \pi_1 & \searrow \\
 & T^*(V/B) & & & T^*(U/B)
 \end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian and the composite  $\Gamma_{d_B f}: U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  is given by the graph of  $d_B f$ . Since  $U \rightarrow V$  is smooth,  $T^*(V/B) \times_V U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  is injective. Therefore, the zero locus of  $U \rightarrow T^*(V/B) \times_V U$  (i.e.  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U$ ) coincides with the zero locus of  $\Gamma_{d_B f}: U \rightarrow T^*(U/B)$  (i.e.  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ ).  $\square$

We will now prove the following technical statement, which will be used to recognize minimal critical charts; it is a family version of [Joy15, Proposition 2.7].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$ , a point  $x \in X$ , a smooth  $B$ -scheme  $U$ , a closed immersion  $\iota: X \hookrightarrow U$  and a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  which satisfy the following properties:*

- (1)  $\iota_{X,U}(s) = f \in \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X,U}^2$  (see Proposition 3.7(3) for the notation).
- (2)  $\iota: X \rightarrow U$  is minimal at  $x$ .

*Then there is an open neighborhood  $U^\circ \subset U$  of  $\iota(x)$  such that  $\text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f|_{U^\circ}) \times_U X \rightarrow X$  is an open immersion.*

*Proof.* Since  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$ , we may find a critical chart  $(V, g, v)$  at  $x$ . Let  $X^\circ \subset X$  be the image of  $v: \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \rightarrow X$  and denote by  $j: X^\circ \rightarrow V$  the corresponding closed immersion. By Proposition 3.26 we may further assume that  $j: X^\circ \rightarrow V$  is minimal at  $x$ . Let  $s^\circ = s|_{X^\circ}$ .

The morphism  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{\iota \times j} U \times_B V$  is an immersion into a smooth  $B$ -scheme, so by Proposition 1.13(1), possibly shrinking  $X^\circ$ , we may find a factorization of this morphism as  $X^\circ \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi_U \times \pi_V} U \times_B V$ , where  $\tilde{V}$  is a smooth  $B$ -scheme and  $\tilde{j}$  is a closed immersion minimal at  $x$ . The morphisms

$$\tilde{j}^*: \Omega_{\tilde{V}/B, \tilde{j}(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\circ/B, x}^1, \quad \iota^*: \Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\circ/B, x}^1, \quad j^*: \Omega_{V/B, j(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\circ/B, x}^1$$

are all isomorphisms as the corresponding immersions are minimal at  $x$ . Therefore,

$$\pi_U^*: \Omega_{U/B, \iota(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{V}/B, \tilde{j}(x)}^1, \quad \pi_V^*: \Omega_{V/B, j(x)}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{V}/B, \tilde{j}(x)}^1$$

are isomorphisms. Therefore, possibly shrinking  $\tilde{V}$  (and, correspondingly,  $X^\circ$ ), we may assume that  $\pi_U: \tilde{V} \rightarrow U$  and  $\pi_V: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  are étale. We will now compare  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  using the correspondence  $V \xleftarrow{\pi_V} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi_U} U$ .

- (1) Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X^\circ & & \\
 \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V \tilde{V} & \longrightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & \tilde{V} & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} V \\
 \tilde{j} \swarrow & & \nearrow
 \end{array}$$

where the square is Cartesian. By Proposition 4.1 we have  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V \tilde{V} \cong \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$ , where  $\tilde{g} = \pi_V^* g$ . Since  $X^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  is an open immersion and  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  is étale, the morphism  $X^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$  is étale and, therefore, its image is open. Similarly,  $\tilde{j}$  and  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}$  are closed immersions, so  $X^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$  is a closed immersion. Therefore, its image is also

closed. Thus, shrinking  $\tilde{V}$  we may assume that  $X^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$  is an isomorphism. Thus,  $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$  provides a critical chart for  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  near  $x$ .

- (2) Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal defining the closed immersion  $X^\circ \cong \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ . Let  $\tilde{f} = \pi_U^* f$  and  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{j}(x)$ . By assumption we have  $\iota_{X^\circ, \tilde{V}}(s^\circ) = \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}/\mathcal{I}^2$ . Since  $(V, g, v)$  is a critical chart we also get  $\iota_{X^\circ, \tilde{V}}(s^\circ) = \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}/\mathcal{I}^2$ . Thus,  $\tilde{f} - \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{I}^2$ . Shrinking  $\tilde{V}$  (and  $X^\circ$  so that  $X^\circ \cong \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$  remains true) we may choose étale coordinates  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  on  $\tilde{V}$ , which is a smooth  $B$ -scheme. Then we may find a symmetric matrix  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}}$  such that

$$\tilde{f} - \tilde{g} = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_j}.$$

Taking the derivative, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial z_k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_k} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i,j} 2a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{g}}{\partial z_i \partial z_k} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_j}.$$

We can write it as

$$d_B \tilde{f} = (\text{id} + \alpha) d_B \tilde{g},$$

where we introduce the matrix

$$\alpha_{ij} = \sum_k \frac{\partial a_{kj}}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_k} + \sum_k 2a_{kj} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{g}}{\partial z_i \partial z_k}.$$

Since  $\tilde{x} \in \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$ , we have  $\frac{\partial \tilde{g}}{\partial z_k}(\tilde{x}) = 0$ . Since the closed immersion  $\tilde{j}$  is minimal at  $x$ , by Proposition 3.14 we have  $\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{g}}{\partial z_i \partial z_k}(\tilde{x}) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha(\tilde{x}) = 0$  and hence, shrinking  $\tilde{V}$  and  $X^\circ$ , we may arrange  $(\text{id} + \alpha)$  to be invertible. Thus, we get  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) = \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{g})$ .

- (3) Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{V} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

which is Cartesian by Proposition 4.1. The composite  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \cong X^\circ \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} U$  is an immersion, so it is a monomorphism. Therefore,  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow U$  is a monomorphism and hence  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  is a monomorphism. Since  $\pi_U: \tilde{V} \rightarrow U$  is étale, we have that  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  is étale. Therefore, by [Stacks, Tag 025G] we get that  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  is an open immersion. As  $\pi_U: \tilde{V} \rightarrow U$  is étale,  $U^\circ = \pi_U(\tilde{V}) \subset U$  is open. Thus, we get that  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{V}/B}(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f|_{U^\circ})$  is a surjective open immersion, hence an isomorphism.  $\square$

The following is a family version of [Joy15, Proposition 2.8].

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a section  $t \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{S}_{Y/B})$ ,  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  a smooth morphism and let  $s = \pi^* t \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ .*

- (1) *If  $t$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure, then  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure. Explicitly, for every point  $x \in X$  we may find a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  for  $X \rightarrow B$  around  $x$ , a critical chart  $(V, g, v)$  for  $Y \rightarrow B$  around  $\pi(x)$  together with a smooth morphism  $\tilde{\pi}: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  which fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{u} & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ Y & \xleftarrow{v} & \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) & \longrightarrow & V \end{array}$$

(2) If  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure and  $\pi$  is surjective, then  $t$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure.

*Proof.* In the first point  $Y \rightarrow B$  has a relative  $d$ -critical structure, so it is locally of finite type. In the second point  $X \rightarrow B$  is locally of finite type, so by [Stacks, Tag 01T8] we also get that  $Y \rightarrow B$  is locally of finite type.

Let  $x \in X$  be a point and  $y = \pi(x) \in Y$ . By Proposition 1.14 we may find a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \longleftarrow & X^\circ & \xrightarrow{\iota} & U \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi^\circ & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ Y & \longleftarrow & Y^\circ & \xrightarrow{j} & V \end{array}$$

with  $X^\circ \subset X$  and  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  open neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}: U \rightarrow V$  smooth and  $\iota: X^\circ \rightarrow U$  and  $j: Y^\circ \rightarrow V$  closed immersions into smooth  $B$ -schemes minimal at  $x$  and  $y$ . Possibly shrinking  $X^\circ, Y^\circ, U, V$  we may find a function  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $\iota_{Y^\circ, V}(t) = g \in \mathcal{O}_V/\mathcal{I}_{Y^\circ, V}^2$ . Let  $f = \tilde{\pi}^*g$ , so that  $\iota_{X^\circ, U}(s) = f \in \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X^\circ, U}^2$ .

We now claim that  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure in a neighborhood of  $x$  if, and only if, we can shrink  $U$  to a neighborhood of  $x$  so that  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_U X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  becomes an open immersion. Indeed, if this morphism is an open immersion,  $(U, f)$  provides a critical chart at  $x$ . The converse is provided by Proposition 4.2. The same claim applies to  $t$ .

Since  $\tilde{\pi}: U \rightarrow V$  is smooth, by Proposition 4.1 we have  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \cong \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V U$ . Thus,  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_U X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an open immersion if, and only if,  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an open immersion.

- (1) Assume that  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure. Then shrinking  $V$  we get that  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V Y^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  is an open immersion. By base change  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is also an open immersion. By the above argument we get that  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Varying  $x$  we get that  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure.
- (2) Assume that  $s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure and  $\pi$  is surjective. By the above argument we may shrink  $V$  so that  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an open immersion. By faithfully flat descent for open immersions [Stacks, Tag 02L3] this implies that  $\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) \times_V Y^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$  is an open immersion. Again, the above argument implies that  $t$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure near  $y$ . As  $\pi$  is surjective, we may vary  $x \in X$  to cover  $Y$ , so we get that  $t$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure.  $\square$

In Theorem 4.3 we have described a smooth functoriality of critical charts. We will also need a description of a smooth functoriality for critical morphisms of critical charts. The following statement is an analog of Proposition 3.25 for smooth morphisms of schemes equipped with relative  $d$ -critical structures. While we do not know how to show that the zigzag factorization in Proposition 3.25 can be made smooth functorial (this is claimed in the proof of [Ben+15, Proposition 4.5]), the following alternative local model (with  $U^\circ \rightarrow U$  being étale rather than an open immersion) is sufficient.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(X_2 \rightarrow B, s_2)$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a  $d$ -critical structure,  $\pi: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  a smooth morphism and let  $s_1 = \pi^*s_2 \in \Gamma(X_1, \mathcal{S}_{X_1/B})$ . Consider critical charts  $(U_1, f_1, u_1)$  and  $(V_1, f_1, v_1)$  of  $X_1$  and  $(U_2, f_2, u_2)$  and  $(V_2, f_2, v_2)$  of  $X_2$  together with smooth morphisms  $\pi_U: (U_1, f_1) \rightarrow (U_2, f_2)$  and  $\pi_V: (V_1, f_1) \rightarrow (V_2, f_2)$  compatible with  $\pi$ . Let  $x_1 \in \text{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1)$  and  $y_1 \in \text{Crit}_{V_1/B}(f_1)$  be points with  $u_1(x_1) = v_1(y_1)$  and let  $x_2 = \pi_U(x_1)$  and  $y_2 = \pi_V(y_1)$ . Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (U_1, f_1, u_1) & \longleftarrow & (U_1^\circ, f_1^\circ, u_1^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & (W_1, h_1, w_1) & \xleftarrow{\Psi_1} & (V_1^\circ, g_1^\circ, v_1^\circ) & \longrightarrow & (V_1, g_1, v_1) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_U^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_V^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ (U_2, f_2, u_2) & \longleftarrow & (U_2^\circ, f_2^\circ, u_2^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & (W_2, h_2, w_2) & \xleftarrow{\Psi_2} & (V_2^\circ, g_2^\circ, v_2^\circ) & \longrightarrow & (V_2, g_2, v_2) \end{array}$$

with  $(U_i^\circ, f_i^\circ, u_i^\circ)$  étale critical charts and the rest Zariski critical charts,  $(U_i^\circ, f_i^\circ, u_i^\circ) \rightarrow (U_i, f_i, u_i)$  an étale morphism surjective at  $x_i$ ,  $(V_i^\circ, g_i^\circ) \rightarrow (V_i, g_i)$  an open immersion surjective at  $y_i$ ,  $\Phi_i, \Psi_i$  critical morphisms, vertical morphisms smooth and  $U_1^\circ \rightarrow W_1 \times_{W_2} U_2^\circ$  and  $V_1^\circ \rightarrow W_1 \times_{W_2} V_2^\circ$  étale.

*Proof.* Let  $V_2^\circ$  be an open neighborhood of  $y_2$  which admits an étale morphism  $V_2^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_B^n$  over  $B$  and  $V_1^\circ = \pi_V^{-1}(V_2^\circ)$ . Shrinking  $V_1^\circ$  further, we may assume that there is an étale morphism  $V_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{V_2^\circ}^d$  over  $V_2^\circ$ .

Replacing  $\tilde{V}$  (equipped with an open immersion to  $\mathbb{A}_B^n$ ) by  $V_2^\circ$  (equipped with an étale morphism to  $\mathbb{A}_B^n$ ) in the proof of Proposition 3.25, we obtain an étale critical chart  $(U_2^\circ, f_2^\circ, u_2^\circ)$  of  $X_2$  with an étale morphism  $(U_2^\circ, f_2^\circ, u_2^\circ) \rightarrow (U_2, f_2, u_2)$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}_{U_2^\circ/B}(f_2^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{V_2^\circ/B}(g_2^\circ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_2^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Theta_2} & V_2^\circ \end{array}$$

of  $B$ -schemes. Repeating the same argument with  $U_1$  and  $V_1^\circ$  (as  $V_2^\circ$ -schemes), we obtain an étale critical chart  $(U_1^\circ, f_1^\circ, u_1^\circ)$  of  $X_1$  which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U_1 & \longleftarrow & U_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Theta_1} & V_1^\circ & \longrightarrow & V_1 \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_U^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_V^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ U_2 & \longleftarrow & U_2^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Theta_2} & V_2^\circ & \longrightarrow & V_2. \end{array}$$

Passing to the critical loci of the middle square, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Crit}_{U_1^\circ/B}(f_1^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_1} & \text{Crit}_{V_1^\circ/B}(g_1^\circ) \\ \downarrow \pi_U^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_V^\circ \\ \text{Crit}_{U_2^\circ/B}(f_2^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_2} & \text{Crit}_{V_2^\circ/B}(g_2^\circ), \end{array}$$

where both horizontal morphisms are étale and vertical morphisms smooth. Thus,

$$\Theta_1^* \Omega_{\text{Crit}_{V_1^\circ/B}(g_1^\circ)/\text{Crit}_{V_2^\circ/B}(g_2^\circ)}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\text{Crit}_{U_1^\circ/B}(f_1^\circ)/\text{Crit}_{U_2^\circ/B}(f_2^\circ)}^1$$

is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$(4.1) \quad \Theta_1^* \Omega_{V_1^\circ/V_2^\circ}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U_1^\circ/U_2^\circ}^1$$

is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of  $x_1$ . Thus, shrinking  $U_1^\circ$  we may assume this morphism is an isomorphism.

Continuing with the rest of the proof of Proposition 3.25, shrinking  $U_1^\circ$  and  $U_2^\circ$  we obtain a trivial orthogonal bundle  $(E_2, q_2)$  over  $V_2^\circ$  with a section  $s_2 \in \Theta_2^* E_2$  such that

$$f_2^\circ - g_2^\circ \circ \Theta_2 = q(s)$$

and such that  $\Phi_2: (U_2^\circ, f_2^\circ) \rightarrow (W_2 = E_2, g_2^\circ \circ \pi_{E_2} + \mathbf{q}_{E_2})$  given by  $s_2$  is a critical morphism. Let  $\Psi_2: (V_2^\circ, g_2^\circ) \rightarrow (W_2, g_2^\circ \circ \pi_{E_2} + \mathbf{q}_{E_2})$  be the zero section which is again a critical morphism.

Let  $(E_1, q_1) = (\pi_V^\circ)^*(E_2, q_2)$  and  $s_1 = (\pi_U^\circ)^* s_2$ . Define  $\Phi_1: (U_1^\circ, f_1^\circ) \rightarrow (W_1 = E_1, g_1^\circ \circ \pi_{E_1} + \mathbf{q}_{E_1})$  using  $s_1$  and  $\Psi_1: (V_1^\circ, g_1^\circ) \rightarrow (W_1, g_1^\circ \circ \pi_{E_1} + \mathbf{q}_{E_1})$  to be the zero section. Let  $\pi_W: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  be the obvious projection. By Example 3.21  $\Psi_1$  is a critical morphism. Moreover, by construction  $\Phi_1$  restricts to  $\Theta_1: \text{Crit}_{U_1^\circ/B}(f_1^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V_2^\circ/B}(g_2^\circ)$ . Thus, to show that  $\Phi_1$  is a critical morphism, we have to show that it is unramified. For this, consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_1^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & W_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_1}} & V_1^\circ \\ \downarrow \pi_U^\circ & & \downarrow \pi_W & & \downarrow \pi_V^\circ \\ U_2^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & W_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{E_2}} & V_2^\circ. \end{array}$$

By construction the right square is Cartesian, so

$$\pi_{E_1}^* \Omega_{V_1^\circ/V_2^\circ}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{W_1/W_2}^1$$

is an isomorphism. Using (4.1) we get that

$$\Phi_1^* \Omega_{W_1/W_2}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U_1^\circ/U_2^\circ}^1$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore,  $\Phi_1$  factors into a composite of an étale morphism  $U_1^\circ \rightarrow W_1 \times_{W_2} U_2^\circ$  and an unramified morphism  $W_1 \times_{W_2} U_2^\circ \rightarrow W_1$ , hence it is unramified.  $\square$

Using Theorem 4.3 we establish smooth functoriality of the virtual canonical bundle.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $(Y \rightarrow B, t)$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure and  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  a smooth morphism. Consider the pullback relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Upsilon_\pi: K_{Y/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \cong K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}.$$

It satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\Upsilon_{\text{id}} = \text{id}$ .
- (2) For a composite  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z$  of smooth morphisms with a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $Z \rightarrow B$  and pullback relative  $d$ -critical structures on  $X \rightarrow B$  and  $Y \rightarrow B$  the diagram

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{Z/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X/Z}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\sigma \circ \pi}} & K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}} \\ \uparrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2 & & \uparrow \Upsilon_\pi \\ K_{Z/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{Y/Z}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_\sigma \otimes \text{id}} & K_{Y/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X/Y}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the left vertical morphism is induced by the short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{Y/Z}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Z}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

- (3) For a point  $x \in X$  the diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{Y/B, \pi(x)}^{\text{vir}} \otimes K_{X/Y, x}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_\pi|_x} & K_{X/B, x}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{\pi(x)} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \kappa_x \\ \det(\Omega_{Y/B, \pi(x)}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X/Y, x}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta)^2} & \det(\Omega_{X/B, x}^1)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the bottom isomorphism is induced by the short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y/B, \pi(x)}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/B, x}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Y, x}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  be a point,  $y$  its image in  $Y$  and  $b$  its image in  $B$ . Let  $X_b$  and  $Y_b$  be the fibers of  $X \rightarrow B$  and  $Y \rightarrow B$  at  $b \in B$ . We will first construct the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_\pi$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ , possibly depending on additional choices.

By Theorem 4.3(1) we may find a smooth morphism  $\tilde{\pi}: U \rightarrow V$  of smooth  $B$ -schemes, a function  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $f = \tilde{\pi}^* g$  and a commutative diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{u} & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ Y & \xleftarrow{v} & \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) & \longrightarrow & V \end{array}$$

with the square on the right Cartesian by Proposition 4.1, such that  $(U, f, u)$  defines a critical chart for  $X$  near  $x$  (so that there is a point  $x' \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  with  $u(x') = x$ ) and  $(V, g, v)$  defines a critical chart for  $Y$  near  $y$  (so that there is a point  $y' \in \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  such that  $v(y') = y$ ). By definition we have canonical isomorphisms

$$v^* K_{Y/B}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{V/B, \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)}^{\otimes 2}, \quad u^* K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U/B, \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Under these isomorphisms we define  $\Upsilon_\pi|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}$  to be the composite isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c}
K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \\
\downarrow \sim \\
K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{U/V}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}} \\
\downarrow i(\Delta)^2 \\
K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}
\end{array}$$

where the first morphism is obtained from the isomorphism  $K_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)} \cong K_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  coming from the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Crit}_{V/B}(g) & \longrightarrow & V
\end{array}$$

and the second morphism comes from the short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\pi}^* \Omega_{V/B}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/V}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The claim that the diagram (4.3) commutes reduces to the same claim about d-critical loci  $X_b$  and  $Y_b$  which is shown in [Joy15, Proposition 2.30].

Now consider two choices  $\{(U_1, f_1, u_1), (V_1, g_1, v_1), \tilde{\pi}_1\}$  and  $\{(U_2, f_2, u_2), (V_2, g_2, v_2), \tilde{\pi}_2\}$  fitting into a diagram (4.4) and let  $\Upsilon_\pi^1$  and  $\Upsilon_\pi^2$  be the two local models of the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_\pi$  defined using these local data. Then for every point  $\tilde{x} \in \text{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_2/B}(f_2)$  with image  $x$  in  $X$  and  $y$  in  $Y$  both  $\Upsilon_\pi^1|_x$  and  $\Upsilon_\pi^2|_x$  fit into the same commutative diagram (4.3). Therefore, they are equal. This proves that

$$\Upsilon_\pi^1|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_2/B}(f_2)} = \Upsilon_\pi^2|_{\text{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_2/B}(f_2)}$$

and hence we obtain a global isomorphism  $\Upsilon_\pi$  independent of choices.

It is enough to establish both properties of the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_\pi$  pointwise, as they involve comparing isomorphisms of line bundles on reduced schemes. Property (1) is immediate from (4.3).

Now consider the setting of property (2). Applying the commutative diagram (4.3), diagram (4.2) restricted to  $x \in X$  (with  $y = \pi(x) \in Y$  and  $z = \sigma(y) \in Z$ ) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\det(\Omega_{Z/B,z}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X/Z,x}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow B})^2} & \det(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)^{\otimes 2} \\
\uparrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta_{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z})^2 & & \uparrow i(\Delta_{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B})^2 \\
\det(\Omega_{Z/B,z}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{Y/Z,y}^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X/Y,x}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow B})^2 \otimes \text{id}} & \det(\Omega_{Y/B,y}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X/Y,x}^{\otimes 2}
\end{array}$$

where the individual isomorphisms are induced by the following double short exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \Delta_{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow B} & & \Delta_{X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z} & & \\
& & \ddot{0} & & \ddot{0} & & \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\Delta_{Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow B}: 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{Z/B,z}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{Y/B,y}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{Y/Z,y}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \parallel & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta_{X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow B}: 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{Z/B,z}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/B,x}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/Z,x}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/Y,x}^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega_{X/Y,x}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& 0 & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

The commutativity of the diagram (4.5) follows from the corresponding property of determinant lines, see [KPS24, (2.4)].  $\square$

**4.2. Base change of d-critical structures.** Recall that for a commutative diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B' & \longrightarrow & B
\end{array}$$

there is a natural pullback map

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X'/B', k).$$

We have the following base change property of the relative critical locus.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$ . Let  $B' \rightarrow B$  be a morphism and consider the fiber product*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U' & \longrightarrow & U \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
B' & \longrightarrow & B
\end{array}$$

*Let  $f': U' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  be the pullback of  $f$  to  $U'$ , so that  $(U', f')$  is an LG pair over  $B'$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_B B' \cong \text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')$$

*under which  $s_f$  maps to  $s_{f'}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and  $R' = \text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')$ . By definition we have fiber products

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \longrightarrow & U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B & \longrightarrow & T^*(U/B),
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R' & \longrightarrow & U' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B' & \longrightarrow & T^*(U'/B').
\end{array}$$

Applying the base change functor  $(-) \times_B B'$  to the first square we get a fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R \times_B B' & \longrightarrow & U' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B' & \longrightarrow & T^*(U/B) \times_B B'.
\end{array}$$

Using  $T^*(U/B) \times_B B' \cong T^*(U'/B')$ , by the universal property of fiber products we obtain an isomorphism  $R \times_B B' \cong R'$ .

Let us now show that under this isomorphism  $s_f$  is sent to  $s_{f'}$ . The description of the sheaf  $\mathcal{S}_{R/B}$  using the embedding  $R \rightarrow U$  given in Proposition 3.7 is compatible with base change. Thus, the pullback  $\mathcal{S}_{R/B}|_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{R'/B'}$  fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{R/B}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R,U}} & \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}^2|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{d_B} & \Omega_{U/B}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}\Omega_{U/B}^1|_{R'} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{R'/B'} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R',U'}} & \mathcal{O}_{U'}/\mathcal{I}_{R',U'}^2 & \xrightarrow{d_{B'}} & \Omega_{U'/B'}^1/\mathcal{I}_{R',U'}\Omega_{U'/B'}^1 \end{array}$$

Thus, it is enough to show that  $\iota_{R,U}(s_f)$  is sent to  $\iota_{R',U'}(s_{f'})$ . But this immediately follows from Proposition 3.10.  $\square$

The above proposition allows us to define base change of d-critical structures.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Let  $B' \rightarrow B$  be a morphism and consider the fiber product*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Denote by  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  the pullback of  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ .

- (1) *If  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure, then  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure.*
- (2) *If  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure and  $B' \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover, then  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure.*

*Proof.* In the first point  $X \rightarrow B$  has a relative d-critical structure, so it is locally of finite type. In the second point  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is locally of finite type and  $B' \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover, so by faithfully flat descent [Stacks, Tag 02KX] we get that  $X \rightarrow B$  is locally of finite type.

Let  $x' \in X'$  be a point and  $x \in X$  its image in  $X$ . By [Stacks, Tag 0CBL] we may find morphisms  $X \leftarrow X^\circ \rightarrow U$ , where  $X^\circ \rightarrow X$  is an open immersion surjective at  $x$ ,  $U$  is a smooth  $B$ -scheme and  $X^\circ \rightarrow U$  is a closed immersion minimal at  $x$ . Let  $X' \leftarrow X^{\circ'} \rightarrow U'$  be the base change of this correspondence along  $B' \rightarrow B$ . We obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & U \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X^{\circ'} & \longrightarrow & X^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where all squares are Cartesian. Therefore,  $X^{\circ'} \rightarrow X'$  is an open immersion surjective at  $x'$ ,  $U'$  is a smooth  $B'$ -scheme and  $X^{\circ'} \rightarrow U'$  is minimal at  $x'$ . Possibly shrinking  $X^\circ, U$  we may find a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $\iota_{X^\circ, U} = f \in \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{X^\circ, U}^2$ . Let  $f'$  be the composite  $X' \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^1$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 4.3,  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure near  $x$  if, and only if, we can shrink  $U$  to a neighborhood of  $x$  so that  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_U X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  becomes an open immersion. The same claim applies to  $s'$ .

- (1) Assume that  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure. Then by the above argument shrinking  $U$  we get that  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_U X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an open immersion. By Proposition 4.6 its base change along  $B' \rightarrow B$  is  $\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f') \times_{U'} X^{\circ'} \rightarrow X^{\circ'}$  which is therefore also an open immersion. By the above argument we get that  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure in a neighborhood of  $x'$ . Varying  $x'$  we get that  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure.
- (2) Assume that  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure and  $B' \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover. By the above argument shrinking  $U'$  we get that  $\text{Crit}_{U'/B}(f) \times_{U'} X^{\circ'} \rightarrow X^{\circ'}$  is an open immersion. Since  $B' \rightarrow B$  is universally open [Stacks, Tag 01UA],  $U' \rightarrow U$  is open. Therefore, we may shrink  $U$  so that  $U'$  is still the base change of  $U$  along  $B' \rightarrow B$ . By the faithfully flat descent for open immersions [Stacks, Tag 02L3] we get that  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \times_U X^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$  is an open immersion and hence, by the above argument, that  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $X' \rightarrow X$  is surjective, this implies that we may vary  $x' \in X'$  to cover  $X$ , so we get that  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.7 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Consider a diagram of schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth,  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and let  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  be the pullback.

- (1) If  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure, then  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure.
- (2) If  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure,  $B' \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is surjective, then  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure.

Using Theorem 4.7 we can establish the following compatibility of the virtual canonical bundle with respect to base change.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Let  $B' \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes and consider the fiber product*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Denote by  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  the pullback of  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Then there is an isomorphism

$$\Upsilon: K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}$$

compatible with compositions of Cartesian squares. Moreover, for a point  $x' \in X'$  and its image  $x \in X$  the diagram

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,x}^{\text{vir}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon|_x} & K_{X'/B',x'}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_x & & \downarrow \kappa_{x'} \\ \det(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(\Omega_{X'/B',x'}^1)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* A cover of  $X$  by critical charts  $(U, f, u)$  defines a cover of  $X'$  by critical charts  $(U' = U \times_B B', f' = f|_{U'}, u' = u \times \text{id})$ . Thus, it is enough to construct the isomorphism  $\Upsilon$  for each critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  and show that the diagram (4.7) commutes. The latter condition ensures that the locally defined isomorphisms glue into a global isomorphism  $\Upsilon$ .

Let  $(U, f, u)$  be a critical chart for  $X$  and  $(U', f', u')$  the corresponding critical chart for  $X'$ . By definition we have canonical isomorphisms

$$u^* K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}, \quad (u')^* K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U'/B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')^{\text{red}}}.$$

We define  $\Upsilon|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')^{\text{red}}}$  to be the isomorphism

$$K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')^{\text{red}}} \cong K_{U'/B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')^{\text{red}}}$$

coming from the bottom Cartesian square in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U' & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

The above diagram of Cartesian squares defines an isomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{X/U,x}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B,x}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/B,x}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{X'/U',x'}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U'/B',x'}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X'/B',x'}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The Hessian quadratic form on  $\Omega_{U/B,x}^1$  restricts to the Hessian quadratic form on  $\Omega_{U'/B',x'}^1$ . Thus, using the description of  $\kappa_x$  from Theorem 3.33(3) we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{U/B,x}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon|_x} & K_{U'/B',x'} \\ \downarrow \kappa_x & & \downarrow \kappa_{x'} \\ \det(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(\Omega_{X'/B',x'}^1)^{\otimes 2}. \end{array}$$

For a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  its base change along  $B' \rightarrow B$  defines a critical morphism  $\Phi': (U', f', u') \rightarrow (V', g', v')$ . Moreover, under the natural isomorphism  $N_{U/V}|_{U'} \cong N_{U'/V'}$  the quadratic form  $q_\Phi$  restricts to the quadratic form  $q_{\Phi'}$ . Thus, using the description of the gluing isomorphisms  $J_\Phi$  for the virtual canonical bundle from Theorem 3.33(2) we get that  $\Upsilon^V|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')^{\text{red}}} = \Upsilon^U$ . In particular, there is a global isomorphism  $K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \cong K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}$  which restricts to  $\Upsilon^U$  in each critical chart.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.9 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Consider a diagram of schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B, \end{array}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow X' \times_B X$  is smooth, and a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Let  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  be the pullback. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}: K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}.$$

It satisfies the following properties:

(1) For the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xlongequal{\quad} & B \end{array}$$

we have  $\Upsilon_{X \rightarrow X} = \text{id}$ .

(2) For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B'' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

with  $X'' \rightarrow X' \times_{B'} B''$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and  $s', s''$  its pullbacks to  $X' \rightarrow B'$  and  $X'' \rightarrow B''$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2} & K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X \times_B B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \\ \downarrow \Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{X'' \rightarrow X} \\ K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X'' \rightarrow X'}} & K_{X''/B''}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top horizontal isomorphism is induced by the short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X'/X \times_B B'}^1|_{X''} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X''/X \times_B B''}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

(3) For a point  $x \in X'$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B, \bar{p}(x')}^{\text{vir}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B', x'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}|_{x'}} & K_{X'/B', x'}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{\bar{p}(x')} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \kappa_{x'} \\ \det(\Omega_{X/B, \bar{p}(x')}^1)^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B', x'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta)^2} & \det(\Omega_{X'/B', x'}^1)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the bottom horizontal isomorphism is induced by the short exact sequence

$$\Delta: 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/B, x}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X'/B', x'}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X''/X \times_B B', x'}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

**4.3. D-critical structures on stacks.** We now extend the notion of relative  $d$ -critical structures to higher Artin stacks. Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism of stacks. In Section 1.5 we have defined the space  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$  of relative exact two-forms of degree  $-1$ . In particular, we again have a sheaf on the big étale site of  $X$  denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_{X/B}$  so that

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B}) = \pi_0(\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, -1)).$$

For a morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow B$  let  $\text{DCrit}(X/B) \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  be the set of relative  $d$ -critical structures. By Corollary 4.8 we see that the collection of relative  $d$ -critical structures defines a functor

$$\text{DCrit}: \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Sch})_{0\text{smooth}}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$$

satisfying étale descent. Using (1.3) we obtain the notion of a relative  $d$ -critical structure on a geometric morphism of stacks as follows.

**Definition 4.11.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism. A **relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$**  is a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  which satisfies the following property: for every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is a morphism of schemes,  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth and  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  is the pullback of  $s$ , we have that  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure.

**Remark 4.12.** If  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism of schemes, Corollary 4.8 ensures that Definition 4.11 is compatible with Definition 3.15.

Using (1.3) we get an extension of Corollary 4.8 to geometric morphisms.

**Proposition 4.13.** *Consider a commutative diagram of stacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

where  $X \rightarrow B$  is geometric and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is smooth (in particular,  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is geometric). Consider  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and let  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  be the pullback.

- (1) If  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure, then  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure.
- (2) If  $s'$  is a relative d-critical structure,  $B' \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is surjective, then  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure.

We will now define the virtual canonical bundle associated to a relative d-critical structure on stacks.

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism of stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$ . There is a line bundle  $K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}$  on  $X^{\text{red}}$ , the **virtual canonical bundle**, uniquely determined by the following conditions:*

- (1) If  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism of schemes, then  $K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}$  coincides with the virtual canonical bundle defined in Theorem 3.33.
- (2) For every commutative diagram of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $\pi, \pi'$  geometric and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  a smooth morphism (so that  $X' \rightarrow B'$  has a pullback relative d-critical structure by Corollary 4.8), we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}: K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}.$$

- (3) For a commutative diagram of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{q} & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $\pi'', \pi', \pi$  geometric morphisms,  $X'' \rightarrow X' \times_{B'} B''$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, a relative d-critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and  $s', s''$  its pullbacks to  $X' \rightarrow B'$  and  $X'' \rightarrow B''$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2} & K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X \times_B B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \\ \downarrow \Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{X'' \rightarrow X} \\ K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X'' \rightarrow X'}} & K_{X''/B''}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top horizontal isomorphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\Delta: \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{X''} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X''/X \times_B B''} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}.$$

For every point  $x \in X$  there is an isomorphism

$$\kappa_x : K_{X/B,x}^{\text{vir}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x})^{\otimes 2}$$

which coincides with  $\kappa_x$  defined in Theorem 3.33 for  $X \rightarrow B$  a morphism of schemes and which satisfies the following property:

(4) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

be a diagram of stacks as in (2). For a point  $x' \in X'$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,\bar{p}(x')}^{\text{vir}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B',x'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}|_{x'}} & K_{X'/B',x'}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{\bar{p}(x')} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \kappa_{x'} \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,\bar{p}(x')})^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B',x'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{x' \rightarrow x})^2} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X'/B',x'})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the bottom horizontal isomorphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\Delta_{x' \rightarrow x} : \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,\bar{p}(x')} \longrightarrow \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X'/B',x'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B',x'}.$$

In addition, the virtual canonical bundle satisfies the following properties:

(5) For a pair  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$ ,  $X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  of geometric morphisms of stacks equipped with relative  $d$ -critical structures there is an isomorphism

$$(4.8) \quad K_{X_1/B_1}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes K_{X_2/B_2}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2}^{\text{vir}},$$

which is unital, commutative and associative and such that the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}$  from (2) is compatible with products. Moreover, (4.8) is uniquely determined by the condition that for every point  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$  there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X_1/B_1,x_1}^{\text{vir}} \otimes K_{X_2/B_2,x_2}^{\text{vir}} & \xrightarrow{(4.8)} & K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,(x_1,x_2)}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{x_1} \otimes \kappa_{x_2} & & \downarrow \kappa_{(x_1,x_2)} \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X_1/B_1,x_1})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X_2/B_2,x_2})^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,(x_1,x_2)})^{\otimes 2}. \end{array}$$

(6) For a locally constant function  $d : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  there is an isomorphism

$$(4.9) \quad R_d : K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{X/B,-s}^{\text{vir}}$$

squaring to the identity. For a pair  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$ ,  $X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  of geometric morphisms of stacks equipped with relative  $d$ -critical structures  $s_1, s_2$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X_1/B_1,s_1}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes K_{X_2/B_2,s_2}^{\text{vir}} & \xrightarrow{(4.8)} & K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,s_1 \boxplus s_2}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow R_{d_1} \boxtimes R_{d_2} & & \downarrow R_{d_1 \boxplus d_2} \\ K_{X_1/B_1,-s_1}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes K_{X_2/B_2,-s_2}^{\text{vir}} & \xrightarrow{(4.8)} & K_{X_1 \times X_2/B_1 \times B_2,-(s_1 \boxplus s_2)}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes. For a commutative diagram of stacks as in (2) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}} & K_{X'/B',s'}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow R_d \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow R_{d+\dim(X'/X \times_B B')} \\ K_{X/B,-s}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}} & K_{X'/B',-s'}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* Consider the functor

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{K^2} : \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Stk})_{0\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{geometric}, \mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gpd}$$

defined as follows:

- For a geometric morphism of stacks  $X \rightarrow B$  we assign the groupoid  $\mathrm{Pic}(X^{\mathrm{red}})$  of line bundles on  $X^{\mathrm{red}}$ .
- For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $X \rightarrow B$  geometric and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, we assign the functor  $\mathrm{Pic}(X^{\mathrm{red}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}((X')^{\mathrm{red}})$  which sends a line bundle  $L$  on  $X^{\mathrm{red}}$  to  $\bar{p}^* L \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\mathrm{red}}}$ .

- For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{q} & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $X \rightarrow B$  geometric,  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  and  $X'' \rightarrow X' \times_{B'} B''$  smooth, to a natural isomorphism between the composite

$$L \mapsto \bar{p}^* L \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\mathrm{red}}} \mapsto \bar{q}^* \bar{p}^* L \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\mathrm{red}}} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\mathrm{red}}}$$

and  $L \mapsto \bar{q}^* \bar{p}^* L \otimes K_{X''/X \times_B B''}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X'')^{\mathrm{red}}}$  given by  $\mathrm{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2$ , where

$$\Delta : \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{X''} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X''/X \times_B B''} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''}.$$

Consider also the functor

$$\mathrm{DCrit} : \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Stk})_{0\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{geometric}, \mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Set} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gpd}$$

given by sending a geometric morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  to the set of relative d-critical structures.

The restrictions of the functors  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K^2}$  and  $\mathrm{DCrit}$  to morphisms of schemes satisfies étale descent (étale descent for line bundles in the case of  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K^2}$  and étale descent for relative d-critical structures proven in Theorem 4.7(2) for  $\mathrm{DCrit}$ ); therefore, using (1.3) both  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K^2}$  and  $\mathrm{DCrit}$  extend to functors on  $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Stk})_{0\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{geometric}}$ .

By Corollary 4.10 we have a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{DCrit} & \\ \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Sch})_{0\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{op}} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \parallel \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \mathrm{Gpd} \\ & \mathrm{Pic}_{K^2} & \end{array}$$

defined as follows:

- For a morphism of schemes  $X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative d-critical structure we assign the virtual canonical bundle  $K_{X/B}^{\mathrm{vir}}$  on  $X^{\mathrm{red}}$ .
- For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

of schemes with  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, a relative d-critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$  and its pullback relative d-critical structure on  $X' \rightarrow B'$  we assign the isomorphism

$$\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}: K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}$$

Using (1.3) with  $\mathcal{V} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Gpd})$  we see that the natural transformation  $K^{\text{vir}}: \text{DCrit} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{K^2}$  extends from morphisms of schemes to geometric morphisms of stacks.

For a commutative diagram of stacks as in (3) together with a point  $x'' \in X''$  with  $x' = \bar{q}(x'')$  and  $x = \bar{p}(x')$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x}) \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B',x'}^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B'',x''}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x}) \otimes K_{X''/X \times_B B'',x''}^{\otimes 2} \\ \downarrow i(\Delta_{x' \rightarrow x})^2 \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow i(\Delta_{x'' \rightarrow x})^2 \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X'/B',x'}) \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B'',x''}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{x'' \rightarrow x'})^2} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X''/B'',x''}) \end{array}$$

commutes, as can be seen by applying [KPS24, (2.4)] to the double fiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Delta_{x'' \rightarrow x'} & & \Delta \\ \Delta_{x' \rightarrow x}: \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x} & \longrightarrow & \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X'/B',x'} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B',x'} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_{x'' \rightarrow x}: \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x} & \longrightarrow & \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X''/B'',x''} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X''/X \times_B B'',x''} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B'',x''} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{L}_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B'',x''}. \end{array}$$

Using this fact and property (3) of the isomorphism  $\Upsilon$ , the construction of the isomorphism  $\kappa_x$  reduces to the construction of  $\kappa_x$  for schemes which is compatible with  $\Upsilon$  for smooth morphisms of schemes by Theorem 3.33(3).

The isomorphism (4.8) is established for morphisms of schemes in Theorem 3.33(4). By construction of the virtual canonical bundle of stacks to show that the isomorphism extends to stacks and  $\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}$  is compatible with products, it is enough to establish this claim for a pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B'_1 & \longrightarrow & B_1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X'_2 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B'_2 & \longrightarrow & B_2 \end{array}$$

of commutative diagrams of schemes as in property (2). It is also enough to establish compatibility for every point  $(x'_1, x'_2) \in X'_1 \times X'_2$ . Using property (4) the compatibility reduces to the fact that the isomorphisms  $i(\Delta_{x' \rightarrow x})^2$  are compatible with direct sums.

The isomorphism  $R_d$  is constructed for morphisms of schemes in Theorem 3.33(5). The compatibility of  $R_d$  with products is obvious. The compatibility with the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}$  when  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  is an isomorphism is also obvious. By construction of  $\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}$  for a general smooth morphism  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  it is thus enough to consider the case  $B' = B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X$  smooth. Moreover, this compatibility can be checked on critical charts, so by Theorem 4.3(1) we may assume that there is a smooth morphism  $(U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of critical charts for  $X' \rightarrow X$  locally near a point in  $X'$ . In this case  $\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}$  is determined by the natural isomorphism  $(U \rightarrow V)^* K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{U/V}^{\otimes 2} \cong K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}$ . The isomorphism  $R_d$  acts by  $(-1)^{\dim(V/B)+d}$  on  $K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}$ . The isomorphism  $R_{d+\dim(X'/X)}$  acts by  $(-1)^{\dim(U/B)+d+\dim(X'/X)}$  on  $K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}$ . Since  $\dim(U/V) = \dim(X'/X)$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

**4.4. Pushforwards of d-critical structures.** In addition to the pullback functoriality of differential forms given by (4.6), we will also consider a “pushforward” functoriality [Par24] given as follows. Consider geometric

morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} S$  of stacks. Using the fiber sequence

$$\pi^* \mathbb{L}_{B/S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/B}$$

we obtain a morphism

$$\mu_{X \rightarrow B \rightarrow S}: \mathcal{S}_{X/B} \longrightarrow \pi^* \mathbb{L}_{B/S}.$$

In particular, if  $X$  is equipped with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ , there is a canonical induced morphism, the **moment map**

$$\mu_s: X \longrightarrow T^*(B/S),$$

which comes with a homotopy

$$(4.10) \quad h_{s,p}: \mu_s^* \lambda_{B/S} \sim d_S \text{und}(s)$$

in  $\mathcal{A}^1(X/S, 0)$ .

**Definition 4.15.** Let  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$  be geometric morphisms of stacks together with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . The **d-critical pushforward**  $p_*(X, s)$  is the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, s) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_s \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B/S). \end{array}$$

The d-critical pushforward  $R = p_*(X, s)$  comes equipped with a canonical section  $p_*s \in \Gamma(R, \mathcal{S}_{R/S})$  obtained as follows. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^1(T^*(B/S)/S, 0) & \xrightarrow{0^*} & \mathcal{A}^1(B/S, 0) \\ \downarrow \mu_s^* & & \downarrow (R \rightarrow B)^* \\ \mathcal{A}^1(X/S, 0) & \xrightarrow{(R \rightarrow X)^*} & \mathcal{A}^1(R/S, 0) \\ \uparrow d_S & & \uparrow d_S \\ \mathcal{A}^0(X, 0) & \xrightarrow{(R \rightarrow X)^*} & \mathcal{A}^0(R, 0) \end{array}$$

Consider the function  $f = \text{und}(s) \in \mathcal{A}^0(X, 0)$ . Using the bottom commutative square we get a homotopy  $d_S(f|_R) \sim (R \rightarrow X)^*(d_S f)$  in  $\mathcal{A}^1(R/S, 0)$ . Using the homotopy  $h_{s,p}: d_S f \sim \mu_s^* \lambda_{B/S}$  given by (4.10) as well as the top commutative square we get a homotopy  $(R \rightarrow X)^*(d_S f) \sim (R \rightarrow B)^* 0^* \lambda_{B/S}$ . But  $0^* \lambda_{B/S} = 0$ , so in total we get a nullhomotopy of  $d_S(f|_R)$ . Thus, we obtain a section  $p_*s \in \Gamma(R, \mathcal{S}_{R/S})$  with the underlying function  $\text{und}(p_*s) = f|_R: R \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ .

D-critical pushforwards are functorial in the following sense: given geometric morphisms of stacks  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{q} T$  together with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  we have an isomorphism

$$q_*(p_*(X, s), p_*s) \cong (q \circ p)_*(X, s)$$

constructed using the Cartesian square (1.7). Moreover, under this isomorphism we have  $q_*(p_*s) = (q \circ p)_*s$ .

**Example 4.16.** Let  $p: U \rightarrow B$  be a smooth morphism of schemes and  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . We have  $\mathcal{S}_{U/U} \cong \mathcal{O}_U$  and hence  $f$  defines a section  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{U/U}$ . Then  $p_*(U, f) = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and  $p_*f = s_f$ .

The following statement generalizes Example 4.16.

**Proposition 4.17.** Let  $U \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$  be smooth morphisms of schemes and  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The closed immersion  $p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_{f, B_1}) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  identifies

$$p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_{f, B}) \cong \text{Crit}_{U/S}(f).$$

Moreover, under this isomorphism  $p_*s_{f, B}$  on  $p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_f)$  goes to  $s_{f, S}$  on  $\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)$ .

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_{f,B}) \cong \text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)$  follows from the existence of the following diagram, where all squares are Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_{s_{f,B}} & & \downarrow \Gamma_{d_S f} \\
U & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} & T^*(B/S) \times_{B_1} U & \longrightarrow & T^*(U/S) \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & U & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_0} & T^*(U/B)
\end{array}$$

Let  $R_B = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and  $R = p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_f)$ . Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}_{R_B/S}|_R & \xrightarrow{i_p} & \mathcal{S}_{R_B/B}|_R \\
\downarrow (R \rightarrow R_B)^* & & \downarrow (R \rightarrow R_B)^* \\
\mathcal{S}_{R/S} & \xrightarrow{i_p} & \mathcal{S}_{R/B}
\end{array}$$

of sheaves on  $R$ . Since  $p: B \rightarrow S$  is smooth, the horizontal morphisms  $i_p$  are injective. Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$i_p(p_* s_{f,B}) = i_p(s_{f,S}).$$

But by definition of the pushforward  $p_* s_{f,B}$  we have

$$i_p(p_* s_{f,B}) = (R \rightarrow R_1)^* s_{f,B}.$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}_{R_B/B}|_R & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R_B,U}} & \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R_B,U}^2|_R \\
\downarrow (R \rightarrow R_B)^* & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{S}_{R/B} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{R,U}} & \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}^2
\end{array}$$

defined in Proposition 3.7(3) with the horizontal morphisms injective. By Proposition 3.10 we get

$$\iota_{R_B,U}(s_{f,B}) = [f] \in \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R_B,U}^2, \quad \iota_{R,U}(i_p(s_{f,S})) = [f] \in \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_{R,U}^2$$

which implies that  $(R \rightarrow R_B)^* s_{f,B} = i_p(s_{f,S})$  and hence, using the above equalities,  $p_* s_{f,B} = s_{f,S}$ .  $\square$

As a corollary, we obtain that d-critical pushforwards preserve relative d-critical structures on schemes.

**Corollary 4.18.** *Consider morphisms of schemes  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is smooth, equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Then  $p_* s$  is a relative d-critical structure on  $p_*(X, s) \rightarrow S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $s$  is a relative d-critical structure, we have a collection  $\{U_a, f_a\}$  of LG pairs over  $B$  together with morphisms  $u_a: \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\{u_a: \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \rightarrow X\}$  is a Zariski cover. Then

$$\{p_*(\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a), s_{f_a,B}) \rightarrow p_*(X, s)\}$$

is also a Zariski cover. But by Proposition 4.17 we have  $p_*(\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a), s_{f_a,B}) \cong \text{Crit}_{U_a/S}(f_a)$  compatibly with relative d-critical structures, so we get a Zariski cover  $\{\text{Crit}_{U_a/S}(f_a) \rightarrow p_*(X, s)\}$  by critical charts.  $\square$

The d-critical pushforward has the following compatibility with pullbacks.

**Proposition 4.19.** *Consider a commutative diagram of stacks*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S
\end{array}$$

with all morphisms geometric, equipped with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B_1})$  and let  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  be the pullback. Assume that  $B' \rightarrow B \times_S S'$  is smooth.

(1) The above diagram can be extended to a commutative diagram

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} p'_*(X', s') & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_*(X, s) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

so that  $p'_*s'$  is equal to the pullback of  $p_*s$  under the leftmost vertical morphism and the leftmost square is Cartesian.

(2) If  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth (respectively, smooth surjective), then so is  $p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow p_*(X, s)$ . Moreover, in this case there is a fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{L}_{B'/B \times_S S'}|_{p'_*(X', s')} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{p'_*(X', s')/p_*(X, s) \times_S S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{p'_*(X', s')}.$$

*Proof.* We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{X/B}|_{X'} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X \rightarrow B \rightarrow S}} & (X \rightarrow B)^* \mathbb{L}_{B/S}|_{X'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_{X'/B} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X' \rightarrow B \rightarrow S}} & (X' \rightarrow B)^* \mathbb{L}_{B/S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{X' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow S'}} & (X' \rightarrow B')^* \mathbb{L}_{B'/S'} \end{array}$$

Therefore, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mu_s} & T^*(B/S) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\mu'_s} & T^*(B/S) \times_B B' \\ & \searrow \mu_{s'} & \downarrow \\ & & T^*(B'/S'), \end{array}$$

where the morphism  $\mu'_s: X' \rightarrow T^*(B/S) \times_B B'$  is given by the composite

$$\mu'_s: X' \rightarrow X \times_B B' \xrightarrow{\mu_s \times \text{id}} T^*(B/S) \times_B B' \cong T^*(B \times_S B'/S') \times_{B \times_S S'} B'.$$

Since  $B' \rightarrow B \times_S S'$  is smooth, in the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(B \times_S S'/S') \times_{B \times_S S'} B' & \longrightarrow & T^*(B'/S') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B'/B \times_S S') \end{array}$$

the bottom morphism is a closed immersion and hence the top morphism is a closed immersion, hence a monomorphism. Thus, the top square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p'_*(X', s') & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu'_s \\ B' & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B/S) \times_B B' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B/S) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian. Since the bottom square is Cartesian as well, this implies that the outer square is Cartesian. But then in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p'_*(X', s') & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p_*(X, s) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_s \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B/S) \end{array}$$

the bottom square is Cartesian by definition and the outer square is Cartesian by what we have just shown. Therefore, the top square is Cartesian, which establishes the first claim.

The morphism  $p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow p_*(X, s)$  factors as the composite

$$(4.12) \quad p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow p_*(X, s) \times_B B' \rightarrow p_*(X, s).$$

The first morphism in (4.12) is a base change of  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  and the second morphism is a base change of  $B' \rightarrow B$ . This implies the second claim.  $\square$

We obtain the following extension of Corollary 4.18 to geometric morphisms.

**Corollary 4.20.** *Consider geometric morphisms of stacks  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is smooth, equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . Then  $p_*s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $p_*(X, s) \rightarrow S$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.19(1) the claim reduces to the case  $S$  a scheme. Then we can find a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ X & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

with  $X' \rightarrow B'$  a morphism of schemes and  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth surjective. By Proposition 4.13(1)  $s'$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . By Corollary 4.18  $p'_*s'$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow S$ . By Proposition 4.19(2) the morphism  $p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow p_*(X, s)$  is smooth and surjective. Therefore, by descent (Proposition 4.13) we get that  $p_*s$  is a relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $p_*(X, s) \rightarrow S$ .  $\square$

Let us now describe virtual canonical bundles of  $d$ -critical pushforwards.

**Proposition 4.21.** *Consider geometric morphisms of stacks  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is smooth, equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$ . There is an isomorphism*

$$\Sigma_p: K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{p_*(X, s)/S}^{\text{vir}}$$

which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) It is functorial for compositions:  $\Sigma_{\text{id}} = \text{id}$  and given another smooth morphism  $q: S \rightarrow T$  with  $R = (q \circ p)_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}$  the diagram

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_R \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{S/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2} & K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_R \otimes K_{B/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R \\ \downarrow \Sigma_p \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \Sigma_{q \circ p} \\ K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}}|_R \otimes K_{S/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_q} & K_{(q \circ p)_*(X,s)/T}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top horizontal morphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\Delta: p^* \mathbb{L}_{S/T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/S}.$$

- (2) Consider a commutative diagram of stacks

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

with all morphisms geometric, equipped with a section  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and let  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  be the pullback. Assume that  $p: B \rightarrow S$ ,  $B' \rightarrow B \times_S S'$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth. Let  $R' = p'_*(X', s')^{\text{red}}$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p \otimes \text{id}} & K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}}|_{R'} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\ \downarrow \Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta_2)^2 \\ K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & & K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}}|_{R'} \otimes K_{p'_*(X',s')/p_*(X,s) \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta_1)^2 & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{p'_*(X',s') \rightarrow p_*(X,s)} \\ K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{p'}} & K_{p'_*(X',s')/S'}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes, where

$$\Delta_1: \mathbb{L}_{B/S}|_{B'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B'/S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B'/B \times_S S'}$$

and

$$\Delta_2: \mathbb{L}_{B'/B \times_S S'}|_{p'_*(X',s')} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{p'_*(X',s')/p_*(X,s) \times_S S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{p'_*(X',s')}.$$

- (3) For a function  $d: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}}|_{p_*(X,s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X,s)^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p} & K_{p_*(X,s)/S, p_* s}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow R_d \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow R_{d+\dim(B/S)} \\ K_{X/B,-s}^{\text{vir}}|_{p_*(X,s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X,s)^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p} & K_{p_*(X,s)/S, -p_* s}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (4)  $\Sigma_p$  is compatible with products.

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 4.14 it is enough to construct these isomorphisms for morphisms of schemes.

Let  $(U, f, u)$  be a critical chart for  $X$ , where  $(U, f)$  is viewed as an LG pair over  $B$ . Let  $\bar{u}: \text{Crit}_{U/S}(f) \cong p_*(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f), s_f) \xrightarrow{u} p_* X$ , where the first isomorphism is provided by Proposition 4.1. Then  $(U, f, \bar{u})$ , where  $(U, f)$  is viewed as an LG pair over  $S$ , is a critical chart for  $p_*(X, s)$ . A critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of critical charts on  $X$  gives rise to a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, \bar{u}) \rightarrow (V, g, \bar{v})$  of critical charts on  $p_*(X, s)$ . By Corollary 4.18 this collection of critical charts covers  $p_*(X, s)$ . By Corollary 3.31 it is thus

sufficient to construct the isomorphism  $\Sigma_p$  restricted to each such critical chart and check an equality of these isomorphisms for every critical morphism of critical charts on  $X$ .

Let  $(U, f, u)$  be a critical chart for  $X$  and  $(U, f, \bar{u})$  the corresponding critical chart for  $p_*(X, s)$ . By definition we have canonical isomorphisms

$$u^* K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\text{red}}}, \quad \bar{u}^* K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}}.$$

We define  $\Sigma_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}}$  to be the isomorphism

$$i(\Delta_U)^2: K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}}$$

associated to the exact sequence

$$\Delta_U: 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/S}^1|_U \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/S}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now consider a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of critical charts on  $X$ . Recall that the normal bundle  $N_{U/V}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  carries a nondegenerate quadratic form  $q_\Phi$ . Let  $R = \text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)^{\text{red}}$ . Consider the diagram

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2} & K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes (\det N_{U/V}^\vee)^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta_1)^2} & K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{V/B}^{\otimes 2}|_R \\ \downarrow i(\Delta_U)^2 & & \downarrow i(\Delta_U)^2 \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow i(\Delta_V)^2 \\ K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{vol}_{q_\Phi}^2} & K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes (\det N_{U/V}^\vee)^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_2)^2} & K_{V/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \end{array}$$

where the individual isomorphisms are induced by the following double short exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \Delta_V & & \Delta_U & & \\ & & \ddot{0} & & \ddot{0} & & \\ & 0 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{B/S}^1|_U & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega_{B/S}^1|_U \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \Delta_2: 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{U/V}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{V/S}^1|_U & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/S}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ \Delta_1: 0 & \longrightarrow & N_{U/V}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{V/B}^1|_U & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The top horizontal morphism in (4.15) gives an isomorphism of the two models of  $K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_R$  in the critical charts  $U$  and  $V$ . The bottom horizontal morphism gives an isomorphism of the two models of  $K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}}$  in the critical charts  $U$  and  $V$ . The outer vertical morphisms are the local models of  $\Sigma_p$ . The square on the left commutes by naturality. The commutativity of the square on the right follows from the corresponding property of determinant lines, see [KPS24, Corollary 2.3].

Functoriality of  $\Sigma$  with respect to compositions, property (1), follows from a commutativity of the diagram of determinant lines as in [KPS24, Lemma 2.4] associated to the double short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{S/T}^1|_U & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega_{S/T}^1|_U & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{B/T}^1|_U & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/T}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{B/S}^1|_U & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
\end{array}$$

Let us now show property (2). We can factor (4.14) as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X' & & & & \\
\downarrow & & & & \\
X \times_B B' & \longrightarrow & B' & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
X \times_S S' & \longrightarrow & B \times_S S' & \longrightarrow & S' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & S,
\end{array}$$

where all squares are Cartesian. Using the functoriality with respect to compositions of  $\Sigma$  (property (1)) and  $\Upsilon$  (Proposition 4.14(3)), property (2) for a general diagram (4.14) follows from the following particular cases:

- (1) Both squares in (4.14) are Cartesian. Let  $(U, f, u)$  be a critical chart on  $X$  and  $(U', f', u')$  its base change along  $B' \rightarrow B$  which defines a critical chart of  $X'$ . Let  $R' = \text{Crit}_{U'/S'}(f')^{\text{red}}$ . Then we have to prove a commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_U)^2} & K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\
\downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\
K_{U'/B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{U'})^2} & K_{U'/S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'}
\end{array}$$

which follows from the naturality of the isomorphisms  $i(\Delta)$ .

- (2) In (4.14) the left square is Cartesian and  $B = S = S'$ . As before, let  $(U, f, u)$  be a critical chart on  $X$  and  $(U', f', u')$  its base change along  $B' \rightarrow B$  which defines a critical chart of  $X'$ . Let  $(U, f, \bar{u})$  be the corresponding critical chart of  $p_*(X, s)$ , where  $(U, f)$  is viewed as an LG pair over  $B$  and  $(U', f', \bar{u}')$  be the corresponding critical chart of  $p'_*(X', s')$ , where  $(U', f')$  is viewed as an LG pair

over  $B$ . Let  $R' = \text{Crit}_{U'/B}(f')^{\text{red}}$ . Then we have to prove a commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\
\downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\
& & K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{U'/U}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\
& & \downarrow i(\Delta'_U)^2 \\
K_{U'/B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{U'})^2} & K_{U'/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'},
\end{array}$$

where

$$\Delta'_U: 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U/B}^1|_{U'} \longrightarrow \Omega_{U'/B}^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{U'/U}^1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

But  $\Delta'_U$  and  $\Delta_{U'}$  are the two short exact sequences associated to the direct sum decomposition  $\Omega_{U'/B}^1 \cong \Omega_{U/B}^1|_{U'} \oplus \Omega_{B'/B}^1|_{U'}$ , so the corresponding isomorphisms  $i(\Delta'_U)$  and  $i(\Delta_{U'})$  agree.

- (3)  $B' = B$  and  $S' = S$ . By Theorem 4.3(1) we may find a smooth morphism  $\tilde{\pi}: U' \rightarrow U$  of smooth  $B$ -schemes, a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  with  $f' = \tilde{\pi}^* f$  and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X' & \xleftarrow{u'} & \text{Crit}_{U'/B}(f') & \longrightarrow & U' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\
X & \xleftarrow{u} & \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & \longrightarrow & U
\end{array}$$

so that  $(U, f, u)$  is a critical chart for  $X$  and  $(U', f', u')$  is a critical chart for  $X'$  and, moreover, we may cover  $X$  and  $X'$  by critical charts of this form. Let  $R' = \text{Crit}_{U'/S}(f')^{\text{red}}$ . Then we have to prove a commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{U'/U}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_U)^2 \otimes \text{id}} & K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{U'/U}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\
\downarrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta_1)^2 & & \downarrow i(\Delta_2)^2 \\
K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{U'/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta_{U'})^2} & K_{U'/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'},
\end{array}$$

where  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are the short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \Delta_U & & \Delta_{U'} & & 0 & \\
& \ddot{0} & & \ddot{0} & & & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{B/S}^1|_{U'} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega_{B'/S}^1|_{U'} & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\Delta_2: 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/S}^1|_{U'} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U'/S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U'/U}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
\Delta_1: 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U/B}^1|_{U'} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U'/B}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{U'/U}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 & 
\end{array}$$

The commutativity of the diagram follows from a commutativity of the diagram of determinant lines as in [KPS24, Lemma 2.4].

Properties (3) and (4) are straightforward. □

**4.5. Orientations for relative d-critical structures.** Using the virtual canonical bundle we define orientations for relative d-critical structures.

**Definition 4.22.** Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be a geometric morphism of stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$ . An *orientation* of  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  consisting of a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  together with an isomorphism  $o: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}$ .

For simplicity of notation we will often denote an orientation simply by  $o$  with the graded line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  being implicit.

**Remark 4.23.** In the above definition we consider graded orientations as in [KPS24]. In [Bra+15] the authors consider an analogous notion where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ungraded line bundle.

There is a natural notion of isomorphisms of orientations: an isomorphism  $(\mathcal{L}_1, o_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_2, o_2)$  is given by an isomorphism  $f: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  of graded line bundles such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{f^{\otimes 2}} & \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes 2} \\ & \searrow o_1 & \swarrow o_2 \\ & K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}} & \end{array}$$

commutes.

We have the following functoriality of orientations:

- (1) Consider a commutative diagram of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  a smooth morphism. Consider a relative d-critical structure  $s$  on  $X \rightarrow B$  and its pullback  $s'$  to  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . For an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  there is an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}}, \bar{p}^*o)$ , of  $(X' \rightarrow B', s')$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p}^*o: (\mathcal{L}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}})^{\otimes 2} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \\ &\xrightarrow{o \otimes \text{id}} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{X'/X \times_B B'}^{\otimes 2}|_{(X')^{\text{red}}} \\ &\xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{X' \rightarrow X}} K_{X'/B'}^{\text{vir}}. \end{aligned}$$

For a composable pair

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{q} & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

of commutative diagram of stacks as above the natural isomorphism  $\bar{q}^* K_{X'/X \times_B B'} \otimes K_{X''/X' \times_{B'} B''} \cong K_{X''/X \times_B B''}$  induces an isomorphism of orientations

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{q}^* \bar{p}^* o \cong (\bar{q} \circ \bar{p})^* o$$

using Proposition 4.14(3).

- (2) Consider geometric morphisms of stacks  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is smooth and  $X \rightarrow B$  is equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$ . Then there is an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}}, p_*o)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} p_*o: (\mathcal{L}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}})^{\otimes 2} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \\ &\xrightarrow{o \otimes \text{id}} K_{X/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, s)^{\text{red}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Sigma_p} K_{p_*(X,s)/S}^{\text{vir}}.$$

Given another smooth morphism  $q: S \rightarrow T$  we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.17) \quad q_* p_* o \cong (q \circ p)_* o$$

using Proposition 4.21(1). For a commutative diagram of stacks

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

with  $B \rightarrow S, B' \rightarrow B \times_S S'$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth and relative d-critical structure  $s$  on  $X \rightarrow B$  and its pullback  $s'$  on  $X' \rightarrow B'$  we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p'_*(X', s') & \longrightarrow & S' \\ \downarrow \bar{q} & & \downarrow \\ p_*(X, s) & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Then using Proposition 4.21(2) we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.19) \quad \bar{q}^* p_* o \cong p'_* q^* o.$$

- (3) For a geometric morphism of stacks  $X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  of parity  $d: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  there is an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, \bar{o})$  of  $(X \rightarrow B, -s)$ , where

$$(4.20) \quad \bar{o}: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{o} K_{X/B,s}^{\text{vir}} \xrightarrow{R_d} K_{X/B,-s}^{\text{vir}}.$$

Since  $R_d$  squares to the identity, the identity morphism of graded line bundles induces an isomorphism of orientations

$$(4.21) \quad \overline{(\bar{o})} \cong o.$$

For a commutative diagram of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, a relative d-critical structure on  $X \rightarrow B$  and an orientation  $o$  and its pullback to  $X' \rightarrow B'$ , using Proposition 4.14(6) we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.22) \quad \overline{\bar{p}^* o} \cong \bar{p}^* \bar{o}.$$

For morphisms  $X \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is smooth and  $X \rightarrow B$  is equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ , using Proposition 4.21(3) we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.23) \quad \overline{p_* o} \cong p_* \bar{o}.$$

- (4) For a pair  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1, X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  of geometric morphisms of stacks equipped with relative d-critical structures  $s_1, s_2$  and orientations  $(\mathcal{L}_1, o_1), (\mathcal{L}_2, o_2)$  there is an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2, o_1 \boxtimes o_2)$  of  $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  equipped with the relative d-critical structure  $s_1 \boxplus s_2$ , where

$$o_1 \boxtimes o_2: (\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L}_1)^{\otimes 2} \boxtimes (\mathcal{L}_2)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{o_1, o_2} K_{X_1/B_1}^{\text{vir}} \boxtimes K_{X_2/B_2}^{\text{vir}} \xrightarrow{(4.8)} K_{X_1 \times X_2 / B_1 \times B_2}^{\text{vir}}.$$

This construction is unital and associative in the obvious sense. It is also commutative in the following sense: for the swapping isomorphism  $\sigma: X_2 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$  using the symmetry of (4.8) we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.24) \quad \sigma^*(o_1 \boxtimes o_2) \cong o_2 \boxtimes o_1,$$

which on the level of underlying graded line bundles is the usual swapping isomorphism  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_1$  of ungraded line bundles multiplied by the Koszul sign  $(-1)^{\deg(\mathcal{L}_1) \deg(\mathcal{L}_2)}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_i} & X_i \\ \downarrow \pi'_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\ B'_i & \xrightarrow{p_i} & B_i \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of stacks with  $X'_i \rightarrow X_i \times_{B_i} B'_i$  smooth, equipped with an oriented relative d-critical structure  $(s_i, o_i)$  on  $X_i \rightarrow B_i$  and its pullback on  $X'_i \rightarrow B'_i$ . Then using the compatibility of the isomorphism (4.8) with  $\Upsilon_{X'_i \rightarrow X_i}$  we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.25) \quad (\bar{p}_1 \times \bar{p}_2)^*(o_1 \boxtimes o_2) \cong (\bar{p}_1^* o_1) \boxtimes (\bar{p}_2^* o_2)$$

given by the obvious isomorphism involving the Koszul sign. For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $X_i \rightarrow B_i \xrightarrow{p_i} S_i$  be morphisms of stacks, where  $p$  is smooth and  $X_i \rightarrow B_i$  is equipped with an oriented relative d-critical structure  $(s_i, o_i)$ . Then using the compatibility of  $\Sigma_{p_i}$  with products we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.26) \quad (p_1 \times p_2)_*(o_1 \boxtimes o_2) \cong (p_{1,*} o_1) \boxtimes (p_{2,*} o_2)$$

again given by the obvious isomorphism involving the Koszul sign.

Given a geometric morphism  $X \rightarrow B$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(X/B)$  the groupoid of oriented relative d-critical structures  $(s, \mathcal{L}, o)$  on  $X \rightarrow B$ . The assignment  $(X \rightarrow B) \mapsto \mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(X/B)$  determines a functor

$$\mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}} : \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Sch})_{0\mathrm{smooth}}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gpd}.$$

Since  $\mathrm{DCrit}(-)$  and the stack of graded line bundles satisfy étale descent, so does  $\mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(-)$ .

We will use canonical orientations of relative critical loci defined as follows:

- (1) For the identity morphism  $Y \rightarrow Y$  of stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure specified by a function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  there is a canonical trivialization  $K_{Y/Y}^{\mathrm{vir}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\mathrm{red}}}$  which corresponds to the identity isomorphism under  $\kappa_y$  for every  $y \in Y$ . We define the **canonical orientation**  $o_{Y/Y}^{\mathrm{can}}$  of  $(Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Y, f)$  to be the even line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{\mathrm{red}}}$  whose square is equipped with the above isomorphism to  $K_{Y/Y}^{\mathrm{vir}}$ .
- (2) More generally, suppose  $p: Y \rightarrow B$  is a smooth geometric morphism of stacks together with a function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The relative critical locus  $\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f) \rightarrow B$  is a d-critical pushforward of  $(Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Y, f)$  along  $p$  and we call the pushforward orientation the **canonical orientation**  $o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}} = p_* o_{Y/Y}^{\mathrm{can}}$  whose underlying graded line bundle is  $K_{Y/B}|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f)^{\mathrm{red}}}$ .

**Example 4.24.** Suppose  $p: U \rightarrow B$  is a smooth morphism of schemes equipped with a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The morphism  $p: U \rightarrow B$  provides a critical chart for  $X = \mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow B$ . In this case the isomorphism  $o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}: K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)^{\mathrm{red}}} \cong K_{X/B}^{\mathrm{vir}}$  coincides with the canonical isomorphism from Theorem 3.33(1).

For a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$  of even relative dimension recall the  $\mu_2$ -torsor  $P_\Phi$  parametrizing orientations of the orthogonal bundle  $(N_{U/V}|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}, q_\Phi)$ . Via the above description it can also be interpreted as a  $\mu_2$ -torsor parametrizing isomorphisms  $o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}} \rightarrow o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  of canonical orientations.

We have the following functoriality of canonical orientations:

- (1) Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{p'} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

of geometric morphisms of stacks, where  $Y \rightarrow B$  and  $Y' \rightarrow Y \times_B B'$  are smooth, together with a function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Let  $f': Y' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  its pullback to  $Y'$ , so that we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Crit}_{Y'/B'}(f') & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & \mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

The natural isomorphism  $p^* K_{Y/B} \otimes K_{Y'/Y \times_B B'} \cong K_{Y'/B'}$  of graded line bundles induces an isomorphism

$$(4.27) \quad \bar{p}^* o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f)}^{\mathrm{can}} \cong o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y'/B'}(f')}^{\mathrm{can}}$$

of orientations, using (4.19) applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y' & \longrightarrow & B' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & \longrightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

- (2) Consider smooth geometric morphisms of stacks  $Y \xrightarrow{p} B_1 \xrightarrow{q} B_2$  together with a function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The natural isomorphism  $K_{Y/B_1} \otimes p^* K_{B_1/B_2} \cong K_{Y/B_2}$  of graded line bundles induces an isomorphism

$$(4.28) \quad q_* o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B_1}(f)/B_1}^{\mathrm{can}} \cong o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B_2}(f)/B_2}^{\mathrm{can}}$$

of orientations, using (4.17) applied to the morphisms  $Y = Y \xrightarrow{p} B_1 \xrightarrow{q} B_2$ .

- (3)  $\delta$ . Consider a smooth morphism of stacks  $Y \rightarrow B$  equipped with a function  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . The identity morphism of graded line bundles induces an isomorphism

$$(4.29) \quad \overline{o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}} \cong o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(-f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}$$

of orientations, using (4.23) applied to  $Y = Y \rightarrow B$ .

- (4) For a pair of smooth morphisms  $Y_1 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $Y_2 \rightarrow B_2$  equipped with functions  $f_1: Y_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $f_2: Y_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the natural isomorphism  $K_{Y_1/B_1} \boxtimes K_{Y_2/B_2} \cong K_{Y_1 \times Y_2/B_1 \times B_2}$  induces an isomorphism

$$(4.30) \quad o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y_1/B_1}(f_1)/B_1}^{\mathrm{can}} \boxtimes o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y_2/B_2}(f_2)/B_2}^{\mathrm{can}} \cong o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{Y_1 \times Y_2/B_1 \times B_2}(f_1 \boxplus f_2)/B_1 \times B_2}^{\mathrm{can}}$$

of orientations, using Proposition 4.21(4).

If  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ , we can compare in each critical chart  $o$  and the canonical orientation. This gives rise to the following data:

- (1) For a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  of  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  with  $\dim(U/B) \pmod{2}$  equal to the parity of  $o$  we have a  $\mu_2$ -torsor  $Q_{U,f,u}^o$  on  $\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  parametrizing isomorphisms  $o|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \rightarrow o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}$ .
- (2) For a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of even relative dimension we have an isomorphism

$$\Lambda_\Phi: Q_{V,g,v}^o|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\Phi \otimes_{\mu_2} Q_{U,f,u}^o$$

of  $\mu_2$ -torsors coming from the composition  $o|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \rightarrow o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\mathrm{can}} \rightarrow o_{\mathrm{Crit}_{V/B}(g)/B}^{\mathrm{can}}|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$ . It is associative for a composite  $(U, f, u) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (V, g, v) \xrightarrow{\Psi} (W, h, w)$  of critical morphisms of even relative dimension via the isomorphism  $\Xi_{\Phi,\Psi}: P_{\Psi \circ \Phi} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\Psi|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi$ .

- (3) Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $X' \rightarrow X \times_{B'} B$  smooth with the pullback relative d-critical structure on  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $U' \rightarrow U \times_{B'} B$  smooth, where  $(U, f, u)$  is a critical chart for  $X \rightarrow B$  and  $(U', f', u')$  is a critical chart for  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . Then the composite  $\bar{p}^* o|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')} \rightarrow \bar{p}^* o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}} \xrightarrow{(4.27)} o_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')/B'}^{\text{can}}$  determines an isomorphism of  $\mu_2$ -torsors

$$(4.31) \quad Q_{U,f,u}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')} \cong Q_{U',f',u'}^{\bar{p}^* o}.$$

- (4) For a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  of  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  and another smooth morphism  $q: B \rightarrow S$  the composite  $q_* o|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)} \rightarrow q_* o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}} \xrightarrow{(4.28)} o_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)/S}^{\text{can}}$  determines an isomorphism of  $\mu_2$ -torsors

$$(4.32) \quad Q_{U,f,u}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \cong Q_{U,f,u}^{q_* o}.$$

- (5) For a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  of  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  the composite  $\bar{o} \rightarrow \overline{o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}}} \xrightarrow{(4.29)} o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(-f)/B}^{\text{can}}$  determines an isomorphism of  $\mu_2$ -torsors

$$(4.33) \quad Q_{U,f,u}^o \cong Q_{U,-f,u}^{\bar{o}}.$$

- (6) For another morphism of schemes  $X' \rightarrow B'$  equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s'$ , an orientation  $o'$  and a critical chart  $(U', f', u')$  the composite  $o \boxtimes o' \rightarrow o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}} \boxtimes o_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')/B'}^{\text{can}} \xrightarrow{(4.30)} o_{\text{Crit}_{U \times U'/B \times B'}(f \boxplus f')/B \times B'}^{\text{can}}$  determines an isomorphism of  $\mu_2$ -torsors

$$(4.34) \quad Q_{U,f,u}^o \boxtimes Q_{U',f',u'}^{o'} \cong Q_{U \times U', f \boxplus f', u \times u'}^{o \boxtimes o'}.$$

## 5. PERVERSE PULLBACKS

The goal of this section is to construct a perverse pullback functor for a morphism of higher Artin stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure. Locally the perverse pullback will be given by the functor of vanishing cycles. To glue it into a global functor, we will construct the stabilization isomorphisms for critical morphisms of critical charts. All schemes are assumed to be separated and of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**5.1. Vanishing cycles for orthogonal bundles.** As the first step to construct stabilization isomorphisms, we will construct them for stabilizations defined by an orthogonal bundle. Given an orthogonal bundle  $(E, q)$  over a scheme  $U$  we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{q_E} & \mathbb{A}^1 \\ \uparrow 0_E & \downarrow \pi_E & \\ & U & \end{array}$$

as in Section 3.1. We will be interested in the following functorialities of orthogonal bundles:

- (1) (*Base change*) Let  $p: V \rightarrow U$  be a morphism of schemes and consider the pullback orthogonal bundle  $F = p^* E$  over  $V$ . Let  $p_E: F \rightarrow E$  be the corresponding projection morphism. Let  $g := f \circ p: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . We have a pullback diagram

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{0_F} & F \\ \downarrow p & \square & \downarrow p_E \\ U & \xrightarrow{0_E} & E \end{array}$$

The isomorphism  $\det(F) \cong p^* \det(E)$  induces an isomorphism

$$(5.2) \quad \text{or}_F \cong p^* \text{or}_E.$$

- (2) (*Isotropic reduction*) Given an isotropic subbundle  $K \subseteq E$ , we can form a “Lagrangian correspondence”  $D: F \dashrightarrow E$ , that is, a correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & D & \\ \text{sm.surj} \swarrow \pi_{D/F} & & \searrow \text{cl.emb} i_{D/E} \\ F & & E \end{array}$$

with  $D := K^\perp$  and  $F := K^\perp/K$  the reduction of  $E$  by  $K$ . Then we have a fiber square

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & D & & \\ \pi_{D/F} \swarrow & & & \searrow i_{D/E} & \\ F \cong F^\vee & \square & & & E \cong E^\vee \\ \pi_{D/F}^\vee \searrow & & & \swarrow i_{D/E}^\vee & \\ & & D^\vee & & \end{array}$$

and a commutative square

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & D & \\ \pi_{D/F} \swarrow & & \searrow i_{D/E} \\ F & & E \\ q_F \searrow & & \swarrow q_E \\ & \mathbb{A}^1 & \end{array}$$

Consider the hyperbolic localization functor

$$\text{Loc}_D := (\pi_{D/F})_* i_{D/E}^! : \mathbf{D}_c^b(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(F).$$

Then we have a natural transformation

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}} : \phi_{f \circ \pi_F + q_F} \text{Loc}_D \longrightarrow \text{Loc}_D \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E}$$

given by the composite

$$\phi_{f \circ \pi_F + q_F} (\pi_{D/F})_* i_{D/E}^! \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\phi} (\pi_{D/F})_* \phi_{f \circ \pi_D + q_F \circ \pi_{D/F}} i_{D/E}^! \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^!} (\pi_{D/F})_* i_{D/E}^! \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E}$$

and natural isomorphisms

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Loc}_D(0_E)_* = (\pi_{D/F})_* i_{D/E}^!(0_E)_* \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^!} (\pi_{D/F})_*(0_D)_* \cong (0_F)_*$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \text{Loc}_D \pi_E^\dagger = (\pi_{D/F})_* i_{D/E}^! \pi_E^\dagger \cong (\pi_{D/F})_* \pi_{D/F}^\dagger \pi_F^\dagger [\text{rk}(F) - \text{rk}(D)] \cong \pi_F^\dagger,$$

where the last isomorphism is given by the composite

$$\text{id} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{unit}} (\pi_{D/F})_* \pi_{D/F}^* \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{pur } \pi_{D/F}} (\pi_{D/F})_* \pi_{D/F}^\dagger [\text{rk}(F) - \text{rk}(D)].$$

**Remark 5.1.** The natural transformation  $\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}$  is not necessarily invertible. But Lemma 5.3 below shows that it becomes invertible after composing with  $\pi_E^\dagger$ .

We are ready to define the *stabilization isomorphisms* for quadratic bundles.

**Theorem 5.2.** For a scheme  $U$ , a function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and an orthogonal bundle  $E$  of even rank on  $U$  there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\text{stab}_E: (0_E)_* \phi_f(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger((-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E)$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(U) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(E)$  uniquely determined by the following properties:

(1) (Smooth base change) For a smooth morphism of schemes  $p: V \rightarrow U$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_E^\dagger(0_E)_* \phi_f(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_E} & p_E^\dagger \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger((-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^!, \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! \otimes (5.2) \\ (0_F)_* \phi_g p^\dagger(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_F} & \phi_{g \circ \pi_F + q_F} \pi_F^\dagger(p^\dagger(-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_F) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $g := f \circ p$ ,  $F := p^*E$ , and  $p_E := p|_F$ .

(2) (Isotropic reduction) Given an isotropic subbundle  $K \subseteq E$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0_F)_* \phi_f(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_F} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_F + q_F} \pi_F^\dagger((-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_F) \xrightarrow{(5.7) \otimes (3.3)} \phi_{f \circ \pi_F + q_F} \text{Loc}_D \pi_E^\dagger((-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \\ \sim \downarrow (5.6) & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}} \\ \text{Loc}_D(0_E)_* \phi_f(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_E} & \text{Loc}_D \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger((-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $D := K^\perp$  and  $F := K^\perp/K$ .

From now on  $E$  will be an orthogonal bundle of even rank over a scheme  $U$ . The rest of the section is devoted to the construction of the stabilization isomorphisms and a proof of their properties. One plausible way of constructing stabilization isomorphisms is to consider the local isomorphisms given by the Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism for trivial orthogonal bundles and glue them. However, we then need to show that these local isomorphisms are compatible with the transition maps along changing the orthogonal coordinates which is quite non-trivial—we need a relative version of [Bra+15, Proposition 3.4]. Instead, we will use special orthogonal Grassmannians which are smooth with *connected* fibers so that a smooth-local construction without considering the transition maps will be sufficient (as the pullback on perverse sheaves is then fully faithful). In the special orthogonal Grassmannians we have Lagrangian subbundles so that we can define the stabilization isomorphisms via reduction by the Lagrangian subbundle.

5.1.1. *Case 1: stabilization isomorphism for metabolic bundles.* Assume that there exists a Lagrangian subbundle  $M \subseteq E$ . Then the reduction of  $E$  by  $M$  is just  $U$ . We define the stabilization natural transformation

$$(5.8) \quad \text{stab}_E^M: 0_{E,*} \phi_f \longrightarrow \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(U) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(E)$  as follows. For any  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(U)$  the sheaf  $\phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E}(\pi_E^\dagger \mathcal{F})$  is supported on the zero section of  $E$  by Proposition 2.21(1). Using (5.6) we see that the functor  $\text{Loc}_M: \mathbf{D}_c^b(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(U)$  defines a left inverse to  $(0_E)_*: \mathbf{D}_c^b(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_c^b(E)$ . Therefore,  $\text{stab}_E^M$  is uniquely determined by the commutative square

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Loc}_M 0_{E,*} \phi_f & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_E^M} & \text{Loc}_M \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger \\ \uparrow (5.6) \sim & & \uparrow \text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}} \\ \phi_f & \xrightarrow{(5.7) \sim} & \phi_f \text{Loc}_M \pi_E^\dagger \end{array}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** Given a Lagrangian subbundle  $M$  of an orthogonal bundle  $E$  over a scheme  $U$ , the natural transformation

$$\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}: \phi_f \text{Loc}_M \pi_E^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Loc}_M \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since the statement is local on  $U$ , we may assume that  $U$  admits a closed immersion  $i: U \rightarrow U'$  into a smooth scheme  $U'$  and there is a vector bundle  $M'$  on  $U'$  and  $E = i^*E'$ , where  $E' = M' \oplus (M')^\vee$  equipped with the hyperbolic quadratic form. Let  $M = i^*M'$ . Since  $i_*$  is fully faithful, it is enough to show that  $i_*\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}$  is an isomorphism. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_*\phi_f \text{Loc}_M \pi_E^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & i_*\text{Loc}_M \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E} \pi_E^\dagger \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, \text{Ex}_*^! \\ \phi_{f'} \text{Loc}_{M'} \pi_{E'}^\dagger i_* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_{M'} \phi_{f' \circ \pi_{E'} + \mathbf{q}_{E'}} \pi_{E'}^\dagger i_* \end{array}$$

of exchange natural transformations commutes: it follows from the compatibility of  $\text{Ex}_*^!$  and  $\text{Ex}_*^\phi$  with compositions as well as the commutative diagram from Proposition 2.21(3). As the vertical morphisms are isomorphisms, it is enough to show that the bottom morphism is an isomorphism. Thus, we are reduced to showing the claim for the smooth scheme  $U'$ .

Consider the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $E'$  given by the weight 1 action on  $M'$  and the weight  $(-1)$ -action on  $(M')^\vee$ . Then  $M'$  is the attractor scheme, so the attractor correspondence for this action is  $U' \leftarrow M' \rightarrow E'$ . The function  $\mathbf{q}_{E'}: E' \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -invariant, so the claim follows from the fact that vanishing cycles commute with hyperbolic restriction, [Nak17, Proposition 5.4.1].  $\square$

In particular,  $\text{stab}_E^M$  is a natural isomorphism.

**Remark 5.4.** When  $f = 0$ , the statement of Lemma 5.3 also follows from the dimensional reduction isomorphism as in [Dav17, Appendix A].

5.1.2. *Case 2: stabilization isomorphism for oriented bundles.* Assume that  $E$  has an orientation  $o \in \Gamma(U, \text{or}_E)$ . Consider the **special orthogonal Grassmannian**

$$\text{OG}^+(E): (T \rightarrow U) \mapsto \{\text{positive Lagrangian subbundles on } E|_T\}.$$

Let  $M_E \subseteq E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}$  be the universal Lagrangian subbundle. Consider the projection

$$p: \text{OG}^+(E) \rightarrow U.$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *The morphism  $p: \text{OG}^+(E) \rightarrow U$  is smooth with connected fibers.*

*Proof.* The question is étale local on  $U$ , so we may assume that  $E$  is trivial, i.e.  $E = U \times V$  for a non-degenerate quadratic space  $(V, q)$  which admits a Lagrangian. In this case  $\text{OG}^+(E) \cong U \times \text{OG}^+(V)$ . Moreover,  $\text{OG}^+(V)$  is a homogeneous space for the special orthogonal group  $\text{SO}(q)$ . But  $\text{SO}(q)$  is smooth and connected, which implies that  $\text{OG}^+(V)$  is smooth and connected.  $\square$

Therefore, the pullback

$$p^\dagger: \text{Perv}(U) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(\text{OG}^+(E))$$

is fully faithful by Proposition 2.9(6b), i.e., for any  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}(U)$ , the pullback

$$(5.10) \quad p^\dagger: \text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}(U)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}(\text{OG}^+(E))}(p^\dagger \mathcal{F}, p^\dagger \mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. We define the stabilization natural isomorphism

$$(5.11) \quad \text{stab}_E^o: 0_{E,*} \phi_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E} \pi_E^\dagger$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(U) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(E)$  as the unique natural transformation that fits into the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_E^\dagger 0_{E,*} \phi_f & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{stab}_E^o} & p_E^\dagger \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E} \pi_E^\dagger \\ \downarrow \sim \text{Ex}_*^!, \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \sim \text{Ex}_*^! \\ 0_{E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)},*} \phi_{f|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}} p^\dagger & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{stab}_{E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}}^{M_E}} & \phi_{f|_{\text{OG}^+(E)} \pi_{E|_V} + \mathbf{q}_{E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}}} \pi_{E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}}^\dagger p^\dagger, \end{array}$$

with respect to the isomorphism (5.10), where  $\text{stab}_{E|_{\text{OG}^+(E)}}^{M_E}$  is the stabilization morphism defined in (5.8).

5.1.3. *Case 3: stabilization isomorphism in general.* Assume now  $E$  is arbitrary. Consider the orientation bundle  $\text{or}_E$  geometrically: we have an étale surjection  $p: \text{or}_E \rightarrow U$  and an automorphism  $\sigma: \text{or}_E \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{or}_E$  over  $U$  exchanging the two sheets such that  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{or}_E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{or}_E \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow p \\ & U & \end{array}$$

By descent, for any  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}(U)$ , the pullback establishes an isomorphism

$$(5.12) \quad p^\dagger: \text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}(U)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{Perv}(\text{or}_E)}(p^\dagger \mathcal{F}, p^\dagger \mathcal{G})^\sigma,$$

where  $(-)^\sigma$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -invariant subspace. Hence to construct the stabilization isomorphism in general, it suffices to know how the stabilization isomorphism from (5.11) changes under the change of the orientation.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $E$  be an orthogonal bundle over  $U$  equipped with an orientation  $o \in \Gamma(U, \text{or}_E)$ . Then*

$$\text{stab}_E^{-o} = -\text{stab}_E^o: 0_{E,*} \phi_f \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger.$$

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be the standard one-dimensional space  $\mathbb{C}$  equipped with the quadratic form  $x^2$ . The statement is étale local, so we may assume that  $E = U \times V^{2n}$  for some  $n$ . By definition of  $\text{stab}_E^o$  it is enough to show that  $\text{stab}_E^{M_1} = -\text{stab}_E^{M_2}$  for any two Lagrangian subbundles  $M_1, M_2 \subset E$  which induce different orientations of  $E$ . In turn, by naturality of the stabilization isomorphism it is equivalent to showing that for some  $A \in \text{O}(2n)$  with  $\det(A) = -1$  the induced action on  $\phi_{f \boxplus q}(-\boxtimes \omega_{V^{2n}})$  is by  $(-1)$ . Using the Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism TS this boils down to showing that  $x \mapsto -x$  acts by  $(-1)$  on  $\phi_{x^2} \omega_V$ .

The morphism  $q = x^2: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is finite, so we get an isomorphism  $\text{Ex}_*^\phi: q_* \phi_{x^2} \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \phi_x q_* \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ . The sheaf  $q_* \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(\mathbb{A}^1)$  fits into a fiber sequence

$$j_! \mathcal{K}[2] \longrightarrow q_* \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1},$$

where  $j: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is the inclusion of the complement of the origin and  $\mathcal{K}$  is lisse of rank 1. Therefore,  $(\phi_t q_* \omega_{\mathbb{A}^1})_{\{0\}} \cong \mathcal{K}[1][2]$ . By proper base change, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}|_1 \longrightarrow H_0^{\text{BM}}(q^{-1}(1)) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the second morphism is the trace map. Since  $t \mapsto -t$  acts by permuting the fibers of  $q^{-1}(1)$ , it acts by  $-1$  on  $\mathcal{K}|_1$ , which proves the claim.  $\square$

The pullback  $E|_{\text{or}_E}$  admits a tautological orientation  $o_E$ . Since we have  $\sigma^*(o_E) = -o_E$ , the map

$$\text{stab}_{E|_{\text{or}_E}}^{o_E}(-) \otimes o_E: 0_{E|_{\text{or}_E},*} \phi_p^* f(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_p^* f \circ \pi_{E|_{\text{or}_E}} + q_{E|_{\text{or}_E}} \pi_{E|_{\text{or}_E}}^\dagger (- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_{E|_{\text{or}_E}})$$

is  $\sigma$ -invariant and hence descends to an isomorphism

$$(5.13) \quad \text{stab}_E: 0_{E,*} \phi_f(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger (- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E).$$

5.1.4. *Proof of Theorem 5.2.* The stabilization isomorphism is given by (5.13). The uniqueness is clear:

- Using the base change property for the orientation torsor  $\text{or}_E \rightarrow U$  the stabilization isomorphism for a general even rank orthogonal bundle is uniquely determined by the stabilization isomorphism for an oriented even rank orthogonal bundle.
- Using the base change property for the special orthogonal Grassmannian  $\text{OG}^+(E) \rightarrow U$  the stabilization isomorphism for an oriented even rank orthogonal bundle is uniquely determined by the stabilization isomorphism for a metabolic bundle.
- The isotropic reduction property uniquely determines the stabilization isomorphism for a metabolic bundle using the diagram (5.9).

Let us now prove the relevant properties:

- (1) (Smooth base change) The claim is local, so we may assume that  $E$  admits a Lagrangian  $M_E \subset E$ . Let  $F = p^*E$ ,  $M_F = p^*M_E$ ,  $p_E = p|_F$  and  $p_M = p|_{M_F}$ . Consider the diagram

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} V & \xleftarrow{\pi_{M_F}} & M_F & \xrightarrow{i_{M_F/F}} & F \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p_E \\ U & \xleftarrow{\pi_{M_E}} & M_E & \xrightarrow{i_{M_E/E}} & E \end{array}$$

where both squares are Cartesian. This gives rise to a base change isomorphism

$$(5.15) \quad p^\dagger \text{Loc}_{M_E} \cong \text{Loc}_{M_F} p_E^\dagger$$

determined by  $\text{Ex}_*^!$ . We have to show that the outer rectangle in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} p^\dagger \text{Loc}_{M_E} 0_{E,*} \phi_f & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & p^\dagger \phi_f & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & p^\dagger \phi_f \text{Loc}_{M_E} \pi_E^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & p^\dagger \text{Loc}_{M_E} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger \\ \downarrow (5.15), \text{Ex}_\phi^!, \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! & & \downarrow (5.15), \text{Ex}_\phi^! & & \downarrow (5.15), \text{Ex}_\phi^! \\ \text{Loc}_{M_F} 0_{F,*} \phi_g p^\dagger & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & \phi_g p^\dagger & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & \phi_g \text{Loc}_{M_F} \pi_F^\dagger p^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_{M_F} \phi_{g \circ \pi_F + q_F} \pi_F^\dagger p^\dagger \end{array}$$

commutes. This follows from the commutativity of the individual squares:

- The commutativity of the leftmost square follows by applying the 6-functor formalism **D** to the 3-cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V & & \\ & \swarrow p & & \searrow 0_{M_F} & \\ & U & & M_F & \\ & \swarrow 0_{M_E} & & \swarrow \pi_{M_F} & \\ & U & & V & \\ & \swarrow 0_E & & \swarrow p & \\ & E & & U & \\ & \swarrow i_{M_E/E} & & \swarrow \pi_{M_E} & \\ & M_E & & M_E & \end{array}$$

in the  $\infty$ -category of correspondences.

- The commutativity of the middle square follows from the fact that the exchange natural transformation  $\text{Ex}_*^!$  intertwines the unit of the adjunction  $\pi_{M_E}^* \dashv (\pi_{M_E})_*$  and the unit of the adjunction  $\pi_{M_F}^* \dashv (\pi_{M_F})_*$ .
  - The commutativity of the rightmost square follows from Proposition 2.21(2)-(3).
- (2) (Isotropic reduction) The claim is local, so we may find a Lagrangian subbundle  $M \subset F$  together with a splitting  $F = M \oplus M^\vee$  and  $E = F \oplus K \oplus K^\vee$ . We have an isomorphism

$$(5.16) \quad \text{Loc}_{M \oplus K} \cong \text{Loc}_M \text{Loc}_D$$

induced by  $\text{Ex}_*^!$ . Then the claim reduces to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \phi_f \text{Loc}_{M \oplus K} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_{M \oplus K} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} & & \\ \downarrow (5.16) & & \downarrow (5.16) & & \\ \phi_f \text{Loc}_M \text{Loc}_D & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_M \phi_{f \circ \pi_F + q_F} \text{Loc}_D & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_M \text{Loc}_D \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \end{array}$$

which follows from Proposition 2.21(3).

### 5.1.5. Properties of the stabilization isomorphisms.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $U$  be a scheme,  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  a function and  $E, F$  orthogonal bundles of even rank over  $U$ . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0_{E \oplus F, *} \phi_f(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{E \oplus F}} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_{E \oplus F} + q_{E \oplus F}} \pi_{E \oplus F}^\dagger(- \otimes \text{or}_{E \oplus F}) \\
\downarrow \sim & & \downarrow (3.1) \\
0_{F|E, *} 0_{E, *} \phi_f(-) & & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E \circ \pi_{F|E} + q_E \circ \pi_{F|E} + q_{F|E}} \pi_{F|E}^\dagger(\pi_E^\dagger(- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_{F|E}) \\
& \searrow \text{stab}_E & \nearrow \text{stab}_{F|E} \\
& 0_{F|E, *} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) &
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* The claim is local, so we may assume that  $F$  admits a Lagrangian subbundle  $M \subset F$ . Let  $K = 0 \oplus M \subset E \oplus F$  be the corresponding isotropic subbundle. Then  $K^\perp = E \oplus M$  and hence  $K^\perp/K = E$ . Writing  $\text{stab}_{F|E}$  locally as  $\text{stab}_{F|E}^M$  the claim reduces to the isotropic reduction property of the stabilization isomorphism from Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

Next we prove a finite base change property.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $U$  be a scheme,  $E$  an orthogonal bundle of even rank over  $U$ ,  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  a function and  $p: V \rightarrow U$  a finite morphism. Let  $g := f \circ p$ ,  $F := p^*E$ , and  $p_E := p|_E$ . The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(0_E)_* \phi_f p_*(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_E} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(p_*(-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \\
\downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, \text{Ex}_*^! \otimes (5.2) \\
(p_E)_* (0_F)_* \phi_g(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_F} & (p_E)_* \phi_{g \circ \pi_F + q_F} \pi_F^\dagger(- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_F)
\end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* The claim is local, so as in the proof of the smooth base change property we may assume that  $E$  admits a Lagrangian  $M_E \subset E$ . Let  $F = p^*E$  and  $M_F = p^*M_E$ . Diagram (5.14) induces a base change isomorphism

$$(5.17) \quad \text{Loc}_{M_E}(p_E)_* \cong p_* \text{Loc}_{M_F}$$

determined by  $\text{Ex}_*^!$ . We have to show that the outer rectangle in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{Loc}_{M_E} 0_{E, *} \phi_f p_* & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & \phi_f p_* & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & \phi_f \text{Loc}_{M_E} \pi_E^\dagger p_* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_{M_E} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger p_* \\
\downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, (5.17) & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^!, (5.17), \text{Ex}_*^\phi & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^!, \text{Ex}_*^\phi, (5.17) \\
p_* \text{Loc}_{M_F} 0_{F, *} \phi_g & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & p_* \phi_g & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & p_* \phi_g \text{Loc}_{M_F} \pi_F^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & p_* \text{Loc}_{M_F} \phi_{g \circ \pi_F + q_F} \pi_F^\dagger
\end{array}$$

commutes. This follows from the commutativity of the individual squares:

- The commutativity of the leftmost square follows by applying sheaf theory **D** to the 3-cell

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & V & & & & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & 0_{M_F} & & \\
& V & & M_F & & & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & 0_{M_F} & & \\
& V & & M_F & & & \\
& \swarrow & & \searrow & 0_F & & \\
V & & F & & M_E & & U \\
& & \nwarrow i_{M_F/F} & & \nearrow p_M & & \\
& & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

in the  $\infty$ -category of correspondences.

- The commutativity of the middle square follows from the fact that the exchange natural transformation  $\mathrm{Ex}_*^!$  intertwines the unit of the adjunction  $\pi_{M_E}^* \dashv (\pi_{M_E})_*$  and the unit of the adjunction  $\pi_{M_F}^* \dashv (\pi_{M_F})_*$ .
- The commutativity of the rightmost square follows from Proposition 2.21(2)-(3).

□

Next we prove a compatibility with Verdier duality.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let  $U$  be a scheme,  $E$  an orthogonal bundle of even rank over  $U$  and  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  a function. The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0_E)_* \phi_f \mathbb{D}(-) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{stab}_E} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(\mathbb{D}(-) \otimes_{\mu_2} \mathrm{or}_E) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}, \mathrm{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}}, \mathrm{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \otimes (3.2) \\ \mathbb{D}(0_E)_* \phi_{-f}(-) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{stab}_{\overline{E}}} & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-f \circ \pi_E - q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(- \otimes_{\mu_2} \mathrm{or}_{\overline{E}}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* The claim is local, so we may assume  $E = M \oplus M^\vee$  for a pair of Lagrangian subbundles  $M, M^\vee \subset E$ . The orientations of  $E$  induced by  $M$  and  $M^\vee$  differ by  $(-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(E)/2}$ . Similarly, the morphism (3.2) acts on volume forms by  $(-1)^{\mathrm{rk}(E)/2}$ . Thus, we have to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0_E)_* \phi_f \mathbb{D}(-) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{stab}_E^M} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger \mathbb{D}(-) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}}, \mathrm{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}}, \mathrm{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \\ \mathbb{D}(0_E)_* \phi_{-f}(-) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{stab}_{\overline{E}}^{M^\vee}} & \mathbb{D} \phi_{-f \circ \pi_E - q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(-) \end{array}$$

commutes. For this, it is sufficient to show that it commutes after applying  $\mathrm{Loc}_M$ , as in the definition of  $\mathrm{stab}_E^M$ .

Consider the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{0_M} & M \\ \downarrow 0_{M^\vee} & & \downarrow i_M \\ M^\vee & \xrightarrow{i_{M^\vee}} & E. \end{array}$$

Then we have a natural transformation  $H: \pi_{M^\vee, *} i_{M^\vee}^! \rightarrow \pi_{M, !} i_M^*$  defined as the mate of the composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{id} \cong \pi_{M, !} 0_{M, !} 0_{M^\vee}^* \pi_{M^\vee}^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_!^*} \pi_{M, !} i_M^* i_{M^\vee, !} \pi_{M^\vee}^*.$$

By [Bra03] (considering the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $E$  with  $M$  of weight 1 and  $M^\vee$  of weight  $-1$ )  $H$  is an isomorphism on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant complexes; in particular, it is an isomorphism on the subcategory of constructible complexes on  $E$  supported on  $U$ .

Using the definition of the stabilization isomorphism and commuting  $\mathrm{Loc}_M$  past  $\mathbb{D}$  the claim reduces to the following ones:

- (1) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{id} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_{M, !} 0_{M, !} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_!^*} & \pi_{M, !} i_M^* 0_{E, !} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \mathrm{fgsp}_{0_E} \\ \pi_{M^\vee, *} 0_{M^\vee, *} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ex}_*^!} & \pi_{M^\vee, *} i_{M^\vee}^! 0_{E, *} & \xrightarrow{H} & \pi_{M, !} i_M^* 0_{E, *} \end{array}$$

commutes. Plugging in the definitions of  $\mathrm{Ex}_*^!$ ,  $H$  and  $\mathrm{fgsp}_{0_E}$  as mates of the respective natural transformations, the claim follows from the compatibility of  $\mathrm{Ex}_!^*$  with compositions.

(2) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_{M,!} i_M^* \pi_E^* [\text{rk}(E)] & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_{M,!} \pi_M^* [2\text{rk}(M)] & \xrightarrow{\text{pur}_{\pi_M}} & \pi_{M,!} \pi_M^! & \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} & \text{id} \\
\downarrow \text{pur}_{\pi_E} & & & & & & \downarrow \text{unit} \\
\pi_{M,!} i_M^* \pi_E^! [-\text{rk}(E)] & \xleftarrow{H} & \pi_{M^\vee,*} i_{M^\vee}^! \pi_E^! [-\text{rk}(E)] & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \pi_{M^\vee,*} \pi_{M^\vee}^! [-2\text{rk}(M)] & \xleftarrow{\text{pur}_{\pi_{M^\vee}}} & \pi_{M^\vee,*} \pi_{M^\vee}^*
\end{array}$$

commutes. Unpacking the definition of  $H$  as a mate, the commutativity of this diagram reduces to the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{id} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi_{M,!} 0_{M,!} 0_{M^\vee}^* \pi_{M^\vee}^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_!^*} & \pi_{M,!} i_M^* i_{M^\vee}^! \pi_{M^\vee}^* & \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} & \pi_{M,!} i_M^* \pi_E^! \pi_{E,!} i_{M^\vee}^! \pi_{M^\vee}^* \\
\parallel & & & & & & \uparrow \text{pur}_{\pi_E} \\
\text{id} & \xleftarrow{\text{counit}, \text{counit}} & \pi_{M,!} \pi_M^! \pi_{M^\vee}^! \pi_{M^\vee}^* & \xleftarrow{\text{pur}_{\pi_M}, \text{pur}_{\pi_{M^\vee}}} & \pi_{M,!} \pi_M^* \pi_{M^\vee}^! \pi_{M^\vee}^* [2\text{rk}(E)] & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \pi_{M,!} i_M^* \pi_E^* \pi_{E,!} i_{M^\vee}^! \pi_{M^\vee}^* [2\text{rk}(E)]
\end{array}$$

which follows from the compatibility of the purity isomorphism with respect to compositions.

(3) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_f \pi_{M^\vee,*} i_{M^\vee}^! & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_!^\phi} & \pi_{M^\vee,*} \phi_{f \circ \pi_{M^\vee}} i_{M^\vee}^! & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^!} & \pi_{M^\vee,*} i_{M^\vee}^! \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \\
\downarrow H & & & & \downarrow H \\
\phi_f \pi_{M,!} i_M^* & \xleftarrow{\text{Ex}_!^\phi} & \pi_{M,!} \phi_{f \circ \pi_M} i_M^* & \xleftarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^*} & \pi_{M,!} i_M^* \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E}
\end{array}$$

commutes. Plugging in the definitions of  $H$ ,  $\text{Ex}_!^\phi$  and  $\text{Ex}_\phi^*$  as mates, the commutativity of this diagram follows from Proposition 2.21(3).  $\square$

Finally, we prove a compatibility with products.

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $U, V$  be schemes,  $E$  an orthogonal bundle of even rank over  $U$  and  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  two functions. Let  $E' = E \times V$  be the pullback orthogonal bundle over  $U \times V$ . Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(0_E)_* \phi_f(-) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_E \boxtimes \text{id}} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(- \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_E) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) \\
\downarrow \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} \otimes (5.2) \\
(0_{E'})_* \phi_{f \boxtimes g}(- \boxtimes -) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{E'}} & \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E \boxtimes g} \pi_{E'}^\dagger((- \boxtimes -) \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_{E'})
\end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* The claim is local, so we may assume that there is a Lagrangian subbundle  $M \subset E$ . Let  $M' \subset E'$  be its pullback to  $U \times V$ . Then we have to show that the outside rectangle in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{Loc}_M(0_E)_* \phi_f(-) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & \phi_f(-) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & \phi_f \text{Loc}_M \pi_E^\dagger(-) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_M \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E} \pi_E^\dagger(-) \boxtimes \phi_g(-) \\
\downarrow \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} \\
\text{Loc}_{M'}(0_{E'})_* \phi_{f \boxtimes g}(- \boxtimes -) & \xleftarrow{(5.6)} & \phi_{f \boxtimes g}(- \boxtimes -) & \xrightarrow{(5.7)} & \phi_{f \boxtimes g} \text{Loc}_{M'} \pi_{E'}^\dagger(- \boxtimes -) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\phi^{\text{Loc}}} & \text{Loc}_{M'} \phi_{f \circ \pi_E + q_E \boxtimes g} \pi_{E'}^\dagger(- \boxtimes -)
\end{array}$$

commutes. But in the above diagram individual squares commute: this follows from the compatibility of the isomorphisms  $\text{Ex}_!^*$ , the unit  $\text{id} \rightarrow \pi_{M,*} \pi_M^*$ ,  $\text{Ex}_\phi^*$  and  $\text{Ex}_\phi^!$  with products.  $\square$

**5.2. Symmetries of vanishing cycles.** In this section we construct and analyze stabilization isomorphisms for étale morphisms of critical charts.

Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a smooth morphism of LG pairs over  $B$ , so that by Proposition 4.1  $\Phi$  restricts to a smooth morphism  $\Phi: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  of the same relative dimension  $\dim(U/V)$ . There is a natural comparison isomorphism

$$\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_\Phi^\dagger} \Phi^\dagger \phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger \xrightarrow{\text{pur}_\Phi} \Phi^* \phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger [\dim(U/V)]$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(U)$ . By Proposition 2.21(1) the image of these functors is supported on the relative critical locus  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and thus we get a natural isomorphism

$$(5.18) \quad \text{Ex}_\Phi: (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} [\dim(U/V)].$$

**Lemma 5.11.** *Let  $(U, f) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (V, g) \xrightarrow{\Psi} (W, h)$  be a composite of smooth morphisms of LG pairs over  $B$ . Then*

$$\text{Ex}_{\Psi \circ \Phi} = \text{Ex}_\Psi|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \circ \text{Ex}_\Phi.$$

*Proof.* The claim follows from the functoriality of  $\text{Ex}_\Phi^\dagger$  with respect to compositions, Proposition 2.21(2), as well as the functoriality of the purity isomorphism, Proposition 2.14.  $\square$

**Example 5.12.** Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$  and  $(V, q)$  a vector space equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form. Let  $M$  be an orthogonal automorphism of  $(V, q)$  and consider an automorphism  $\text{id} \times M$  of the LG pair  $(U \times V, f \boxplus q)$  over  $B$ . Then

$$\text{Ex}_{\text{id} \times M} = \det(M) \text{Ex}_{\text{id}},$$

where  $\det(M) = \pm 1$  since  $M$  is orthogonal. Indeed, using the naturality of the stabilization isomorphism from Theorem 5.2 it reduces to the fact that  $M: V \rightarrow V$  acts by  $\det(M)$  on the orientation  $\mu_2$ -torsor.

The following statement, which is a family version of [Bra+15, Theorem 3.1], explains that  $\text{Ex}_\Phi$  depends, up to an explicit sign, only on the étale morphism

$$\Phi|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \longrightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g).$$

**Theorem 5.13.** *Let  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be étale morphisms of LG pairs over  $B$ . Let  $X = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and  $Y = \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$  and assume that*

$$\Phi_0|_X = \Phi_1|_X: X \longrightarrow Y.$$

(1) *Consider the induced isomorphisms*

$$d\Phi_0|_X, d\Phi_1|_X: T_{U/B}|_{X^{\text{red}}} \longrightarrow T_{V/B}|_{Y^{\text{red}}}.$$

*Then  $\det(d\Phi_1|_{X^{\text{red}}}^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_{X^{\text{red}}}): X^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is a locally constant map with values  $\pm 1$ .*

(2) *We have*

$$\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0} = \det(d\Phi_1|_{X^{\text{red}}}^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_{X^{\text{red}}}) \cdot \text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 5.13(1).* The function  $\Delta = \det(d\Phi_1|_{X^{\text{red}}}^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_{X^{\text{red}}}): X^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is a function on a reduced scheme. Therefore, the equation  $\Delta^2 = 1$  can be checked pointwise on  $X^{\text{red}}$ . For a  $k$ -point  $b \in B$  let

$$U_b = U \times_B \text{Spec } k, \quad V_b = V \times_B \text{Spec } k$$

be the corresponding smooth schemes over  $k$  and  $X_b = \text{Crit}_{U_b}(f)$ . Then it is sufficient to show that  $\Delta^2|_{X_b} = 1$ . But this is the content of [Bra+15, Theorem 3.1 (a)].  $\square$

Next we will prove Theorem 5.13(2) under an additional assumption.

**Proposition 5.14.** *Consider the setting of Theorem 5.13 and suppose that for a point  $u \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  we have*

$$(d\Phi_1|_u^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_u - \text{id})^2 = 0: T_{U/B, u} \rightarrow T_{U/B, u}.$$

*For each perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(B)$  there is an open neighborhood  $X^\circ \subset \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  of  $u$  such that  $\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0}(\mathcal{F})|_{X^\circ} = \text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{F})|_{X^\circ}$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Proposition 3.35, we obtain an LG pair  $(W, h)$  over  $B \times \mathbb{A}^1$  together with étale morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (W, h) & \\ \Psi_U \swarrow & & \searrow \Psi_V \\ (U \times \mathbb{A}^1, f \boxplus 0) & & (V \times \mathbb{A}^1, g \boxplus 0) \end{array}$$

and a map  $w: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3.35. Consider the following data:

- $\mathcal{P} = (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(\mathcal{F})|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \in \text{Perv}(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f))$ .
- $\mathcal{Q} = (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(\mathcal{F})|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \in \text{Perv}(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f))$ .
- An isomorphism  $\alpha: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  in  $\text{Perv}(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f))$  given by  $\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0}$ .
- An isomorphism  $\beta: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  in  $\text{Perv}(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f))$  given by  $\text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}$ .
- An isomorphism  $\gamma: (\mathcal{P} \boxtimes R_{\mathbb{A}^1}[1])|_{\text{Crit}_{W/B \times \mathbb{A}^1}(h)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{Q} \boxtimes R_{\mathbb{A}^1}[1])|_{\text{Crit}_{W/B \times \mathbb{A}^1}(h)}$  given by  $\text{Ex}_{\Psi_V} \circ \text{Ex}_{\Psi_U}^{-1}$ .

From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W_0 & \\ \Psi_{U,0} \swarrow & & \searrow \Psi_{V,0} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} & V, \end{array}$$

we get  $\gamma|_{t=0}[-1] = \alpha|_{\text{Crit}_{W_0/B}(h_0)}$ , where  $h_t: W_t \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is the restriction of  $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  to the fiber at  $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$ . Similarly, from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W_1 & \\ \Psi_{U,1} \swarrow & & \searrow \Psi_{V,1} \\ U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & V, \end{array}$$

we get  $\gamma|_{t=1}[-1] = \beta|_{\text{Crit}_{W_1/B}(h_1)}$ . Therefore, the claim follows from [Bra+15, Proposition 2.8].  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.13(2) in general.

*Proof of Theorem 5.13(2).* Consider a point  $u \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and let  $v = \Phi_0(u) = \Phi_1(u)$ . The differentials  $d\Phi_0$  and  $d\Phi_1$  fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/B,u} & \longrightarrow & T_{U/B,u} & \longrightarrow & N_u \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow d(\Phi_t|_X)|_u & & \downarrow (d\Phi_t)|_u & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{Y/B,v} & \longrightarrow & T_{V/B,v} & \longrightarrow & N_v \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where both rows are exact. Choosing an arbitrary splitting of the top exact sequence and using that  $\Phi_0|_X = \Phi_1|_X$ , we get

$$(5.19) \quad d\Phi_1|_u^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_u = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \text{id} & * \\ \hline 0 & M \end{array} \right] : T_{X/B,u} \oplus N_u \rightarrow T_{X/B,u} \oplus N_u.$$

By Proposition 3.14(1) the Hessian  $\text{Hess}(f)_u$  restricts to a nondegenerate quadratic form on  $N_u$  and by Proposition 3.14(2) the induced morphisms  $(N_u, \text{Hess}(f)_u) \rightarrow (N_v, \text{Hess}(g)_v)$  are orthogonal. Thus,  $M$  is an orthogonal automorphism of  $(N_u, \text{Hess}(f)_u)$ .

If  $M = \text{id}$ , we are finished by Proposition 5.14 as then  $(d\Phi_1|_u^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_u - \text{id})^2 = 0$ . We will now adjust the setting to put the étale morphisms in the form suitable for the application of Proposition 5.14. For this, using Proposition 3.26 we may find an LG pair  $(W, h)$  over  $B$ , a point  $w \in \text{Crit}_{W/B}(h)$  and a critical morphism  $\Xi: (W, h) \rightarrow (U, f)$  which satisfies

- (1)  $\Xi(w) = u$ .
- (2)  $T_{\text{Crit}_{W/B}(h), u} = T_{W/B, u}$ .

The last property implies that the normal space of  $\Xi: W \rightarrow U^\circ$  at  $w$  is canonically isomorphic to  $N_u$ , such that the quadratic form  $q_\Xi$  from Proposition 3.32 is identified with  $\text{Hess}(f)_u$ . Thus, applying Proposition 3.23 to  $\Xi$  we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \xrightarrow{0} & W \times N_u & & \\ \uparrow \iota & & \uparrow \alpha & & \\ W^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Xi^\circ} & U^\circ & & \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow j & & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\Xi} & U & \xrightarrow{\Phi_t} & V \end{array}$$

with  $\iota: (W^\circ, h^\circ) \rightarrow (W, h)$  an open immersion and  $j: (U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (U, f)$  and  $\alpha: (U^\circ, f^\circ) \rightarrow (W \times N_u, h \boxplus \text{Hess}(f)_u)$  étale morphisms of LG pairs over  $B$ . By assumption  $w \in W^\circ$ ; denote  $u^\circ = \Xi^\circ(w) \in \text{Crit}_{U^\circ/B}(f^\circ)$ .

Since  $M$  is orthogonal,  $\text{id} \times M$  is an automorphism of the LG pair  $(W \times N_u, h \boxplus \text{Hess}(f)_u)$  over  $B$ . Thus, we may form the fiber product

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & U^\circ \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow (\text{id} \times M) \circ \alpha \\ U^\circ & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W \times N_u \end{array}$$

which comes equipped with a function  $d: P \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  given by

$$d = f^\circ \circ \pi_0 = f^\circ \circ \pi_1.$$

By the universal property there is a point  $p \in \text{Crit}_{P/B}(d)$  such that  $\pi_0(p) = \pi_1(p) = u^\circ$ . For  $t = 0, 1$  define the étale morphisms

$$\Theta_t = \Phi_t \circ j \circ \pi_t: P \longrightarrow V$$

which send  $p$  to  $v$ . Identifying  $T_{P/B,p} \cong T_{W/B,w} \oplus N_u$  using  $d(\alpha \circ \pi_1)_p$  we get that

$$d\Theta_1|_p^{-1} \circ d\Theta_0|_p = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \text{id} & * \\ \hline 0 & \text{id} \end{array} \right]: T_{P/B,p} \longrightarrow T_{P/B,p}.$$

Fixing a perverse sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(B)$ , by Proposition 5.14 we get an open neighborhood  $P^\circ \subset \text{Crit}_{P/B}(d)$  of  $p$  such that

$$\text{Ex}_{\Theta_0}(\mathcal{F})|_{P^\circ} = \text{Ex}_{\Theta_1}(\mathcal{F})|_{P^\circ}.$$

Using Lemma 5.11 we get

$$(\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0}|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_j|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ}^{-1} \circ \text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_{\pi_0}|_{P^\circ})(\mathcal{F}) = (\text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_j|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ}^{-1} \circ \text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_{\pi_1}|_{P^\circ})(\mathcal{F}).$$

Using the commutative diagram (5.20) we get

$$(\text{Ex}_{\text{id} \times M}|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_{\pi_0}|_{P^\circ})(\mathcal{F}) = (\text{Ex}_\alpha|_{P^\circ} \circ \text{Ex}_{\pi_1}|_{P^\circ})(\mathcal{F}).$$

By Example 5.12 we have  $\text{Ex}_{\text{id} \times M} = \det(M)$  and therefore

$$\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0}(\mathcal{F})|_{P^\circ} = \det(M) \cdot \text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{F})|_{P^\circ}.$$

Using (5.19) we see that

$$\det(M) = \det(d\Phi_1|_u^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_u).$$

Here  $P^\circ \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  is an étale neighborhood of  $u \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . Varying over different points  $u \in \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  we get that

$$\text{Ex}_{\Phi_0}(\mathcal{F}) = \det(d\Phi_1|_{X^{\text{red}}}^{-1} \circ d\Phi_0|_{X^{\text{red}}}) \cdot \text{Ex}_{\Phi_1}(\mathcal{F})$$

is true on an étale cover of  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  and hence, by étale descent, is true on all of  $\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . Since this is true for every  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}(B)$ , this finishes the proof.  $\square$

**5.3. Perverse pullbacks for schemes.** Given an étale morphism  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  of LG pairs over  $B$ , there is a natural isomorphism (5.18)

$$\mathrm{Ex}_\Phi: (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}.$$

In the following statement we extend this isomorphism to arbitrary critical morphisms; this is a family version of the stabilization isomorphism from [Bra+15, Theorem 5.4].

**Theorem 5.15.** *Let  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be a critical morphism of LG pairs over  $B$  of even relative dimension. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{stab}_\Phi: (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi$$

of functors  $\mathrm{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f))$  uniquely determined by the following properties:

- (1) Let  $(U, f)$  be an LG pair over  $B$  and  $(E, q)$  an orthogonal bundle over  $U$  of even rank. For the zero section  $0_E: (U, f) \rightarrow (E, f \circ \pi_E + \mathbf{q}_E)$  we have

$$\mathrm{stab}_\Phi = \mathrm{stab}_E$$

defined in Theorem 5.2.

- (2) If  $\Phi: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  is an étale morphism of LG pairs over  $B$ , then

$$\mathrm{stab}_\Phi = \mathrm{Ex}_\Phi.$$

It additionally satisfies the following properties:

- (3) Let  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  be critical morphisms of even relative dimension such that

$$\Phi_0|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} = \Phi_1|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}: \mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Crit}_{V/B}(g).$$

Then we have an equality

$$\mathrm{stab}_{\Phi_0} = \mathrm{stab}_{\Phi_1}: (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi.$$

- (4) For the identity critical morphism  $\mathrm{id}: (U, f) \rightarrow (U, f)$  we have  $\mathrm{stab}_{\mathrm{id}} = \mathrm{id}$ .  
(5) For a composite  $(U, f) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (V, g) \xrightarrow{\Psi} (W, h)$  of critical morphisms of even relative dimensions we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{stab}_\Phi} & (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \\ \downarrow \mathrm{stab}_{\Psi \circ \Phi} & & \downarrow \mathrm{stab}_\Psi \otimes \mathrm{id} \\ (\phi_h(W \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\Psi \circ \Phi} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \Xi_{\Phi, \Psi}} & (\phi_h(W \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Psi|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes P_\Phi. \end{array}$$

- (6) For a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_1, f_1) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & (V_1, g_1) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ (U_2, f_2) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & (V_2, g_2) \end{array}$$

with  $\pi_U$  and  $\pi_V$  smooth morphisms and  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  critical morphisms of even relative dimension such that  $U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \times_{V_2} U_2$  is étale, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_{f_1}(U_1 \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1)} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{stab}_{\Phi_1}} & (\phi_{g_1}(V_1 \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\Phi_1} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}_{\pi_U} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Ex}_{\pi_V} \otimes (3.14) \\ (\phi_{f_2}(U_2 \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1)}[\dim(U_1/U_2)] & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{stab}_{\Phi_2}} & (\phi_{g_2}(V_2 \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\mathrm{Crit}_{U_1/B}(f_1)} \otimes_{\mu_2} \pi_U^* P_{\Phi_2}[\dim(U_1/U_2)] \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (7) For a smooth morphism  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  with  $\Phi': (U', f') \rightarrow (V', g')$  the base change of  $\Phi$  and  $\pi_U: \text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f') \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  the corresponding projection, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_{f'}(U' \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{\Phi'}} & (\phi_{g'}(V' \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\Phi'} \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^! \otimes (3.14) \\ \pi_U^\dagger((\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi} & \pi_U^\dagger((\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}) \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (8) For a finite morphism  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  with  $\tilde{\Phi}: (\tilde{U}, \tilde{f}) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, \tilde{g})$  the base change of  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{c}_U: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$  and  $\tilde{c}_V: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$  projections, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger c_*)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi} & (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger c_*)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi, \text{Ex}_*^! \otimes (3.14) \\ (\tilde{c}_U)_*((\phi_{\tilde{f}}(\tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{B})^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{\tilde{U}/\tilde{B}}(\tilde{f})}) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{\tilde{\Phi}}} & (\tilde{c}_V)_*((\phi_{\tilde{g}}(\tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{B})^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{\tilde{U}/\tilde{B}}(\tilde{f})}) \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\tilde{\Phi}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (9) Let  $p: B \rightarrow B'$  be a smooth morphism and  $\text{stab}_\Phi^B$  the stabilization isomorphism for  $\Phi$  viewed as a morphism of LG pairs over  $B$  and  $\text{stab}_\Phi^{B'}$  the stabilization isomorphism for  $\Phi$  viewed as a morphism of LG pairs over  $B'$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger p^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B'}(f)} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi^B} & (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger p^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B'}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B')^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B'}(f)} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi^{B'}} & (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B')^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B'}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (10) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\phi_f(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger \mathbb{D})(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi} & (\phi_g(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger \mathbb{D})(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\dagger, \phi}, \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\dagger, \phi}, \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \otimes (3.16) \\ (\mathbb{D}\phi_{-f}(U \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} & \xleftarrow{\text{stab}_{\overline{\Phi}}} & (\mathbb{D}\phi_{-g}(V \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\overline{\Phi}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (11) Given another critical morphism  $\Phi': (U', f') \rightarrow (V', g')$  of LG pairs over  $B'$  of even relative dimension,  $\Phi \times \Phi': (U \times U', f \boxplus f') \rightarrow (V \times V', g \boxplus g')$  is a critical morphism over  $B \times B'$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\phi_f p^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \boxtimes (\phi_{f'} p'^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')}) & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_\Phi \boxtimes \text{stab}_{\Phi'}} & (\phi_g q^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \boxtimes (\phi_{g'} q'^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'/B'}(f')} \otimes_{\mu_2} (P_\Phi \boxtimes P_{\Phi'}) \\ \downarrow \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} \otimes (3.15) \\ \phi_{f \boxplus f'}(p \times p')^\dagger(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U \times U'/B \times B'}(f \boxplus f')} & \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{\Phi \times \Phi'}} & \phi_{g \boxplus g'}(q \times q')^\dagger(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U \times U'/B \times B'}(f \boxplus f')} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_{\Phi \times \Phi'} \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* Using Proposition 3.23 we may find a collection of  $\{U_a, f_a\}_{a \in A}$  of LG pairs over  $B$  with open morphisms  $\iota_a: (U_a, f_a) \rightarrow (U, f)$  such that  $\{R_a = \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \rightarrow R = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)\}$  is an open cover, a collection  $\{V_a, g_a\}_{a \in A}$  of LG pairs over  $B$  with étale morphisms  $j_a: (V_a, g_a) \rightarrow (V, g)$  and a collection  $\{E_a, q_a\}_{a \in A}$  of trivial orthogonal bundles over  $U$  with étale morphisms  $\alpha_a: (V_a, g_a) \rightarrow (E_a, f \circ \pi_{E_a} + \mathbf{q}_{E_a})$  which fit into a

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{0_{E_a}} & E_a \\
\uparrow \imath_a & & \uparrow \alpha_a \\
U_a & \xrightarrow{\Phi_a} & V_a \\
\downarrow \imath_a & & \downarrow \jmath_a \\
U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V
\end{array}$$

By descent it is enough to construct the stabilization isomorphism  $\text{stab}_\Phi$  restricted to  $R_a$  and show that these stabilization isomorphisms are equal on  $R_{ab} = R_a \times_R R_b$ .

By construction there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(5.21) \quad P_\Phi|_{R_a} \cong \text{or}_{E_a}|_{R_a}.$$

Let  $\pi_U: U \rightarrow B$  and  $\pi_V: V \rightarrow B$  be the natural projections. We define  $\text{stab}_\Phi|_{R_a}$  as the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_f(\pi_U^\dagger(-))|_{R_a} \otimes_{\mu_2} \text{or}_{E_a}|_{R_a} \xrightarrow{\text{stab}_{E_a}} (\phi_{f \circ \pi_{E_a} + \mathfrak{q}_{E_a}} \pi_{E_a}^\dagger \pi_U^\dagger(-))|_{R_a} \\
& \xleftarrow{\text{Ex}_{\alpha_a}} (\phi_{g_a} \pi_{V_a}^\dagger(-))|_{R_a} \\
& \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_{\jmath_a}} (\phi_g \pi_V^\dagger(-))|_{R_a}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above isomorphism is determined uniquely by properties (1) and (2).

We will now show the following facts:

- (1)  $\text{stab}_\Phi$  glues into a global isomorphism over  $R$ , i.e.  $\text{stab}_\Phi|_{R_a} = \text{stab}_\Phi|_{R_b}$  above.
- (2)  $\text{stab}_\Phi$  is independent of the choices of the local model given in Proposition 3.23. For this, making two choices for the local model, we again have to prove  $\text{stab}_\Phi|_{R_a} = \text{stab}_\Phi|_{R_b}$ .
- (3) Property (3) of the stabilization isomorphism holds, i.e. given two critical morphisms  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1: (U, f) \rightarrow (V, g)$  such that  $\Phi_0|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} = \Phi_1|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}$  we have  $\text{stab}_{\Phi_0} = \text{stab}_{\Phi_1}$ . For this we repeat the above construction with  $\Phi_0$  on  $U_a$  and  $\Phi_1$  on  $U_b$ . We have to prove again  $\text{stab}_{\Phi_0}|_{R_a} = \text{stab}_{\Phi_1}|_{R_b}$ .

Since  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  are trivial, we may identify the two; we denote the resulting orthogonal bundle  $E$ . Let  $U_{ab} = U_a \times_U U_b$ . We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & U_a & \xrightarrow{\Phi_a} & V_a \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow \imath_a & & \downarrow \alpha_a \\
U_{ab} & & U & \xrightarrow{0_E} & E \\
& \searrow & \uparrow \imath_b & & \uparrow \alpha_b \\
& & U_b & \xrightarrow{\Phi_b} & V_b
\end{array}$$

with the two squares as well as the left triangle commutative. When we prove the first two items in the above list, the two composite morphisms  $U_{ab} \rightarrow V$  are equal (given by the composite  $U_{ab} \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\Phi} V$ ). When we prove the last item in the above list, we only have the weaker statement that the two composite morphisms  $R_{ab} \rightarrow U_{ab} \rightarrow V$  are equal. So, from now on we only use this weaker assumption.

For a point  $u \in R_{ab}$  we have an isomorphism  $E|_u \cong E|_u$  given by

$$E|_u \cong N_{U/E_a, u} \xrightarrow{(d\alpha_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)}^{-1}} N_{U_a/V_a, u} \xrightarrow{(d\jmath_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)}} N_{U/V, u} \xrightarrow{(d\jmath_b)|_{\Phi_b(u)}^{-1}} N_{U_b/V_b, u} \xrightarrow{(d\alpha_b)|_{\Phi_b(u)}} N_{U/E_b, u} \cong E|_u.$$

Let  $M_u: E|_u \rightarrow E|_u$  be the corresponding matrix; by Proposition 3.32 it is an orthogonal matrix. The two isomorphisms  $P_\Phi|_{R_{ab}} \cong \text{or}_{E_a}|_{R_{ab}}$  and  $P_\Phi|_{R_{ab}} \cong \text{or}_{E_b}|_{R_{ab}}$  given by (5.21) differ by  $\det(M)$  in a neighborhood of  $u$ .

By Lemma 5.11 we have to show that we have an equality

$$(5.22) \quad \text{Ex}_{\alpha_b}|_{R_{ab}} \circ \text{Ex}_{\jmath_b}|_{R_{ab}}^{-1} \circ \text{Ex}_{\jmath_a}|_{R_{ab}} \circ \text{Ex}_{\alpha_a}|_{R_{ab}}^{-1} = \det(M) \cdot \text{id}$$

of natural automorphisms of  $\phi_{f \circ \pi_E + \mathfrak{q}_E}(\pi_E^\dagger(\pi_U^\dagger(-)))|_{R_{ab}}$  in a neighborhood of  $u$ .

For this, let  $V_{ab} = V_a \times_E V_b$  equipped with a function  $g_{ab}: V_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  compatible with  $g_a$  and  $g_b$  on  $V_a$  and  $V_b$  and consider the corresponding diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V_a & & \\
 & p_a \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha_a & \nwarrow J_a & \\
 R_{ab} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{ab}} & V_{ab} & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & V \\
 & p_b \searrow & \downarrow \alpha_b & \nearrow J_b & \\
 & & V_b & & 
 \end{array}$$

Then we have two étale morphisms

$$J_a \circ p_a, J_b \circ p_b: (V_{ab}, g_{ab}) \rightarrow (V, g)$$

of LG pairs over  $B$  such that the two composites

$$R_{ab} \longrightarrow \text{Crit}_{V_{ab}/B}(g_{ab}) \longrightarrow \text{Crit}_{V/B}(g)$$

are equal. Identifying  $T_{V_{ab}/B, \Phi_{ab}(u)} \cong T_{U/B, u} \oplus E|_u$  using the étale morphism  $V_{ab} \rightarrow E$  we have

$$(dp_b)|_{\Phi_{ab}(u)}^{-1} \circ (dJ_b)|_{\Phi_b(u)}^{-1} \circ (dJ_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)} \circ (dp_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we have

$$\det \left( (dp_b)|_{\Phi_{ab}(u)}^{-1} \circ (dJ_b)|_{\Phi_b(u)}^{-1} \circ (dJ_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)} \circ (dp_a)|_{\Phi_a(u)} \right) = \det(M).$$

Applying Theorem 5.13 we get (5.22) as claimed.

Let us now show the remaining properties of the stabilization isomorphism. It is enough to establish property (1) locally when  $E$  is a trivial orthogonal bundle. In this case the critical morphism  $0_E: U \rightarrow E$  is already in a local model, so that  $\text{stab}_\Phi = \text{stab}_E$  by definition.

In the setting of property (2) we are again in a local model: the corresponding diagram from Proposition 3.23 is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \xlongequal{\quad} & U \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 U & \xlongequal{\quad} & U \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \Phi \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & V
 \end{array}$$

and by definition we get  $\text{stab}_\Phi = \text{Ex}_\Phi$ .

Property (4) of the stabilization isomorphism is obvious as for the identity critical morphism we may take  $E = 0$  and  $U_a = U = V_a = V$  in the local model given in Proposition 3.23.

It is enough to show the commutativity of the diagram from property (5) locally. For this, consider  $U^\circ, V_1^\circ, V_2^\circ, W^\circ, (E_1, q_1), (E_2, q_2), \overline{U}$  as in the proof of Proposition 3.32(2), so that we have a local model of the critical morphism  $\Psi \circ \Phi$  as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & \longrightarrow & E_1 \times_V E_2 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \overline{U} & \longrightarrow & W^\circ \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 U & \xrightarrow{\Psi \circ \Phi} & W
 \end{array}$$

Restricting the diagram to  $\overline{U}$ , we get local isomorphisms  $P_\Phi \cong \text{or}_{E_1}$ ,  $P_\Psi \cong \text{or}_{E_2}$  and  $P_{\Psi \circ \Phi} \cong \text{or}_{E_1 \oplus E_2}$ . The commutativity of the diagram then follows from Proposition 5.7.

Let us now show property (6). First assume that  $\Phi_2$  is étale. As  $\Phi_1$  factors through a composite of étale morphisms  $U_1 \rightarrow V_1 \times_{V_2} U_2 \rightarrow V_1$ , it is also étale. In this case the commutativity of the diagram follows from Lemma 5.11. For an arbitrary critical morphism  $\Phi_2$ , we may use Proposition 3.23 to assume, étale locally,

that  $\Phi_2$  is given by the zero section  $U_2 \rightarrow E$  of an orthogonal bundle in which case  $\text{stab}_{\Phi_2} = \text{stab}_E$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.32(4), étale locally we have that  $\Phi_1$  is given by the zero section  $U_1 \rightarrow \pi_U^* E$  of the pullback orthogonal bundle. In this case property (6) follows from the compatibility of  $\text{stab}_E$  with smooth base change, Theorem 5.2(1).

Property (7) is proven similarly to property (6): if  $\Phi$  is étale, it follows from Proposition 2.21(2) and if  $\Phi$  is the zero section of an orthogonal bundle  $E$ , it follows from the compatibility of  $\text{stab}_E$  with smooth base change, Theorem 5.2(1).

Property (8) is proven similarly to properties (6) and (7): if  $\Phi$  is étale, it follows from Proposition 2.21(2) and if  $\Phi$  is the zero section of an orthogonal bundle  $E$ , it follows from the compatibility of  $\text{stab}_E$  with finite base change, Proposition 5.8.

Property (9) is obvious from construction.

Property (10) follows from Proposition 2.22(2) if  $\Phi$  is étale and from Proposition 5.9 if  $\Phi$  is the zero section of an orthogonal bundle.

Let us finally prove property (11). Writing  $\Phi \times \Phi' = (\Phi \times \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \times \Phi')$  and using the compatibility of the stabilization isomorphism with respect to compositions, property (5), we reduce the claim to the case  $\Phi' = \text{id}$ . Then if  $\Phi$  is étale, the claim follows from Proposition 2.24(2). If  $\Phi$  is the zero section of an orthogonal bundle, the claim follows from Proposition 5.10.  $\square$

We are now ready to define perverse pullbacks for relative d-critical structures.

**Theorem 5.16.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ . There is an exact functor*

$$\pi^\varphi: \text{Perv}(B) \longrightarrow \text{Perv}(X),$$

*called the **perverse pullback**, uniquely determined by the following properties:*

- (1) *For every critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  of  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$ , such that  $\dim(U/B) \pmod{2}$  coincides with the parity of  $o$ , we have a canonical natural isomorphism*

$$(5.23) \quad (\pi^\varphi(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \cong \phi_f((U \rightarrow B)^\dagger(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} Q_{U,f,u}^o.$$

- (2) *For a critical morphism  $\Phi: (U, f, u) \rightarrow (V, g, v)$  of critical charts of even relative dimension the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi^\varphi(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & \phi_f((U \rightarrow B)^\dagger(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} Q_{U,f,u}^o \\ \downarrow (5.23) & & \downarrow \text{stab}_\Phi \otimes \text{id} \\ \phi_g((V \rightarrow B)^\dagger(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} Q_{V,g,v}^o & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Lambda_\Phi} & \phi_g((V \rightarrow B)^\dagger(\mathcal{F}))|_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)} \otimes_{\mu_2} P_\Phi \otimes_{\mu_2} Q_{U,f,u}^o \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*In addition, it satisfies the following properties:*

- (3) *Given an isomorphism of orientations  $o_1 \cong o_2$  of the same relative d-critical structure  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$ , there is a natural isomorphism  $\pi_{o_1}^\varphi \cong \pi_{o_2}^\varphi$  of the perverse pullback functors with respect to the two orientations, which is associative. Moreover, the automorphism of an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  given by multiplication on  $\mathcal{L}$  by a sign  $\sigma \in \mu_2$  acts on  $\pi_o^\varphi$  by the same sign  $\mu$ .*
- (4) *If  $R$  is a field, then the perverse pullback functor  $\pi^\varphi$  extends uniquely to a colimit-preserving t-exact functor*

$$\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}(B) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(X).$$

*Proof.* The assignment  $X \mapsto \text{Perv}(X)$  of the category of perverse sheaves forms a sheaf of categories over the Zariski site of  $X$ . Therefore, the assignment  $X \mapsto \text{Fun}_{\text{ex}}(\text{Perv}(B), \text{Perv}(X))$  forms a sheaf of categories as well. We may then glue the local descriptions of the perverse pullback functor  $\pi^\varphi$  using Corollary 3.30, where the relevant properties of the stabilization isomorphisms were verified in Theorem 5.15. This establishes properties (1) and (2).

Let us now show property (3). For an isomorphism of orientations  $o_1 \cong o_2$  using Corollary 3.31 we need to specify the natural isomorphism  $\pi_{o_1}^\varphi \cong \pi_{o_2}^\varphi$  locally on critical charts. On a critical chart  $(U, f, u)$  we let

it be the isomorphism  $Q_{U,f,u}^{o_1} \cong Q_{U,f,u}^{o_2}$  given by precomposing the isomorphism  $o_2 \cong o_{\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)}^{\text{can}}$  with the isomorphism  $o_1 \cong o_2$ . For an automorphism of an orientation  $o$  given by a sign  $\sigma \in \mu_2$  the corresponding isomorphism  $Q_{U,f,u}^o \cong Q_{U,f,u}^o$  is given by acting by the same sign and hence, using the local description of perverse pullbacks, it acts on  $\pi^\varphi$  by the same sign.

Property (4) follows from Corollary 2.18 since all exact functors on  $\text{Perv}(-)$  extend uniquely to  $\mathbf{D}(-)$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Compatibility with base change.** We first establish a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with smooth pullbacks.

**Proposition 5.17.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure. Let  $p: X' \rightarrow X$  be a smooth morphism of schemes. Consider the pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X' \rightarrow B$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\alpha_p: (\pi \circ p)^\varphi(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} p^\dagger \pi^\varphi(-)$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X')$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\alpha_{\text{id}} = \text{id}$ .
- (2) For a composite  $X'' \xrightarrow{q} X' \xrightarrow{p} X$  of smooth morphisms with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  and pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structures on  $X'' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow B$  we have  $\alpha_p \circ \alpha_q = \alpha_{p \circ q}$ .

If  $R$  is a field,  $\alpha_p$  extends to a natural isomorphism of functors  $\mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X')$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $o$  the orientation of  $X \rightarrow B$  and by  $o'$  the pullback orientation of  $X' \rightarrow B$ . By Theorem 4.3 we may find a cover of  $X'$  by critical charts  $(U'_a, f'_a, u'_a)$  and a cover of the image of  $p: X' \rightarrow X$  by critical charts  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  together with a smooth morphism  $\tilde{p}_a: (U'_a, f'_a) \rightarrow (U_a, f_a)$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xleftarrow{u'_a} & \text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a) & \longrightarrow & U'_a \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_a \\ X & \xleftarrow{u_a} & \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) & \longrightarrow & U_a \end{array}$$

We define  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)}$  as the unique natural isomorphism which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi \circ p)^\varphi(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f'_a}(U'_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)} \otimes Q_{U'_a, f'_a, u'_a}^o \\ \downarrow \alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)} & & \downarrow \text{Exp}_{\tilde{p}_a} \otimes (4.31) \\ (p^\dagger \pi^\varphi)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23), \text{pur}_p} & (\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)} [\dim(U'_a/U_a)] \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)}. \end{array}$$

We will now show that thus defined local natural isomorphisms  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)}$  glue into a global natural isomorphism  $\alpha_p$ . For this, we need to check that the restrictions of  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)}$  and  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_b/B}(f'_b)}$  to  $\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a) \times_{X'} \text{Crit}_{U'_b/B}(f'_b)$  coincide. Consider the étale local model of the intersection given by Proposition 4.4:

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (U'_a, f'_a, u'_a) & \longleftarrow & (U'^{\circ}_a, f'^{\circ}_a, u'^{\circ}_a) & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_a} & (U'_{ab}, f'_{ab}, u'_{ab}) & \xleftarrow{\Phi'_b} & (U'^{\circ}_b, f'^{\circ}_b, u'^{\circ}_b) \longrightarrow (U'_b, f'_b, u'_b) \\ \downarrow \tilde{p}_a & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_{ab} & & \downarrow \tilde{p}_b \\ (U_a, f_a, u_a) & \longleftarrow & (U_a^{\circ}, f_a^{\circ}, u_a^{\circ}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_a} & (U_{ab}, f_{ab}, u_{ab}) & \xleftarrow{\Phi_b} & (U_b^{\circ}, f_b^{\circ}, u_b^{\circ}) \longrightarrow (U_b, f_b, u_b) \end{array}$$

with all vertical morphisms smooth and all morphisms to the pullback squares étale. Let

$$R_{ab} = \text{Crit}_{U_a^{\circ}/B}(f_a^{\circ}) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_b^{\circ}/B}(f_b^{\circ}), \quad R'_{ab} = \text{Crit}_{U'^{\circ}_a/B}(f'^{\circ}_a) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U'^{\circ}_b/B}(f'^{\circ}_b).$$

By definition (see Theorem 5.16(2)) the composite natural isomorphism

$$(\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{R_{ab}} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o|_{R_{ab}} \xleftarrow{\sim} \pi^\varphi(-)|_{R_{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_{f_b}(U_b \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{R_{ab}} \otimes Q_{U_b, f_b, u_b}^o|_{R_{ab}}$$

is given by the composite of stabilization isomorphisms with respect to the bottom critical morphisms in (5.25). Similarly, the composite natural isomorphism

$$(\phi_{f'_a}(U'_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{R'_{ab}} \otimes Q_{U'_a, f'_a, u'_a}^{o'}|_{R'_{ab}} \xleftarrow{\sim} (\pi \circ p)^\varphi(-)|_{R'_{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi_{f'_b}(U'_b \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{R'_{ab}} \otimes Q_{U'_b, f'_b, u'_b}^{o'}|_{R'_{ab}}$$

is given by the composite of stabilization isomorphisms with respect to the top critical morphisms in (5.25). The equality of the restrictions of  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B}(f'_a)}$  and  $\alpha_p|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_b/B}(f'_b)}$  to  $R'_{ab}$  therefore follows from the compatibility of the stabilization isomorphisms with smooth pullbacks, Theorem 5.15(6).

The functoriality of the natural isomorphism  $\alpha_p$  follows from the functoriality of the natural isomorphism  $\text{Ex}_p$ , Lemma 5.11, and the functoriality of the purity isomorphism  $\text{pur}_p$ , Proposition 2.14.

The extension of  $\alpha_p$  to a natural transformation of functors  $\mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X')$  is given by Corollary 2.18.  $\square$

Next we establish a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with smooth base change.

**Proposition 5.18.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of schemes with  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow B' \times_B X$  smooth. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  be a relative  $d$ -critical structure and denote by  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  its pullback. Let  $o$  be an orientation of  $X \rightarrow B$  and  $o'$  its pullback to  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}: (\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{p}^\dagger \pi^\varphi(-)$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X')$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\alpha_{\text{id}, \text{id}} = \text{id}$ .
- (2) Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi'' & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B'' & \xrightarrow{q} & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $B'' \xrightarrow{q} B' \xrightarrow{p} B$ ,  $X' \rightarrow B' \times_B X$  and  $X'' \rightarrow B'' \times_{B'} X'$  smooth, we have

$$\alpha_{p, \bar{p}} \circ \alpha_{q, \bar{q}} = \alpha_{p \circ q, \bar{p} \circ \bar{q}}.$$

If  $R$  is a field,  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  extends to a natural transformation of functors  $\mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X')$ .

*Proof.* Let us first assume that the diagram is Cartesian. Consider a cover of  $X$  by critical charts  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  and let  $(U'_a, f'_a, u'_a)$  be their base change along  $p$  which define a cover of  $X'$  by critical charts. We define  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)}$  as the unique natural isomorphism which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f'_a}(U'_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} \otimes Q_{U'_a, f'_a, u'_a}^{o'} \\ \downarrow \alpha_{p, \bar{p}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_\phi^\dagger \otimes (4.31) \\ (\bar{p}^\dagger \pi^\varphi)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)} \end{array}$$

The fact that these locally defined natural isomorphisms  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a)}$  glue into a global natural isomorphism  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  is shown as in the proof of Proposition 5.17:

- By Proposition 3.25 we have a Zariski local model of the intersection  $\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_b/B}(f_b)$  given by

$$(U_a, f_a, u_a) \longleftarrow (U_a^\circ, f_a^\circ, u_a^\circ) \xrightarrow{\Phi_a} (U_{ab}, f_{ab}, u_{ab}) \xleftarrow{\Phi_b} (U_b^\circ, f_b^\circ, u_b^\circ) \longrightarrow (U_b, f_b, u_b).$$

- Let

$$(U'_a, f'_a, u'_a) \longleftarrow (U'^{\circ}_a, f'^{\circ}_a, u'^{\circ}_a) \xrightarrow{\Phi'_a} (U'_{ab}, f'_{ab}, u'_{ab}) \xleftarrow{\Phi'_b} (U'^{\circ}_b, f'^{\circ}_b, u'^{\circ}_b) \longrightarrow (U'_b, f'_b, u'_b)$$

be the base change of the above local model along  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  which provides a Zariski local model of the intersection  $\text{Crit}_{U'_a/B'}(f'_a) \times_{X'} \text{Crit}_{U'_b/B'}(f'_b)$ .

- The compatibility of the two local models of the isomorphism  $\alpha_p$  follows from the compatibility of the stabilization isomorphisms with smooth base change, Theorem 5.15(7).

For an arbitrary commutative diagram we factor it as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & & & & \\ & \searrow \bar{p} & & \searrow \bar{p} & \\ & X \times_B B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & X & \\ & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi & \\ & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B & \end{array}$$

and then define  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  as the composite  $\alpha_{\bar{p}} \circ \alpha_{p, p'}$ .

The functoriality of  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  can be checked in a critical chart, where it follows from the functoriality of  $\text{Ex}^!_{\phi}$  with respect to compositions, Proposition 2.21(2).  $\square$

Finally, we establish a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with finite push-forwards.

**Proposition 5.19.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian diagram of schemes with  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  finite. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  be a relative  $d$ -critical structure and denote by  $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{B}})$  its pullback. Let  $o$  be an orientation of  $X \rightarrow B$  and denote by  $\tilde{o}$  its pullback. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\beta_c: \pi^{\varphi} c_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^{\varphi}$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\beta_{\text{id}} = \text{id}$ .
- (2) Given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{d} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B \end{array}$$

with  $\tilde{B} \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{B} \xrightarrow{c} B$  a composite of finite morphisms and both squares Cartesian, we have

$$\beta_c \circ \beta_d = \beta_{c \circ d}.$$

- (3) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

be a diagram of schemes with  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow B' \times_B X$  smooth. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  be a relative  $d$ -critical structure and denote by  $s' \in \Gamma(X', \mathcal{S}_{X'/B'})$  its pullback. Let  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  be a finite

morphism and denote by  $\tilde{X} = X \times_B \tilde{B}$ ,  $\tilde{B}' = B' \times_B \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{X}' = X' \times_B \tilde{B}$  their base changes which fit into commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi}' & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi}' & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B. \end{array}$$

Let  $o$  be an orientation of  $X \rightarrow B$  and consider its pullbacks to  $X'$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{X}'$ . Then the diagram

$$(5.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (\pi')^\varphi \tilde{c}_* \tilde{p}^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\tilde{c}}} & \tilde{c}_* (\tilde{\pi}')^\varphi \tilde{p}^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\tilde{p}, \tilde{p}}} & \tilde{c}_* \tilde{p}^\dagger \tilde{\pi}^\varphi \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! \\ (\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger c_* & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}} & \bar{p}^\dagger \pi^\varphi c_* & \xrightarrow{\beta_c} & \bar{p}^\dagger \tilde{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi \end{array}$$

commutes.

If  $R$  is a field,  $\beta_c$  extends to a natural transformation of functors  $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a cover of  $X$  by critical charts  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  and let  $(\tilde{U}_a, \tilde{f}_a, \tilde{u}_a)$  be their base change along  $c$  which define a cover of  $\tilde{X}$  by critical charts. Let  $c_a: \tilde{U}_a \rightarrow U_a$  be the projection. We define  $\beta_c|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)}$  as the unique natural isomorphism which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi^\varphi c_*)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger c_*)(-) \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \\ \downarrow \beta_c|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^! \otimes \text{id} \\ (\bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f_a}(c_a)_*(\tilde{U}_a \rightarrow \tilde{B})^\dagger)(-) \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \\ & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_*^\phi \otimes (4.31) \\ (\bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi)(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & \bar{c}_*((\phi_{\tilde{f}_a}(\tilde{U}_a \rightarrow \tilde{B})^\dagger)(-) \otimes Q_{\tilde{U}_a, \tilde{f}_a, \tilde{u}_a}^o)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \end{array}$$

The fact that these locally defined natural isomorphisms  $\beta_c|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)}$  glue into a global natural isomorphism  $\beta_c$  is shown as in the proof of Proposition 5.17:

- By Proposition 3.25 we have a Zariski local model of the intersection  $\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a) \times_X \text{Crit}_{U_b/B}(f_b)$  given by

$$(U_a, f_a, u_a) \longleftarrow (U_a^\circ, f_a^\circ, u_a^\circ) \xrightarrow{\Phi_a} (U_{ab}, f_{ab}, u_{ab}) \xleftarrow{\Phi_b} (U_b^\circ, f_b^\circ, u_b^\circ) \longrightarrow (U_b, f_b, u_b).$$

- Let

$$(\tilde{U}_a, \tilde{f}_a, \tilde{u}_a) \longleftarrow (\tilde{U}_a^\circ, \tilde{f}_a^\circ, \tilde{u}_a^\circ) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Phi}_a} (\tilde{U}_{ab}, \tilde{f}_{ab}, \tilde{u}_{ab}) \xleftarrow{\tilde{\Phi}_b} (\tilde{U}_b^\circ, \tilde{f}_b^\circ, \tilde{u}_b^\circ) \longrightarrow (\tilde{U}_b, \tilde{f}_b, \tilde{u}_b)$$

be the base change of the above local model along  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  which provides a Zariski local model of the intersection  $\text{Crit}_{\tilde{U}_a/\tilde{B}}(\tilde{f}_a) \times_{\tilde{X}} \text{Crit}_{\tilde{U}_b/\tilde{B}}(\tilde{f}_b)$ .

- The compatibility of the two local models of the isomorphism  $\beta_p$  follows from the compatibility of the stabilization isomorphisms with finite base change, Theorem 5.15(8).

The properties of  $\beta_c$  can be checked in a critical chart. The functoriality of  $\beta_c$  follows from the functoriality of  $\text{Ex}_*^!$  and  $\text{Ex}_*^!$  with respect to compositions, Proposition 2.21(2). Property (3) follows from Proposition 2.21(3).  $\square$

**5.5. Compatibility with d-critical pushforwards.** In this section we establish a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with d-critical pushforwards. First we analyze a compatibility with d-critical pushforwards along smooth morphisms.

**Proposition 5.20.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ . Let  $p: B \rightarrow S$  be a smooth morphism. Let  $p_*(X, s)$  be the  $d$ -critical pushforward which fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, s) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

*Consider the orientation  $p_*o$  of  $p_*(X, s)$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\gamma_p: \pi^\varphi p^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} i_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi$$

*of functors  $\text{Perv}(S) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$  which satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *It is functorial for compositions in  $p$ .*
- (2) *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow a_2 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

*of schemes with  $p, a_2, B' \rightarrow S' \times_S B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  smooth, a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  and  $s' = q^*s$  its pullback. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p'_*(X', s') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}'} & S' \\ \downarrow \bar{q} & & \downarrow a_2 \\ p_*(X, s) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & S \end{array}$$

*be the diagram of  $d$ -critical pushforwards with  $i': p'_*(X', s') \rightarrow X'$  the natural inclusion. Let  $o$  be an orientation of  $X$  and consider orientations  $q^*o$  of  $X'$ ,  $p_*o$  of  $p_*(X, s)$  and  $p'_*q^*o$  of  $p'_*(X', s')$ . Then the diagram of natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} q^\dagger \pi^\varphi p^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{a_1, q}} & (\pi')^\varphi a_1^\dagger p^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\pi')^\varphi (p')^\dagger a_2^\dagger \\ \downarrow \gamma_p & & & & \downarrow \gamma_{p'} \\ q^\dagger i_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & i'_* \bar{q}^\dagger \bar{\pi}^\varphi & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{a_2, \bar{q}}} & i'_* (\bar{\pi}')^\varphi a_2^\dagger \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

- (3) *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{S} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow c_2 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

*of schemes with both squares Cartesian,  $c_2$  finite and  $p$  smooth. Let  $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S}_{X/B})$  be a relative  $d$ -critical structure and let  $\tilde{s} = \tilde{c}^*s$  be its pullback to  $\tilde{X}$ . Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{p}_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{s}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & \tilde{S} \\ \downarrow \bar{q} & & \downarrow c_2 \\ p_*(X, s) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & S \end{array}$$

be the Cartesian diagram of  $d$ -critical pushforwards with  $\tilde{i}: \tilde{p}_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{s}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  the natural inclusion. Let  $o$  be an orientation of  $X$  and consider orientations  $q^*o$  of  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $p_*o$  of  $p_*(X, s)$  and  $\tilde{p}_*q^*o$  of  $\tilde{p}_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{s})$ . Then the diagram of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^\varphi p^\dagger(c_2)_* & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & \pi^\varphi(c_1)_* \tilde{p}^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\beta_{c_1}} & q_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi \tilde{p}^\dagger \\ \downarrow \gamma_p & & & & \downarrow \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \\ i_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi(c_2)_* & \xrightarrow{\beta_{c_2}} & i_* \bar{q}_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\sim} & q_* \tilde{i}_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi \end{array}$$

commutes.

If  $R$  is a field,  $\gamma_p$  extends to a natural isomorphism of functors  $\mathbf{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a cover of  $X$  by critical charts  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  and let  $(U_a, f_a, \bar{u}_a)$  be the corresponding cover of  $p_*(X, s)$  by critical charts. Let  $i_a: \text{Crit}_{U_a/S}(f_a) \rightarrow \text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)$  be the obvious inclusion. In the critical chart  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  the isomorphism  $\gamma_p$  is the isomorphism

$$\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger p^\dagger(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o \xrightarrow{\sim} (i_a)_*(\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow S)^\dagger(-)|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/S}(f_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^{p_*o})$$

obtained from (4.32) and the unit of the adjunction  $i_a^* \dashv (i_a)_*$  which is an isomorphism since  $\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow S)^\dagger(-)$  is supported on  $\text{Crit}_{U_a/S}(f_a)$  by Proposition 2.21(1).

The compatibility of the two locally defined isomorphisms  $\gamma_p$  in two critical charts follows as in the proof of Proposition 5.17 from the local model of the intersection given by Proposition 3.25 and Theorem 5.15(9).

By construction  $\gamma_p$  is obviously functorial for compositions in  $p$ . The rest of the properties can be checked in critical charts in which case they follow from the naturality of the unit of the adjunction  $i^* \dashv i_*$  with respect to pullbacks.  $\square$

**5.6. Compatibility with duality and products.** We begin by establishing a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with Verdier duality. Using the isomorphisms  $\text{Ex}^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}}$  and  $\text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}}$  we observe that the category  $\text{Perv}(X) \cap \mathbb{D}(\text{Perv}(X))$  is stable under  $f^\dagger$  for  $f$  smooth and  $f_*$  for  $f$  finite. Moreover, if  $R$  is a field, it coincides with the category  $\text{Perv}(X)$  of perverse sheaves.

**Proposition 5.21.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ . Let  $\pi^\varphi$  be the perverse pullback for  $(X \rightarrow B, s)$  with respect to  $o$  and  $\pi^{\varphi, -}$  the perverse pullback for  $(X \rightarrow B, -s)$  with respect to  $\bar{o}$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\delta: \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -}$$

of functors  $\text{Perv}(B) \cap \mathbb{D}(\text{Perv}(B)) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$  which satisfies the following properties:

(1) *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \pi^\varphi \\ \downarrow \psi & & \uparrow \delta \\ \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -} \end{array}$$

(2) *Consider a commutative square of schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

with  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow B' \times_B X$  smooth, so that  $X' \rightarrow B'$  carries the pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}} & \bar{p}^\dagger \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bar{p}^\dagger \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -} \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}} & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}} \\ (\pi')^\varphi \mathbb{D} p^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{D} (\pi')^{\varphi, -} p^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}} & \mathbb{D} \bar{p}^\dagger \pi^{\varphi, -} \end{array}$$

commutes.

(3) Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian diagram of schemes with  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  finite, so that  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  carries the pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^\varphi c_* \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\beta_c} & \bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bar{c}_* \mathbb{D} \tilde{\pi}^{\varphi, -} \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} \\ \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} c_* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -} c_* & \xleftarrow{\beta_c} & \mathbb{D} \bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^{\varphi, -} \end{array}$$

commutes.

(4) Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of schemes equipped with a  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and  $p: B \rightarrow S$  a smooth morphism. Let  $p_*(X, s)$  be the  $d$ -critical pushforward which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, s) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^\varphi p^\dagger \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_p} & i_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & i_* \mathbb{D} \tilde{\pi}^{\varphi, -} \\ \downarrow \text{Ex}^\dagger, \mathbb{D} & & & & \downarrow \text{Ex}_{*, \mathbb{D}} \\ \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} p^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -} p^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\gamma_p} & \mathbb{D} i_* \tilde{\pi}^{\varphi, -} \end{array}$$

commutes.

If  $R$  is a field,  $\delta$  extends to a natural isomorphism of functors  $\mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Given a closed immersion of schemes  $i: Z \rightarrow Y$  and a constructible complex  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(Y)$  supported on  $Z$  the natural morphism  $\epsilon_i: i^! \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i^* \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism. In particular, in this case we have a natural isomorphism

$$i^* \mathbb{D} \mathcal{F} \xleftarrow{\epsilon_i} i^! \mathbb{D} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^\dagger, \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{D} i^* \mathcal{F}$$

which will be implicit from now on.

Consider critical charts  $(U_a, f_a, u_a)$  for  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ . We define  $\delta|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)}$  as the unique natural isomorphism which fits into commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi^\varphi \mathbb{D}(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger \mathbb{D}(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o \\ \downarrow \delta|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^\dagger, \mathbb{D} \otimes \text{id} \\ & & (\phi_{f_a} \mathbb{D}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, f_a, u_a}^o \\ & & \downarrow \text{Ex}^{\phi, \mathbb{D}} \otimes (4.33) \\ (\mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -}(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\mathbb{D} \phi_{-f_a}(U_a \rightarrow B)^\dagger(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)} \otimes Q_{U_a, -f_a, u_a}^{\bar{o}}. \end{array}$$

The fact that these locally defined natural isomorphisms  $\delta|_{\text{Crit}_{U_a/B}(f_a)}$  glue into a global natural isomorphism  $\delta$  is shown as in the proof of Proposition 5.17, using the compatibility of the stabilization isomorphisms with Verdier duality, see Theorem 5.15(10).

The properties of  $\delta$  can be checked on critical charts. (1) follows by combining Proposition 2.22(1) and Proposition 2.15. (2) follows from Proposition 2.22(2). (3) follows from Proposition 2.16 and Proposition 2.22(3). (4) follows from Proposition 2.8.  $\square$

**Remark 5.22.** As in Remark 2.23 we may define a natural isomorphism

$$\bar{\delta}: \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \pi^\varphi$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi^\varphi & \xrightarrow{T} & \pi^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{D} \mathbb{D} \pi^\varphi \\ \downarrow \psi & & & & \downarrow \bar{\delta} \\ \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} & \mathbb{D} \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D}, & & \end{array}$$

where  $T: \pi^\varphi \rightarrow \pi^\varphi$  is the natural isomorphism given locally by the monodromy operator of the functor of vanishing cycles.

Next we establish a compatibility of perverse pullbacks with products. If  $R$  is not a field, in general the external tensor product does not preserve perversity already in the case when the base is a point. We denote by

$$(\text{Perv}(B_1) \times \text{Perv}(B_2))_{\boxtimes \text{Perv}} \subset \text{Perv}(B_1) \times \text{Perv}(B_2)$$

the full subcategory consisting of pairs  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(B_1 \times B_2)$  is perverse.

**Proposition 5.23.** *Let  $\pi_1: X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $\pi_2: X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  be morphisms of schemes equipped with relative  $d$ -critical structures  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  and orientations  $o_1$  and  $o_2$ , respectively. Consider the morphism  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  equipped with the relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s_1 \boxplus s_2$  and orientation  $o_1 \boxtimes o_2$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{TS}: \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -)$$

of functors  $(\text{Perv}(B_1) \times \text{Perv}(B_2))_{\boxtimes \text{Perv}} \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X_1 \times X_2)$ . It satisfies the following properties:

- (1) *It is associative: given another morphism of schemes  $\pi_3: X_3 \rightarrow B_3$  equipped with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure  $(s_3, o_3)$  the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_3^\varphi(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS} \boxtimes \text{id}} & (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -) \boxtimes \pi_3^\varphi(-) \\ \downarrow \text{id} \boxtimes \text{TS} & & \downarrow \text{TS} \\ \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes (\pi_2 \times \pi_3)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi_1 \times \pi_2 \times \pi_3)^\varphi(- \boxtimes - \boxtimes -). \end{array}$$

*commutes, where we use the natural isomorphism  $o_1 \boxtimes (o_2 \boxtimes o_3) \cong (o_1 \boxtimes o_2) \boxtimes o_3$  of orientations.*

- (2) *It is unital: if  $\pi_1: \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{pt}$  equipped with the relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s = 0$  and the trivial orientation, then  $\text{TS} = \text{id}$ .*
- (3) *It is graded-commutative: for the swapping isomorphism  $\sigma: X_2 \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times X_2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_1), \mathcal{F}_2 \in \mathbf{D}_c^b(X_2)$  the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^*(\pi_1^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_2)) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & \sigma^*(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \pi_2^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_2) \boxtimes \pi_1^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi_2 \times \pi_1)^\varphi(\mathcal{F}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_1), \end{array}$$

*where the right vertical isomorphism uses the natural isomorphism  $\sigma^*(o_1 \boxtimes o_2) \xrightarrow{(4.24)} o_2 \boxtimes o_1$  of orientations, commutes up to  $(-1)^{\deg(o_1) \deg(o_2)}$ .*

(4) For  $i = 1, 2$  let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_i} & X_i \\ \downarrow \pi'_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\ B'_i & \xrightarrow{p_i} & B_i \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of schemes with  $p_i: B'_i \rightarrow B_i$  and  $X'_i \rightarrow B'_i \times_{B_i} X_i$  smooth. Consider oriented relative  $d$ -critical structures on  $X_i \rightarrow B_i$  and their pullbacks to  $X'_i \rightarrow B'_i$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi'_1)^\varphi p_1^\dagger(-) \boxtimes (\pi'_2)^\varphi p_2^\dagger(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi'_1 \times \pi'_2)^\varphi (p_1^\dagger(-) \boxtimes p_2^\dagger(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi'_1 \times \pi'_2)^\varphi (p_1 \times p_2)^\dagger(-) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{p_1, \bar{p}_1} \boxtimes \alpha_{p_2, \bar{p}_2} & & \downarrow \alpha_{p_1 \times p_2, \bar{p}_1 \times \bar{p}_2} \\ \bar{p}_1^\dagger \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \bar{p}_2^\dagger \pi_2^\varphi(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\bar{p}_1 \times \bar{p}_2)^\dagger (\pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} (\bar{p}_1 \times \bar{p}_2)^\dagger (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(5) For  $i = 1, 2$  let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}_i} & X_i \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_i & & \downarrow \pi_i \\ \tilde{B}_i & \xrightarrow{c_i} & B_i \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian diagram of schemes with  $c_i: \tilde{B}_i \rightarrow B_i$  finite. Consider oriented relative  $d$ -critical structures on  $X_i \rightarrow B_i$  and their pullbacks to  $\tilde{X}_i \rightarrow \tilde{B}_i$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^\varphi c_{1,*}(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi c_{2,*}(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (c_{1,*}(-) \boxtimes c_{2,*}(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (c_1 \times c_2)_*(- \boxtimes -) \\ \downarrow \beta_{c_1} \boxtimes \beta_{c_2} & & \downarrow \beta_{c_1 \times c_2} \\ \bar{c}_{1,*} \tilde{\pi}_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \bar{c}_{2,*} \tilde{\pi}_2^\varphi(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\bar{c}_1 \times \bar{c}_2)_* (\tilde{\pi}_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \tilde{\pi}_2^\varphi(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} (\bar{c}_1 \times \bar{c}_2)_* (\tilde{\pi}_1 \times \tilde{\pi}_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(6) For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $X_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} B_i \xrightarrow{p_i} S_i$  be morphisms of schemes, where  $\pi_i$  is equipped with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure  $(s_i, o_i)$ . Let  $p_{i,*}(X_i, s_i)$  be the  $d$ -critical pushforward which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_{i,*}(X_i, s_i) & \xrightarrow{i} & X_i \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi_i \\ S_i & \xleftarrow{p_i} & B_i. \end{array}$$

Consider the orientation  $p_{i,*}o_i$  of  $p_{i,*}(X_i, s_i)$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^\varphi p_1^\dagger(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi p_2^\dagger(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (p_1^\dagger(-) \boxtimes p_2^\dagger(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (p_1 \times p_2)^\dagger(- \boxtimes -) \\ \downarrow \gamma_{p_1} \boxtimes \gamma_{p_2} & & \downarrow \gamma_{p_1 \times p_2} \\ i_{1,*} \bar{\pi}_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes i_{2,*} \bar{\pi}_2^\varphi(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (i_1 \times i_2)_* (\bar{\pi}_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \bar{\pi}_2^\varphi(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} (i_1 \times i_2)_* (\bar{\pi}_1 \times \bar{\pi}_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -) \end{array}$$

commutes.

(7) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^\varphi \mathbb{D}(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi \mathbb{D}(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (\mathbb{D}(-) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes}} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi (\mathbb{D}(- \boxtimes -)) \\ \downarrow \delta \boxtimes \delta & & \downarrow \delta \\ \mathbb{D}(\pi_1^{\varphi,-}(-)) \boxtimes \mathbb{D}(\pi_2^{\varphi,-}(-)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}^{\mathbb{D}, \boxtimes}} & \mathbb{D}(\pi_1^{\varphi,-}(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^{\varphi,-}(-)) \xleftarrow{\text{TS}} \mathbb{D}((\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^{\varphi,-}(- \boxtimes -)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

If  $R$  is a field,  $\text{TS}$  extends to a natural isomorphism of functors  $\mathbf{D}(B_1) \times \mathbf{D}(B_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X_1 \times X_2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(U_{1,a}, f_{1,a}, u_{1,a})$  and  $(U_{2,a}, f_{2,a}, u_{2,a})$  be critical charts for  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , respectively. Write  $U_{12,a} := U_{1,a} \times U_{2,a}$ ,  $B_{12} := B_1 \times B_2$ , and  $f_{12,a} := f_{1,a} \boxplus f_{2,a}$ , and denote by  $p_{1,a}: U_{1,a} \rightarrow B_1$ ,  $p_{2,a}: U_{2,a} \rightarrow B_2$ , and  $p_{12,a}: U_{12,a} \rightarrow B_{12}$  the projections. Recall that  $(U_{12,a}, f_{12,a}, u_{1,a} \times u_{2,a})$  is a critical chart for  $\pi$ . Identifying  $\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a}) \cong \text{Crit}_{U_{1,a}/B_1}(f_{1,a}) \times \text{Crit}_{U_{2,a}/B_2}(f_{2,a})$ , we define  $\text{TS}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a})}$  as the unique isomorphism which fits into commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_1^\phi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\phi(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a})} & \xrightarrow{(5.23) \boxtimes (5.23)} & (\phi_{f_{1,a}} p_{1,a}^\dagger(-) \boxtimes \phi_{f_{2,a}} p_{2,a}^\dagger(-))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a})} \otimes Q_{U_{1,a}, f_{1,a}, u_{1,a}}^{o_1} \boxtimes Q_{U_{2,a}, f_{2,a}, u_{2,a}}^{o_2} \\ \downarrow \text{TS}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}} & & \downarrow \text{TS} \otimes (4.34) \\ (\pi^\phi(- \boxtimes -))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a})} & \xrightarrow{(5.23)} & (\phi_{f_{12,a}} p_{12,a}^\dagger(- \boxtimes -))|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{12,a}/B_{12}}(f_{12,a})} \otimes Q_{U_{1,a} \times U_{2,a}, f_{1,a} \boxplus f_{2,a}, u_{1,a} \times u_{2,a}}^{o_1 \boxtimes o_2} \end{array}$$

for each  $a$ . The fact that these locally defined isomorphisms glue into the global isomorphism  $\text{TS}$  is shown as in the proof of Proposition 5.17, using Theorem 5.15(11).

The associativity and unitality property of  $\tau$  follows from the corresponding properties of the Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism  $\text{TS}$  for the sheaves of vanishing cycles. The graded-commutativity of  $\tau$  follows from the following facts:

- (1) The Thom–Sebastiani isomorphism  $\text{TS}$  for the sheaves of vanishing cycles is commutative.
- (2) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^*(p_{1,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_1) \boxtimes p_{2,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_2)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \sigma^* p_{12,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ p_{2,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_2) \boxtimes p_{1,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_1) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & p_{21,a}^\dagger(\mathcal{F}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_1) \end{array}$$

commutes up to the sign  $(-1)^{\dim(U_{1,a}/B_1) \dim(U_{2,a}/B_2)} = (-1)^{\deg(o_1) \deg(o_2)}$  due to the presence of the shifts  $[-\dim(U_{i,a}/B_i)]$  in the definition of  $p_{i,a}^\dagger$ .

- (3) The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^*(Q_{U_{1,a}, f_{1,a}, u_{1,a}}^{o_1} \boxtimes Q_{U_{2,a}, f_{2,a}, u_{2,a}}^{o_2}) & \xrightarrow{(4.34)} & \sigma^*(Q_{U_{1,a} \times U_{2,a}, f_{1,a} \boxplus f_{2,a}, u_{1,a} \times u_{2,a}}^{o_1 \boxtimes o_2}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow (4.31) \\ & & Q_{U_{2,a} \times U_{1,a}, f_{2,a} \boxplus f_{1,a}, u_{2,a} \times u_{1,a}}^{\sigma^*(o_1 \boxtimes o_2)} \\ & & \downarrow (4.24) \\ Q_{U_{2,a}, f_{2,a}, u_{2,a}}^{o_2} \boxtimes Q_{U_{1,a}, f_{1,a}, u_{1,a}}^{o_1} & \xrightarrow{(4.34)} & Q_{U_{2,a} \times U_{1,a}, f_{2,a} \boxplus f_{1,a}, u_{2,a} \times u_{1,a}}^{o_2 \boxtimes o_1} \end{array}$$

is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2) & \xrightarrow{o_1, o_2} & \sigma^*(K_{U_{1,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{1,a}/B_1}(f_{1,a})} \boxtimes K_{U_{2,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{2,a}/B_2}(f_{2,a})}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^*(K_{U_{1,a} \times U_{2,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{1,a} \times U_{2,a}/B_1 \times B_2}(f_{1,a} \boxplus f_{2,a})}) \\ \downarrow (4.24) & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathcal{L}_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{o_2, o_1} & K_{U_{2,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{2,a}/B_2}(f_{2,a})} \boxtimes K_{U_{1,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{1,a}/B_1}(f_{1,a})} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{U_{2,a} \times U_{1,a}}|_{\text{Crit}_{U_{2,a} \times U_{1,a}/B_2 \times B_1}(f_{2,a} \boxplus f_{1,a})}, \end{array}$$

which commutes on the nose.

The compatibilities of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  with respect to products follow from the compatibility of the isomorphisms  $\text{Ex}_\phi^!$ ,  $\text{Ex}_*^\phi$  (see Proposition 2.24) as well as the unit  $\text{id} \rightarrow i_* i^*$  for a closed immersion  $i$  with products. The diagram in property (3) in a critical chart reduces to the commutative diagram from Proposition 2.24(4).  $\square$

**5.7. Perverse pullbacks for stacks.** We will now define the operation of perverse pullback along any morphism of higher Artin stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure.

**Theorem 5.24.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of higher Artin stacks locally of finite type equipped with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure. There is an exact **perverse pullback functor***

$$\pi^\varphi: \text{Perv}(B) \longrightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$$

together with the following natural isomorphisms:

(1) *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} & X \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ B' & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

*be a commutative diagram of higher Artin stacks locally of finite type with  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow B' \times_B X$  smooth and the pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $X' \rightarrow B'$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\alpha_{p,\bar{p}}: (\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{p}^\dagger \pi^\varphi,$$

(2) *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B \end{array}$$

*be a Cartesian diagram of higher Artin stacks locally of finite type with  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  finite and the pullback oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure on  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\beta_c: \pi^\varphi c_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi.$$

(3) *Consider morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} S$  of higher Artin stacks locally of finite type, where  $p$  is smooth and  $\pi$  is equipped with a relative  $d$ -critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ . Let  $p_*(X, s)$  be the  $d$ -critical pushforward which fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, s) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

*and which carries the orientation  $p_*o$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\gamma_p: \pi^\varphi p^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} i_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi.$$

(4) *For a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$  of higher Artin stacks locally of finite type equipped with an oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\delta: \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi,-},$$

*where  $\pi^{\varphi,-}$  denotes the perverse pullback with respect to the opposite oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure.*

(5) *For a pair of morphisms  $\pi_1: X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  and  $\pi_2: X_2 \rightarrow B_2$  equipped with oriented relative  $d$ -critical structures with  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  equipped with the product oriented relative  $d$ -critical structure there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{TS}: \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -).$$

*These natural isomorphisms satisfy natural compatibility relations as in Propositions 5.18 to 5.21 and 5.23. Moreover, if  $R$  is a field,  $\pi^\varphi$  (along with the natural isomorphisms  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \text{TS}$  and their compatibility relations) extends to a colimit-preserving  $t$ -exact functor*

$$\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}(B) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(X).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\mathrm{DCrit}(\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})$  the  $\infty$ -category of triples  $(X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  where  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism in  $\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}}$  equipped with a relative d-critical structure  $s$  and an orientation  $o$ . A morphism  $(X_1 \rightarrow B_1, s_1, o_1) \rightarrow (X_2 \rightarrow B_2, s_2, o_2)$  is a morphism  $(X_1 \rightarrow B_1) \rightarrow (X_2 \rightarrow B_2)$  in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}}$  such that  $s_1$  is the pullback of  $s_2$ , together with an isomorphism of orientations  $o_1 \cong (X_1 \rightarrow X_2)^*(o_2)$ . Consider also the full subcategory  $\mathrm{DCrit}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})$  consisting of  $(X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  where  $X$  and  $B$  lie in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ . We consider the functor

$$\Theta: \mathrm{DCrit}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Cat}^{\mathrm{ab}}),$$

where  $\mathrm{Cat}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  is the category of  $R$ -linear abelian categories and  $R$ -linear exact functors, determined by the following data:

- For every  $(\pi: X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  in  $\mathrm{DCrit}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})$ , the perverse pullback functor  $\pi^\varphi: \mathrm{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(X)$  defined in Theorem 5.16.
- For every commutative square in  $\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & B' \\ \downarrow \bar{p} & & \downarrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B \end{array}$$

where  $B' \rightarrow B$  and  $X' \rightarrow X \times_B B'$  are smooth, the commutative square in  $\mathrm{Cat}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Perv}(B) & \xrightarrow{\pi^\varphi} & \mathrm{Perv}(X) \\ \downarrow p^\dagger & & \downarrow \bar{p}^\dagger \\ \mathrm{Perv}(B') & \xrightarrow{\pi'^\varphi} & \mathrm{Perv}(X') \end{array}$$

determined by the invertible natural transformation  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  of Proposition 5.18, where  $\pi'$  is equipped with the pullback relative d-critical structure  $\bar{p}^*(s)$  and orientation  $\bar{p}^*(o)$ .

That this defines a functor is guaranteed by Proposition 5.18(1,2). Since  $\Theta$  satisfies étale descent, it extends uniquely to an étale sheaf

$$\Theta: \mathrm{DCrit}(\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Cat})$$

which by [Kha25, Corollary 3.2.6] is given by the formula (compare (1.4)):

$$\Theta(X \rightarrow B, s, o) = \lim_{(X' \rightarrow B', s', o', p)} \Theta(X' \rightarrow B', s', o'),$$

where the limit is taken over  $(X' \rightarrow B', s', o', p) \in \mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})_{/(X \rightarrow B, s, o)}$  with  $p: (X' \rightarrow B', s', o') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  a morphism in  $\mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})$  and  $(X' \rightarrow B', s', o') \in \mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})$ . As the forgetful functor  $\mathrm{DCrit}^{\mathrm{or}}(\mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})_{/(X \rightarrow B, s, o)} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}})_{/(X \rightarrow B)}$  is cofinal, it can equivalently be taken over  $(X' \rightarrow B', p)$  with  $p: (X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (X \rightarrow B)$  in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}}$  and  $X', B' \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$ .

Unwinding, we have for every morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}}$  and every oriented relative d-critical structure  $(s, o)$  a functor  $\Theta(\pi: X \rightarrow B, s, o)$ , which is by definition the limit of the functors

$$\pi'^\varphi: \mathrm{Perv}(B') \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(X')$$

over morphisms  $p: (\pi': X' \rightarrow B') \rightarrow (\pi: X \rightarrow B)$  in  $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Art}^{\mathrm{ltf}})_{0\mathrm{smooth}, 1\mathrm{smooth}}$  where  $X', B' \in \mathrm{Sch}^{\mathrm{sepft}}$  and  $\pi'$  is equipped with the pullback oriented relative critical structure. A simple cofinality argument shows that we have the natural equivalences

$$\lim_{(X' \rightarrow B', p)} \mathrm{Perv}(B') \cong \mathrm{Perv}(B), \quad \lim_{(X' \rightarrow B', p)} \mathrm{Perv}(X') \cong \mathrm{Perv}(X),$$

under which  $\Theta_{X/B, s, o}$  is identified with a natural functor

$$\pi^\varphi: \mathrm{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Perv}(X).$$

Moreover,  $\Theta$  encodes the (associative) natural isomorphisms  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$  of (1).

The natural isomorphisms  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\mathrm{TS}$  defined for separated schemes of finite type in Propositions 5.19 to 5.21 and 5.23 extend to natural isomorphisms defined for higher Artin stacks locally of finite type using the commutation of  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\tau$  with  $\alpha$ . For example, in the situation of (3) let  $S_0 \rightrightarrows S$ ,  $B_0 \rightrightarrows B \times_S S_0$ ,

and  $X_0 \twoheadrightarrow X \times_B B_0$  be smooth surjections from schemes in  $\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$ , and denote by  $S_\bullet$ ,  $B_\bullet$  and  $X_\bullet$  the respective Čech nerves of  $S_0 \twoheadrightarrow S$ ,  $B_0 \twoheadrightarrow B$ , and  $X_0 \twoheadrightarrow X$ . Denote by  $\pi_\bullet: X_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$  and  $p_\bullet: B_\bullet \rightarrow S_\bullet$  the induced morphisms and by  $(s_\bullet, o_\bullet)$  the induced oriented relative d-critical structures. Note that by Proposition 4.19, the induced morphism  $p_{0,*}(X_0, s_0) \twoheadrightarrow p_*(X, s)$  is smooth surjective and its Čech nerve is identified with the d-critical push-forward  $p_{\bullet,*}(X_\bullet, s_\bullet)$ . Thus, we may conclude by repeatedly applying the following observation: if we are given natural isomorphisms  $\gamma_\bullet: \pi_\bullet^\varphi p_\bullet^\dagger \cong i_{\bullet,*} \bar{\pi}_\bullet^\varphi$  compatible with smooth pullbacks, then by totalization we obtain a natural isomorphism  $\gamma: \pi^\varphi p^\dagger \cong i_* \bar{\pi}^\varphi$  compatible with smooth pullbacks. We first apply this in the case when  $X$ ,  $B$  and  $S$  have schematic diagonal, so that the  $X_\bullet$ ,  $B_\bullet$ , and  $S_\bullet$  are all schemes and we have the  $\gamma_\bullet$  by Proposition 5.20. Next, if  $X$ ,  $B$  and  $S$  are 1-Artin then  $X_\bullet$ ,  $B_\bullet$ , and  $S_\bullet$  are all algebraic spaces (hence a fortiori have schematic diagonal), so we have the  $\gamma_\bullet$  by the previous case. Similarly, the case of  $n$ -Artin stacks reduces to that of  $(n-1)$ -Artin stacks for each  $n > 1$ .

Moreover, using the same commutation with  $\alpha$  the proofs of the compatibility relations between the natural isomorphisms  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and TS for morphisms of higher Artin stacks reduce to the compatibility relations between these natural isomorphisms for morphisms of separated schemes of finite type.

Finally, suppose that  $R$  is a field, so that  $\mathbf{D}(X) \cong \text{Ind}(\mathbf{D}^b(\text{Perv}(X)))$  for any  $X \in \text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}}$  by Proposition 2.9(7). Applying Proposition 2.17,  $\Theta: \text{DCrit}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Cat}^{\text{ab}})$  promotes to a functor

$$\tilde{\Theta}: \text{DCrit}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Pr}_L^{\text{St}, t})$$

valued in the  $\infty$ -category of presentable stable  $R$ -linear  $\infty$ -categories equipped with a t-structure, encoding the t-exact perverse pullbacks  $\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  for  $(\pi: X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  in  $\text{DCrit}(\text{Sch}^{\text{sepft}})$ , together with the natural isomorphisms  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$ , associative up to coherent homotopy. As above, we now apply right Kan extension to obtain a functor

$$\tilde{\Theta}: \text{DCrit}(\text{Art}^{\text{lft}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Pr}_L^{\text{St}, t})$$

encoding the t-exact perverse pullbacks  $\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  for  $(\pi: X \rightarrow B, s, o)$  in  $\text{DCrit}(\text{Art}^{\text{lft}})$ , together with the associative natural isomorphisms  $\alpha_{p, \bar{p}}$ . The compatibilities between  $\alpha$  and the various natural isomorphisms  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and TS can be encoded as invertible 2-morphisms in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Pr}_L^{\text{St}, t})$ , so these also extend to  $\text{Art}^{\text{lft}}$ .  $\square$

## 6. PERVERSE PULLBACKS FOR EXACT $(-1)$ -SHIFTED SYMPLECTIC FIBRATIONS

In this section we translate the construction of perverse pullbacks from the setting of morphisms of algebraic stacks equipped with a relative d-critical structure to the setting of morphisms of derived algebraic stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure.

**6.1. D-critical and shifted symplectic structures.** The main source of relative d-critical structures is given by the theory of shifted symplectic structures [Pan+13]. Namely, given a morphism of derived stacks  $X \rightarrow B$  the references [Pan+13; Cal+17; CHS25; Par24] define the spaces  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n)$  ( $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)$ ) of relative closed (exact) two-forms of degree  $n$  using the cotangent complex of derived stacks together with a natural morphism  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n)$  and a forgetful map  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^2(X/B, n)$  to the space of two-forms of degree  $n$ .

When  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism of derived stacks with a perfect cotangent complex (e.g. an lfp geometric morphism), an (exact)  $n$ -shifted symplectic structure is an element  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n)$  ( $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)$ ) such that the underlying 2-form induces an isomorphism  $\omega: \mathbb{L}_{X/B}^\vee \cong \mathbb{L}_{X/B}[n]$ . We have the following natural source of exact  $n$ -shifted symplectic structures.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of derived stacks together with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action on  $X$  such that  $\pi$  is invariant. Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$  be an integer invertible in  $k$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)(w)$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n)(w)$  be the spaces of exact and closed relative  $n$ -shifted two-forms on  $X \rightarrow B$  of weight  $w$  with respect to the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action. Then the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, n)(w) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{cl}}(X/B, n)(w)$$

*is an isomorphism. In particular, every  $n$ -shifted symplectic structure of weight  $w$  is canonically exact.*

*Proof.* We freely use the notation from [Pan+13; Cal+17]. Recall that for a morphism of derived stacks  $X \rightarrow B$  one has the relative de Rham complex

$$\mathrm{DR}_B(X) \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{QCoh}(B)^{\mathrm{gr}, \epsilon}),$$

a graded mixed commutative algebra in  $\mathrm{QCoh}(B)$  defined as in [CHS25, Section B.11] and [Par24]. Let

$$\mathrm{DR}(X/B) = \Gamma(B, \mathrm{DR}_B(X)) \in \mathrm{CAlg}_k^{\mathrm{gr}, \epsilon}$$

be the underlying graded mixed cdga over  $k$ .

If  $X$  is equipped with a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action, let  $\bar{X} = [X/\mathbb{G}_m]$  and denote by

$$\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) = \Gamma(B \times B\mathbb{G}_m, \mathrm{DR}_{B \times B\mathbb{G}_m}(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-w))$$

the graded mixed complex of forms of weight  $w$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(-w)$  is the line bundle on  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  corresponding to the one-dimensional  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -representation of weight  $-w$ . We claim that under the assumptions the complex  $|\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w)| \in \mathrm{Mod}_k$  is zero.

By construction the functor  $\mathrm{DR}_{B \times B\mathbb{G}_m}(-)$  sends colimits of stacks over  $B \times B\mathbb{G}_m$  to limits. Thus, the claim is reduced to the case  $X$  is affine. The action map  $a: \mathbb{G}_m \times X \rightarrow X$  gives rise to the coaction map

$$a^*: \mathrm{DR}(X/B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}(X \times \mathbb{G}_m/B) \cong \mathrm{DR}(X/B) \otimes \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m),$$

where the last isomorphism is the Künneth isomorphism. The action map  $a$  is  $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant, where on the left we act by the respective copy of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on the corresponding factor and on the right the two copies of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  act in the same way on  $X$ . Therefore, the coaction map restricts to a morphism

$$a^*: \mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) \otimes \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w).$$

If we denote the standard coordinate on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  by  $z$ , then  $\mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w)$  is spanned by  $z^w$  and  $z^{w-1}dz$ . But then  $|\mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w)| = 0$  since the de Rham differential is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$|\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) \otimes \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w)| \cong |\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w)| \otimes |\mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w)| = 0.$$

Let  $\epsilon: \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w) \rightarrow k$  be the counit map given by  $z^w \mapsto 1$  and  $dz \mapsto 0$ . The composite

$$\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) \xrightarrow{a^*} \mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w) \otimes \mathrm{DR}(\mathbb{G}_m)(w) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon} \mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w)$$

is equivalent to the identity. Therefore,  $|\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w)|$  is a retract of the zero object and hence it is the zero object itself. But since  $\mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{ex}}(X/B, n)(w) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{cl}}(X/B, n)(w)$  is the fiber of

$$\mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{cl}}(X/B, n)(w) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0, \mathrm{cl}}(X/B, n+2)(w) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_k}(k[n+2], |\mathrm{DR}(X/B)(w)|)$$

at the zero form, the claim follows.  $\square$

Given a derived stack  $B$ , consider the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathrm{Symp}_{B, -1}^{\mathrm{ex}}$  whose objects are lfp geometric morphisms  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega$ , and whose morphisms  $(X_1, \omega_1) \dashrightarrow (X_2, \omega_2)$  are exact Lagrangian correspondences  $X_2 \leftarrow L \rightarrow X_1$ . Given an lfp geometric morphism  $p: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ , it is shown in [Par24, Theorem A] that the base change functor  $p^*: \mathrm{Symp}_{B_2, -1}^{\mathrm{ex}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Symp}_{B_1, -1}^{\mathrm{ex}}$  admits a right adjoint  $p_*$ . Given  $(X, \omega) \in \mathrm{Symp}_{B_1, -1}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ , we call

$$p_*(X, \omega) \in \mathrm{Symp}_{B_2, -1}^{\mathrm{ex}}$$

the **symplectic pushforward** of  $(X, \omega)$ . It can be described explicitly as the zero locus of the *moment map*, a canonical Lagrangian  $\mu_X: X \rightarrow T^*(B_1/B_2)$  (see [Par24, Proposition 2.3.1]).

**Example 6.2.** Let  $g: Y \rightarrow B$  be an lfp geometric morphism between derived stacks and  $f$  a function on  $Y$ . As explained in [Par24, Eq. (13)], the function  $f$  determines a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on the identity map  $Y \rightarrow Y$ , which we also denote by  $f$ . The **derived relative critical locus** of  $f$  is its symplectic pushforward

$$(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f) \rightarrow B) := g_*(Y, f).$$

When  $g$  is a smooth geometric morphism between classical stacks,  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Crit}_{Y/B}(f)$  is a derived enhancement of the relative critical locus defined in Definition 1.10. When  $f$  is zero, the derived critical locus recovers the  $(-1)$ -shifted cotangent stack  $T^*[-1](Y/B)$ .

Any morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  of derived stacks induces on classical truncations a morphism  $X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$  fitting in a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\text{cl}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^{\text{cl}} & \longrightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

In particular, we obtain a pullback morphism  $\mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}}, n)$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an lfp geometric morphism of derived stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$ . Let  $i: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion of the classical truncation. Then  $i^*\omega$  is a relative d-critical structure on  $X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first prove the claim when the base  $B$  is a classical affine scheme. By [Par24, Corollary 4.2.2], there exists an LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$  and a  $(-1)$ -shifted exact Lagrangian correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ a \swarrow & & \searrow b \\ \mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & & X, \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  is the derived critical locus,  $a^{\text{cl}}$  is an isomorphism and  $b$  is smooth surjective. In particular, we have a smooth surjective morphism  $b^{\text{cl}} \circ (a^{\text{cl}})^{-1}: \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}$  such that

$$(b^{\text{cl}} \circ (a^{\text{cl}})^{-1})^*(i^*(\omega)) \cong s_f \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B, -1).$$

By Proposition 4.13(2),  $i^*\omega$  is a d-critical structure.

For a general derived stack  $B$  we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X^{\text{cl}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \longrightarrow & B^{\text{cl}} & \longrightarrow & B, \end{array}$$

where  $X' \rightarrow B'$  is a morphism of classical schemes and  $X' \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}} \times_{B^{\text{cl}}} B'$  is smooth, where we consider the fiber product in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Stk}$ . We have to prove that the pullback of  $\omega$  to  $X' \rightarrow B'$  defines a relative d-critical structure. By Proposition 4.13(2) it is enough to prove the claim when  $B'$  is a classical affine scheme. By the previous argument we know that the pullback of  $\omega$  to  $(X \times_B^{\mathbb{R}} B')^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B'$  defines a relative d-critical structure, where  $X \times_B^{\mathbb{R}} B'$  is the fiber product in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{dStk}$ . But  $X' \rightarrow (X \times_B^{\mathbb{R}} B')^{\text{cl}} \cong X^{\text{cl}} \times_{B^{\text{cl}}} B'$  is smooth by assumption, so by Corollary 4.8(1) the pullback of  $\omega$  to  $X' \rightarrow B'$  defines a relative d-critical structure.  $\square$

We will next discuss orientations of such relative d-critical structures by describing the relative canonical bundle  $K_{X/B} = \det(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$ . For a morphism of derived stacks  $X \rightarrow B$  equipped with a relative  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure and a point  $x \in X$  we construct an isomorphism

$$\kappa_x^{\text{der}}: K_{X/B, x} \cong \det(\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x})^{\otimes 2}$$

by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} K_{X/B, x} &\cong \det(\tau^{\leq -1}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x}) \otimes \det(\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x}) \cong \det((\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x})^\vee[1]) \otimes \det(\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x}) \\ &\cong \det(\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x})^{\otimes 2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\tau^{\leq -1}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/B, x} \longrightarrow \tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x}$$

and the second isomorphism is induced by  $(-\cdot\omega)^{-1}|_x: \tau^{\leq -1}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x} \cong (\tau^{\geq 0}\mathbb{L}_{X/B, x})^\vee[1]$ .

**Example 6.4.** Let  $B$  be a scheme and  $(U, f)$  an LG pair over  $B$ . Let  $X = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$  be the derived critical locus which carries a relative  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure. We have a fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{L}_{U/B}|_X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/B} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/U}$$

and an isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{X/U} \cong \mathbb{L}_{U/T^*(U/B)}|_X \cong \mathbb{L}_{U/B}^\vee[1]|_X$ , hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Lambda_{(U,f)}: K_{X/B}|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \cong K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2}|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}}.$$

For  $x \in X$  the diagram

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,x} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{(U,f)}|_x} & K_{U/B}^{\otimes 2} \\ \downarrow \kappa_x^{\mathrm{der}} & & \downarrow \kappa_x \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x})^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \det(\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{cl}}/B}^1, x)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes up to the sign  $(-1)^{\dim \Omega_{X/B,x}^1}$ . This follows from the commutativity of the diagram [KPS24, (3.25)] together with the discrepancy of the sign convention for  $\kappa_x$  explained in Remark 3.34.

Next we describe the behavior of the canonical bundle with respect to Lagrangian correspondences. Consider a Lagrangian correspondence  $Y \xleftarrow{q_Y} L \xrightarrow{q_X} X$  of relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic stacks over  $B$ . As in [KPS24, (3.5)] we have a natural isomorphism

$$(6.2) \quad \Upsilon_{(q_X, q_Y)}^{\mathrm{der}}: K_{X/B}|_L \otimes K_{L/X}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{Y/B}|_L.$$

Explicitly, this isomorphism is constructed as follows. First, there is an obvious isomorphism

$$K_{X/B}|_L \otimes K_{L/X} \otimes K_{L/Y}^\vee \cong K_{Y/B}|_L.$$

On the other hand, the Lagrangian structure induces an isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{L/X}^\vee[1] \cong \mathbb{L}_{L/Y}[-1]$ , which in turn induces  $K_{L/X} \cong K_{L/Y}^\vee$ , and hence we obtain the desired isomorphism. Note that if  $q_X: L \rightarrow X$  is smooth, using the isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{L/X}^\vee \cong \mathbb{L}_{L/Y}[-2]$ , we get that  $\mathbb{L}_{L/Y}$  is 2-connective. The following statement for  $Y$  and  $L$  schemes and  $q_X$  schematic in [Ben+15, Theorem 3.18(b)] and [Kin22, Theorem 4.9] (see also [KPS24, Proposition 6.9] for a closely related statement). The proofs use the standard commutative diagrams involving the isomorphisms  $i(\Delta)$  and work verbatim for higher Artin stacks.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $B$  be a scheme and  $Y \xleftarrow{q_Y} L \xrightarrow{q_X} X$  be a Lagrangian correspondence of relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic stacks over  $B$ . Assume that  $q_X$  is smooth. For a point  $l \in L$  the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B, q_X(l)} \otimes K_{L/X, l}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{(q_X, q_Y)}^{\mathrm{der}}|_l} & K_{Y/B, q_Y(l)} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{q_X(l)}^{\mathrm{der}} \otimes \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \kappa_{q_Y(l)}^{\mathrm{der}} \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B, q_X(l)})^{\otimes 2} \otimes K_{L/X, l}^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i(\Delta)^2} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{Y/B, q_Y(l)})^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

commutes up to the sign  $(-1)^{\dim(L/X)}$ , where the bottom isomorphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\Delta: \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B, q_X(l)} \longrightarrow \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{L/B, l} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{L/X, l}$$

as well as the isomorphism  $q_Y^*: \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{Y/B, q_Y(l)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{L/B, l}$ .

We are now ready to compare the virtual canonical bundles of a relative d-critical locus and its derived enhancement.

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $X \rightarrow B$  be an lfp geometric morphism of derived stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \mathrm{ex}}(X/B, -1)$ . Let  $i: X^{\mathrm{cl}} \rightarrow X$  be the embedding of the classical truncation. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_X: K_{X/B}|_{X^{\mathrm{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X^{\mathrm{cl}}/B}^{\mathrm{vir}}$$

such that for every  $x \in X$  the diagram

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,x} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_X|_x} & K_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}},x}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_x^{\text{der}} & & \downarrow \kappa_x \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,x})^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}},x}) \end{array}$$

commutes up to the sign  $(-1)^{\text{rk}(\Omega_{X/B,x}^1)}$ .

*Proof.* (6.3) uniquely determines the isomorphism  $\Lambda_X$  if it exists. The isomorphism  $\kappa_x^{\text{der}}$  is natural with respect to base change since it involves the isomorphisms  $i(\Delta)$  which are natural for isomorphisms. The isomorphism  $\kappa_x$  is natural with respect to base change by Proposition 4.14(4). Therefore, it is enough to establish the existence of the isomorphism  $\Lambda_X$  fitting into a commutative diagram (6.3) for  $B$  a classical affine scheme.

As in Theorem 6.3 we may find an LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$  and a  $(-1)$ -shifted exact Lagrangian correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & L & \\ a \swarrow & & \searrow b \\ \mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) & & X, \end{array}$$

$a^{\text{cl}}$  is an isomorphism and  $b$  is smooth surjective. In particular, we get a smooth surjective morphism  $c: R = \text{Crit}_{U/B}(f) \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}$  under which  $c^*i^*\omega = s_f$  and such that the restriction  $i_L^*: \mathbb{L}_{L/X} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{R/X^{\text{cl}}}$  is an isomorphism. Consider the unique isomorphism

$$\Lambda_{X,U}: K_{X/B}|_{R^{\text{red}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X^{\text{cl}}/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{R^{\text{red}}}$$

which fits into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}|_{R^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{L/X}^{\otimes 2}|_{R^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{(b,a)}^{\text{der}}} & K_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)/B}|_{R^{\text{red}}} \\ \downarrow \Lambda_{X,U} \otimes i_L^* & & \downarrow \Lambda_{(U,f)} \\ K_{X^{\text{cl}}/B}^{\text{vir}}|_{R^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{R/X^{\text{cl}}}^{\otimes 2}|_{R^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{R \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}}} & K_{R/B}^{\text{vir}} \end{array}$$

Using the commutative diagram (up to sign) (6.1), the compatibility of  $\kappa^{\text{der}}$  with  $\Upsilon^{\text{der}}$  given by Lemma 6.5 and the compatibility of  $\kappa$  with  $\Upsilon$  given by Proposition 4.14(4), for every  $r \in R$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B,c(r)} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{X,U}|_r} & K_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}},c(r)}^{\text{vir}} \\ \downarrow \kappa_{c(r)}^{\text{der}} & & \downarrow \kappa_{c(r)} \\ \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X/B,c(r)})^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \det(\tau^{\geq 0} \mathbb{L}_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}},c(r)}) \end{array}$$

commutes up to the sign  $(-1)^{\text{rk}(\Omega_{X/B,x})}$ . Therefore,  $\Lambda_{X,U}$  descends along  $c$  to an isomorphism  $\Lambda_X$  independent of choices.  $\square$

We also note that the symplectic pushforward in [Par24] is compatible with the d-critical pushforward along *smooth* morphisms.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Consider lfp geometric morphisms of derived stacks  $X \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{p} B_2$ , where  $p$  is smooth, equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B_1, -1)$ . Then we have a canonical isomorphism  $p_*(X, \omega)^{\text{cl}} \cong p_*^{\text{cl}}(X^{\text{cl}}, i^*\omega)$  compatibly with the relative d-critical structures over  $B_2^{\text{cl}}$ .*

We now describe the behavior of the canonical bundle under the symplectic pushforward.

**Proposition 6.8.** Consider lfp geometric morphisms of derived stacks  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $\pi$  is equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$ . Let  $\bar{\pi}: p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow S$  be the symplectic pushforward, which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.4) \quad \Sigma_p^{\text{der}}: K_{X/B}|_R \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{S/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R \cong K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S},$$

which satisfies the following:

- (1) It is functorial for compositions:  $\Sigma_{\text{id}}^{\text{der}} = \text{id}$  and given another morphism  $q: S \rightarrow T$  with  $R = (q \circ p)_*(X, \omega)$  the diagram

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}|_R \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_R \otimes K_{S/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i(\Delta)^2} & K_{X/B}|_R \otimes K_{B/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R \\ \downarrow \Sigma_p^{\text{der}} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow \Sigma_{q \circ p}^{\text{der}} \\ K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S}|_R \otimes K_{S/T}^{\otimes 2}|_R & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_q^{\text{der}}} & K_{(q \circ p)_*(X, \omega)/R} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top horizontal morphism is induced by the fiber sequence

$$\Delta: p^* \mathbb{L}_{S/T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/S}.$$

- (2) Consider a commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{p'} & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow a_1 & & \downarrow a_2 \\ X & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

with all morphisms lfp, the left square being Cartesian, equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$  and let  $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X'/B', -1)$  be the base change. Assume that the map  $B' \rightarrow B \times_S S'$  is smooth. We set  $R' := p'_*(X', \omega')^{\text{cl}}$ . Then the diagram

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p^{\text{der}} \otimes \text{id}} & K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ K_{X'/B'}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & & K_{p_*(X, \omega) \times_S S'/S'}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/B \times_S S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes i(\Delta) & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{(t, s)}^{\text{der}} \\ K_{X'/B'}|_{R'} \otimes K_{B'/S'}^{\otimes 2}|_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{p'}^{\text{der}}} & K_{p'_*(X', \omega')/S'}|_{R'} \end{array}$$

commutes, where

$$\Delta: \mathbb{L}_{B/S}|_{B'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B'/S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B'/B \times_S S'}$$

and

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & X' \times_{T^*(B/S)} B & \\ s \swarrow & & \searrow t \\ p'_*(X', \omega') & & p_*(X, \omega) \times_S S' \end{array}$$

is the Lagrangian correspondence given by the Beck–Chevalley map.

(3) Assume that we are given an exact Lagrangian correspondence  $(Y, \omega') \xleftarrow{q_Y} L \xrightarrow{q_X} (X, \omega)$  over  $B$ , where  $q_X$  is a smooth morphism. We let  $p_*(Y, \omega') \xleftarrow{q_{p_*(Y, \omega')}} p_* L \xrightarrow{q_{p_*(X, \omega)}} (X, \omega)$  denote the induced Lagrangian correspondence. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}|_{p_* L} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_* L} \otimes K_{L/X}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_* L} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}} & K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S}|_{p_* L} \otimes K_{p_* L/p_*(X, \omega)}^{\otimes 2} \\ \downarrow \Upsilon_{(q_X, q_Y)}^{\text{der}} & & \downarrow \Upsilon_{(q_{p_*}(X, \omega), q_{p_*}(Y, \omega'))}^{\text{der}} \\ K_{Y/B}|_{p_* L} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_* L} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}} & K_{p_*(Y, \omega')/S}|_{p_* L}. \end{array}$$

(4) Assume that  $p$  is smooth and let  $s$  be the underlying  $d$ -critical structure on  $p^{\text{cl}}: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$ . Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{X/B}|_{p_*(X, \omega)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, \omega)^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}} & K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)^{\text{red}}} \\ \downarrow \Lambda_X & & \downarrow \Lambda_{p_*(X, \omega)} \\ K_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}}}|_{p_*^{\text{cl}}(X^{\text{cl}}, s)^{\text{red}}} \otimes K_{B^{\text{cl}}/S^{\text{cl}}}|_{p_*^{\text{cl}}(X^{\text{cl}}, s)^{\text{red}}} & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{p^{\text{cl}}}} & K_{p_*^{\text{cl}}(X^{\text{cl}}, s)/S^{\text{cl}}}|_{p_*^{\text{cl}}(X^{\text{cl}}, s)^{\text{red}}}^{\text{vir}}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We first construct the isomorphism (6.4). Recall from [Par24, Proposition 2.3.1] that the stack  $p_*(X, \omega)$  fits into the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, \omega) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{0} & T^*(B/S). \end{array}$$

In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{L}_{p_*(X, \omega)/X} \cong \mathbb{L}_{B/T^*(B/S)}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \cong \mathbb{L}_{B/S}^{\vee}[1]|_{p_*(X, \omega)}.$$

Therefore we obtain a fiber sequence

$$\Delta: \mathbb{L}_{X/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{p_*(X, \omega)/S} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{B/S}^{\vee}[1]|_{p_*(X, \omega)}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (6.9) \quad K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S} & \xrightarrow[\cong]{i(\Delta)} K_{X/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{L}_{B/S}^{\vee}[1])|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \\ & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{id} \otimes \theta_{\mathbb{L}_{B/S}^{\vee}}} K_{X/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \otimes \det(\mathbb{L}_{B/S}^{\vee})^{\vee}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \\ & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{id} \otimes \iota_{\mathbb{L}_{B/S}}} K_{X/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \otimes K_{B/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the fiber sequence

$$\Delta': \mathbb{L}_{B/S}|_X \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{X/B}.$$

It induces an isomorphism

$$(6.10) \quad i(\Delta): K_{X/S} \cong K_{B/S}|_X \otimes K_{X/B}.$$

Combining (6.9) and (6.10), we obtain the desired isomorphism.

The property (1) is obvious from the construction. The property (2) is obvious when the right square in the diagram (6.6) is Cartesian. Therefore it is enough to prove the case when  $p$  and  $a_2$  are identity maps. Since we have  $p'_*(X \times_B B', \omega|_{X \times_B B'}) = a_{1,*}(X \times_B B', \omega|_{X \times_B B'}) = (X, \omega) \times T^*[-1](B/S)$ , we may further assume that  $X = B$ . In this case, the correspondence (6.8) is identified with the following Lagrangian correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B' & \\ 0 \swarrow & & \searrow a_1 \\ T^*[-1](B'/B) & & B \end{array}$$

given by the zero section. The map  $\mathbb{L}_{B'/B}^\vee[1] \cong \mathbb{L}_{B'/T^*[-1](B'/B)}[-1]$  induced from the Lagrangian correspondence is equivalent to the natural map. In particular, the isomorphism  $\Upsilon_{(a_1,0)}^{\text{der}}$  is identified with the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} K_{T^*[-1](B'/B)/B}|_{B'} &\cong K_{B'/B} \otimes K_{B'/T^*[-1](B'/B)}^\vee \cong K_{B'/B} \otimes \det(\mathbb{L}_{B'/T^*[-1](B'/B)}[-1]) \\ &\cong K_{B'/B} \otimes \det(\mathbb{L}_{B'/B}^\vee[1]) \cong K_{B'/B}^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}$  is identified with the isomorphism

$$K_{B'/B}^{\otimes 2} \cong K_{B'/B} \otimes K_{T^*[-1](B'/B)/B}|_{B'} \cong K_{T^*[-1](B'/B)/B'}|_{B'},$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by the isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{T^*[-1](B'/B)/B'}|_{B'} \cong \mathbb{L}_{B'/T^*}(B'/B) \cong \mathbb{L}_{B'/B}^\vee[1]$ . Therefore we obtain the commutativity of the diagram (6.6).

The property (3) is obvious from the construction. To prove the property (4), using (2) and Proposition 4.21(2), we may assume that  $B$  and  $S$  are classical affine schemes. Further, using (3), Proposition 4.21(3) and [Par24, Corollary 4.2.2], we may assume that there exists a LG pair  $(U, f)$  over  $B$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/B}(f)$ . Using the functoriality of the isomorphism  $\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}$ , we may assume  $X = B = U$  and the relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure is induced from  $f$ . In this case, we have  $p_*(X, \omega) = \mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)$  and the isomorphism  $\Sigma_p^{\text{der}}$  is identified with the isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)/S} \cong K_{U/S}|_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)} \otimes K_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)/U} \cong K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)}$$

where the latter isomorphism is induced from the isomorphism  $\mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)/U} \cong \mathbb{L}_{U/T^*}(U/S)|_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)} \cong \mathbb{L}_{U/S}^\vee[-1]$ . On the other hand,  $\Sigma_{p^{\text{cl}}}$  is given by the natural isomorphism  $K_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)/S}^{\text{vir}} \cong K_{U/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)}^{\text{red}}$ . By the construction of the map  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{U/S}(f)}$ , we obtain the desired claim.  $\square$

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be an lfp geometric morphism of derived stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega$ . An **orientation** of  $(\pi: X \rightarrow B, \omega)$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  consisting of a graded line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  together with an isomorphism  $o: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{X/B} = \det(\mathbb{L}_{X/B})$ .

By Theorem 6.3 the induced morphism on classical truncations  $\pi^{\text{cl}}: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$  inherits a canonical relative d-critical structure, and Proposition 6.6 implies that an orientation  $o$  of the relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on  $X \rightarrow B$  naturally induces an orientation  $o^{\text{cl}}$  of the relative d-critical structure on  $X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$ .

In the setting of Proposition 6.8, suppose that we are given an orientation  $(\mathcal{L}, o)$  for  $(X \rightarrow B, \omega)$ . Then we define an orientation  $p_*o$  on  $p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow S$  as the composite

$$p_*o: (\mathcal{L}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \otimes K_{B/S}|_{p_*(X, \omega)})^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow[\sim]{o \otimes \text{id}} K_{X/B}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \otimes K_{B/S}^{\otimes 2}|_{p_*(X, \omega)} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Sigma_p} K_{p_*(X, \omega)/S}.$$

As a special case, when  $X = B$  and  $\omega$  is induced from a function  $f$  on  $X$ , we equip  $\pi: X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  with the obvious orientation  $o_{X/X}^{\text{can}}: \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes 2} \cong K_{X/X}$  and define the **canonical orientation**  $o_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{X/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}} := p_*o_{X/X}^{\text{can}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}(f) = p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow B$ . As a special case when  $f = 0$ , we obtain a canonical orientation on  $o_{T^*[-1](X/B)/B}^{\text{can}}$  on the  $(-1)$ -shifted cotangent stack  $T^*[-1](X/B) \rightarrow B$ . When  $X$  is smooth over  $B$ , it is clear from the construction that the canonical orientation of the derived critical locus is compatible with that canonical orientation of the classical critical locus with the natural d-critical structure. Namely, there exists natural isomorphism

$$(o_{\mathbb{R}\text{Crit}_{X/B}(f)/B}^{\text{can}})^{\text{cl}} \cong o_{\text{Crit}_{X^{\text{cl}}/B^{\text{cl}}}(f)/B^{\text{cl}}}^{\text{can}}.$$

**6.2. Perverse pullbacks.** It is useful to express perverse pullbacks constructed in Theorem 5.24 in the language of shifted symplectic geometry. For this, let  $R$  be a commutative ring and for a derived stack  $X$  define  $\text{Shv}(X; R) = \text{Shv}(X^{\text{cl}}; R)$  and similarly for  $\mathbf{D}(-)$ ,  $\text{Perv}(-)$ . Let  $X \rightarrow B$  denote an lfp morphism between derived Artin stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$  and an orientation  $o$ . Then Proposition 6.6 implies that the morphism induced on the classical truncations  $X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$  is naturally equipped with an relative exact d-critical structure, and inherits an orientation  $o^{\text{cl}}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 5.24 we obtain a perverse pullback functor

$$\pi^\varphi: \text{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X).$$

When  $R$  is a field, it extends to a functor  $\pi^\varphi: \mathbf{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ . The perverse pullback functor for morphisms with oriented relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structures satisfies the following properties, as a direct consequence of the corresponding properties in the d-critical setting (Theorem 5.24):

- (1) Assume that we are given a finite morphism  $c: \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  and form the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{B} & \xrightarrow{c} & B. \end{array}$$

Equip  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  with the pullback relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure and orientation. Then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$(6.11) \quad \beta_c: \pi^\varphi c_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{c}_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi.$$

- (2) Assume that we are given a smooth morphism  $p: B \rightarrow S$ . Let  $p_*(X, \omega)$  be the symplectic pushforward which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

Equip  $p_*(X, \omega)$  with the pushforward orientation  $p_*o$ . Then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$(6.12) \quad \gamma_p: \pi^\varphi p^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} i_* \tilde{\pi}^\varphi.$$

- (3) Let  $\bar{o}$  be the orientation for  $(X \rightarrow B, -\omega)$  defined in a similar manner as (4.20). We let  $\pi^{\varphi, -}$  denote the perverse pullback for  $(X \rightarrow B, -\omega)$  with respect to  $\bar{o}$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\delta: \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi, -}.$$

- (4) Assume that we are given lfp morphisms between derived Artin stacks  $\pi_i: X_i \rightarrow B_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  equipped with relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structures  $\omega_i \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X_i/B_i, -1)$  and orientations  $o_i$ . Equip  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  with the relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega_1 \boxplus \omega_2$  and orientation  $o_1 \boxtimes o_2$ . Then there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\text{TS: } \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi(- \boxtimes -).$$

The isomorphism  $\alpha$  constructed for perverse pullbacks along morphisms equipped with a relative d-critical structure has the following meaning in terms of shifted symplectic structures.

**Proposition 6.10.** *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  be an lfp morphism of derived Artin stacks equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure and orientation. Let  $p: B' \rightarrow B$  be a smooth geometric morphism,  $\pi': X' \rightarrow B'$  an lfp morphism of derived Artin stacks equipped with a  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure,*

$$X' \xleftarrow{q'} L \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} X \times_B B'$$

*a Lagrangian correspondence over  $B'$  with  $\tilde{q}$  smooth, and  $q := \text{pr}_1 \circ \tilde{q}: L \rightarrow X$  the composite. Regard  $\pi'$  with the induced orientation (6.2). Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$(6.13) \quad \alpha_{p, (q, q')}: (\pi')^\varphi p^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} q'_* q^\dagger \pi^\varphi$$

*of functors  $\text{Perv}(B) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X')$ .*

*Proof.* On classical truncations,  $q$  induces a smooth morphism  $f: (X')^{\text{cl}} \cong L^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow (X \times_B B')^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X^{\text{cl}}$ . Consider the relative d-critical structures  $s$  and  $s'$  on  $\pi^{\text{cl}}: X^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow B^{\text{cl}}$  and  $\pi'^{\text{cl}}: (X')^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow (B')^{\text{cl}}$  induced by the relative  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structures on  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  (see Theorem 6.3). Using the given Lagrangian correspondence, we obtain  $f^*(s_2) = s_1$ . Applying Theorem 5.24(1) thus yields the isomorphism  $(\pi'^{\text{cl}})^\varphi p^\dagger \cong f^\dagger(\pi^{\text{cl}})^\varphi$ . Translating from the language of relative d-critical structures to the language of relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structures, this becomes the isomorphism asserted.  $\square$

**Remark 6.11.** When  $p = \text{id}$  (resp.  $(q, q') = (\text{id}, \text{id})$ ), we will write  $\alpha_{(q, q')} := \alpha_{p, (q, q')}$  (resp.  $\alpha_p := \alpha_{p, (q, q')}$ ).

While we have defined d-critical pushforwards only along smooth morphisms, symplectic pushforwards are defined along arbitrary lfp geometric morphisms. We have the following additional compatibility between perverse pullbacks and symplectic pushforwards with respect to closed immersions.

**Proposition 6.12.** *Suppose given lfp morphisms of derived Artin stacks  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{p} S$ , where  $p$  is a closed immersion and  $\pi$  is equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X/B, -1)$ . Let  $\bar{\pi}: p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow S$  be the symplectic pushforward, which fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ S & \xleftarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

Assume further that  $\pi: X \rightarrow B$  is equipped with an orientation  $o$ , and equip  $\bar{\pi}: p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow S$  with the orientation  $p_*o$ . Then  $r$  is smooth, and there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.14) \quad \varepsilon_p: \bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* \xrightarrow{\sim} r^\dagger \pi^\varphi,$$

functorial for compositions in  $p$ . Moreover, we have the following compatibilities between  $\varepsilon_p$  and the isomorphisms  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , and TS of Theorem 5.24:

- (1) Let  $h: S' \rightarrow S$  be a smooth morphism and set  $B' := B \times_S S'$  with projections  $h': B' \rightarrow B$  and  $p': B' \rightarrow S'$ . Let  $\pi': X' \rightarrow B'$  be an lfp geometric morphism equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega'$ , and

$$X' \xleftarrow{q'} L \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} X \times_B B'$$

a Lagrangian correspondence over  $B'$  with  $\bar{q}$  smooth. Form the symplectic pushforward  $S' \xleftarrow{\bar{\pi}'} p'_*(X', \omega') \xrightarrow{r'} X'$  and consider the induced Lagrangian correspondence

$$p'_*(X', \omega') \xleftarrow{\bar{q}'} \bar{L} \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} p'_*(X \times_B B', h^*\omega) \cong p_*(X, \omega) \times_S S'.$$

Then  $\bar{q}$  is smooth, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{\pi}')^\varphi p'_*(h')^\dagger & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & (\bar{\pi}')^\varphi h^\dagger p_* \xrightarrow{\alpha_{h, (\bar{q}, \bar{q}')}} \bar{q}'_* \bar{q}^\dagger \bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* \\ \varepsilon_{p'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_p \\ (r')^\dagger (\pi')^\varphi (h')^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{h', (q, q')}} & (r')^\dagger q'_* q^\dagger \pi^\varphi \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} \bar{q}'_* \bar{q}^\dagger r^\dagger \pi^\varphi, \end{array}$$

where  $q := \text{pr}_1 \circ \bar{q}: L \rightarrow X$ ,  $\bar{q} := \text{pr}_1 \circ \bar{q}: \bar{L} \rightarrow p_*(X, \omega)$ , and  $\alpha$  is as in Proposition 6.10.

- (2) Assume that we are given a finite morphism from a derived Artin stack  $c_2: S' \rightarrow S$ ,  $c_1: B' \rightarrow B$ ,  $p': S' \rightarrow B'$  and form the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' \times_{T^*(B/S)} B & & & & \\ \downarrow i & \searrow h & & & \\ p_*(X, \omega) \times_S S' & & p'_*(X', \omega') & \xrightarrow{r'} & X' \\ \downarrow c'_2 & \searrow \bar{\pi} \times \text{id}_{S'} & \downarrow \bar{\pi}' & \swarrow q & \downarrow \pi' \\ p_*(X, \omega) & & X & \xrightarrow{r} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi} & \searrow & \downarrow \pi & \swarrow p' & \downarrow \\ S & \xleftarrow{c_2} & S' & \xleftarrow{p} & B' \\ & & & \swarrow c_1 & \\ & & & B & \end{array}$$

Here, the right square is Cartesian,  $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}^{2,\text{ex}}(X'/B', -1)$  is the restriction of  $\omega$ , the front and back squares are symplectic pushforward squares,  $c'_2$  is the base change of  $c_2$ , and  $i$  and  $h$  are the

natural morphisms. Then  $h$  is smooth,  $i$  induces an isomorphism on the classical truncation and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* c_{1,*} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{\pi}^\varphi c_{2,*} p'_* & \xrightarrow{\beta_{c_2}} & c'_{2,*} (\bar{\pi} \times_S \text{id}_{S'})^\varphi p'_* & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{(h,i)}} & c'_{2,*} i_* h^\dagger(\bar{\pi}')^\varphi p'_* \\
\downarrow \varepsilon_p & & & & & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{p'} \\
r^\dagger \pi^\varphi c_{1,*} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{c_1}} & r^\dagger q_*(\pi')^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & c'_{2,*} i_* h^\dagger(r')^\dagger(\pi')^\varphi & & 
\end{array}$$

(3) Assume that  $p$  fits in the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} & S' \\
\uparrow \bar{q} & & \uparrow q \\
S & \xleftarrow{p} & B
\end{array}$$

where  $\bar{p}$  is a closed immersion and  $\bar{q}$  is smooth. Form the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \bar{p}_* q_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & q_*(X, \omega) & \\
& \swarrow \bar{s} & \downarrow \pi' & \swarrow s & \downarrow \pi' \\
p_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{r} & X & & \\
\downarrow \bar{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\
& R & \xleftarrow{\bar{p}} & S' & \\
& \swarrow \bar{q} & \downarrow p & \swarrow q & \\
S & & B & & 
\end{array}$$

Here, the top and bottom squares are Cartesian and the other four squares are symplectic pushforward squares. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* q^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & \bar{\pi}^\varphi \bar{q}^\dagger \bar{p}_* & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\bar{q}}} & \bar{s}_*(\bar{\pi}')^\varphi \bar{p}_* \\
\downarrow \varepsilon_p & & & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\bar{p}} \\
r^\dagger \pi^\varphi q^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & r^\dagger s_*(\pi')^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & \bar{s}_* \bar{r}^\dagger(\pi')^\varphi
\end{array}$$

(4) We let  $\pi^{\varphi,-}$  denote the perverse pullback for  $(X \rightarrow B, -\omega)$  with respect to the orientation  $\bar{o}$  and define  $\bar{\pi}^{\varphi,-}$  in a similar manner. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
r^\dagger \pi^\varphi \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & r^\dagger \mathbb{D} \pi^{\varphi,-} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}}} & \mathbb{D} r^\dagger \pi^{\varphi,-} \\
\downarrow \varepsilon_p & & & & \uparrow \mathbb{D} \varepsilon_p \\
\bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{Ex}_*^{\dagger, \mathbb{D}}} & \bar{\pi}^\varphi \mathbb{D} p_* & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & \mathbb{D} \bar{\pi}^{\varphi,-} p_*
\end{array}$$

(5) Let  $X_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} B_i \xrightarrow{p_i} S_i$  be lfp morphisms between derived Artin stacks for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\pi_i$  is equipped with a relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure  $\omega_i \in \mathcal{A}^{2, \text{ex}}(X_i/B_i, -1)$  and an orientations  $o_i$ . We let  $\bar{\pi}_i: p_{i,*}(X_i, \omega_i) \rightarrow S_i$  be the symplectic pushforward and  $r_i: p_{i,*}(X_i, \omega_i) \rightarrow X_i$  be the natural map. Equip  $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ ,  $\bar{\pi}_1$ ,  $\bar{\pi}_2$  and  $\bar{\pi}_1 \times \bar{\pi}_2$  with orientations  $o_1 \boxtimes o_2$ ,  $p_{1,*} o_1$ ,  $p_{2,*} o_2$  and  $p_{1,*} o_1 \boxtimes p_{2,*} o_2$  respectively. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{\pi}_1^\varphi p_{1,*}(-) \boxtimes \bar{\pi}_2^\varphi p_{2,*}(-) & \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} & (\bar{\pi}_1 \times \bar{\pi}_2)^\varphi(p_{1,*}(-) \boxtimes p_{2,*}(-)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{\pi}_1 \times \bar{\pi}_2)^\varphi(p_1 \times p_2)_*((-)\boxtimes(-)) \\
\downarrow \varepsilon_{p_1} \boxtimes \varepsilon_{p_2} & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{p_1 \times p_2} \\
r_1^\dagger \pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes r_2^\dagger \pi_2^\varphi(-) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (r_1 \times r_2)^\dagger(\pi_1^\varphi(-) \boxtimes \pi_2^\varphi(-)) \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} (r_1 \times r_2)^\dagger(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^\varphi((-)\boxtimes(-)).
\end{array}$$

*Proof.* To see that  $r$  is smooth, recall that  $p_*(X, \omega)$  can be described as the zero locus of the moment map  $\mu_X: X \rightarrow T^*(B/S)$  (see [Par24, Proposition 2.3.1]). Since  $p$  is unramified,  $\mathbb{L}_{B/S}$  is of Tor-amplitude  $\leq -1$ . Hence the zero section of  $T^*(B/S)$  has cotangent complex of Tor-amplitude  $\geq 0$  and is smooth.

To construct the isomorphism (6.14), form the Cartesian square of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_*(X, \omega) \times_S B & \xrightarrow{p'} & p_*(X, \omega) \\ \downarrow \bar{\pi}' & & \downarrow \bar{\pi} \\ B & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

and regard  $\bar{\pi}'$  with its induced oriented relative exact  $(-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure. Denote by  $i: p_*(X, \omega) \rightarrow p_*(X, \omega) \times_S B$  the unique morphism equipped with identifications  $p' \circ i \cong \text{id}$  and  $\pi \circ r \cong \bar{\pi}' \circ i$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p_*(X, \omega) & \\ r \swarrow & & \searrow i \\ X & & p_*(X, \omega) \times_S B \end{array}$$

defines an oriented Lagrangian correspondence between  $\pi$  and  $\bar{\pi}'$ . Since  $\bar{\pi}$  factors through  $p$  and  $p$  is a closed immersion,  $p'$  induces an isomorphism on classical truncations and hence so does its section  $i$ . Applying Proposition 6.10 we deduce the canonical isomorphism  $i_* r^\dagger \pi^\varphi \cong \bar{\pi}'^\varphi$ , hence  $r^\dagger \pi^\varphi \cong p'_* \bar{\pi}'^\varphi$ . Combining this with the canonical isomorphism  $\bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* \cong p'_* \bar{\pi}'^\varphi$  of Theorem 5.24(2), using that  $p$  is finite, we define:

$$\varepsilon_p: \bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* \cong p'_* \bar{\pi}'^\varphi \cong r^\dagger \pi^\varphi.$$

Functoriality for compositions in  $p$  follows from the corresponding functoriality statements in Theorem 5.24(1,2).

The claims (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) follow from the fact that the map  $\varepsilon_p$  is constructed as a composite of the isomorphisms  $\alpha$  of Theorem 5.24(1) and  $\beta$  of Theorem 5.24(2), and these are both individually compatible with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and TS by Theorem 5.24. To illustrate this, we give a detailed proof of (3); the proofs of (1, 2, 4, 5) are similar.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{t} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ & & \bar{p}_X & & \\ \bar{p}_* q_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & q_*(X, \omega) & & \bar{p}_* q_*(X, \omega) \times_R S' \\ \bar{s} \downarrow & & \downarrow s & & \bar{s} \times_R \text{id}_{S'} \downarrow \\ p_*(X, \omega) & \xrightarrow{r} & X & & p_*(X, \omega) \times_S B \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & t & & \end{array}$$

Here,  $p_X$  and  $\bar{p}_X$  are base change of  $p$  and  $\bar{p}$ , and  $t$  and  $t'$  are naturally defined morphisms. By construction,  $t$  and  $p_X$  are mutually inverse after passing to the classical truncation, and similarly for  $\bar{t}$  and  $\bar{p}_X$ . Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{\pi}^\varphi p_* q^\dagger & \xleftarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & \bar{\pi}^\varphi \bar{q}^\dagger \bar{p}_* & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & \bar{s}_*(\bar{\pi}')^\varphi \bar{p}_* & & \\ \downarrow \beta_p & & (B) & & \downarrow \beta_{\bar{p}} & & \\ p_{X,*}(\bar{\pi} \times_S \text{id}_B)^\varphi q^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & p_{X,*}(\bar{s} \times_R \text{id}_{S'})^\varphi (\bar{\pi}' \times_R \text{id}_{S'})^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{s}_* \bar{p}_{X,*}(\bar{\pi}' \times_R \text{id}_{S'})^\varphi & & \\ (A) \downarrow \alpha(r, t) & & (C) & \searrow \alpha(r, \bar{t}) & (D) & \downarrow \alpha(\bar{r}, \bar{t}) & (G) \\ p_{X,*} \bar{t}_* r^\dagger \pi^\varphi q^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & p_{X,*} \bar{t}_* r^\dagger s_*(\pi')^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & p_{X,*} \bar{t}_* \bar{s}_* \bar{r}^\dagger (\pi')^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{s}_* \bar{p}_{X,*} \bar{t}_* \bar{r}^\dagger (\pi')^\varphi \\ \downarrow \sim & & (E) & \searrow \sim & (F) & & \downarrow \sim \\ r^\dagger \pi^\varphi q^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q} & r^\dagger s_*(\pi')^\varphi & \xrightarrow{\text{Ex}_*^\dagger} & \bar{s}_* \bar{r}^\dagger (\pi')^\varphi & & \end{array}$$

It is enough to prove the commutativity of the outer square. The commutativity of the diagrams (A) and (G) follows from the construction of the map  $\varepsilon_p$  and  $\varepsilon_{\bar{p}}$ . The commutativity of the diagrams (D), (E) and

(F) are obvious. The commutativity of the diagram (B) follows from the compatibility between the  $\beta_-$  and  $\gamma_-$  proved in Theorem 5.24. Similarly, the commutativity of the diagram (C) follows from the compatibility relation between  $\alpha_-$  and the  $\gamma_-$ , which is also proved in Theorem 5.24. Hence we conclude the commutativity of the outer square as desired.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AB17] L. Amorim and O. Ben-Bassat. “Perversely categorified Lagrangian correspondences”. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 21.2 (2017), pp. 289–381. arXiv: [1601.01536 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [Ach21] P. N. Achar. *Perverse sheaves and applications to representation theory*. Vol. 258. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2021, pp. xii+562.
- [AG15] D. Arinkin and D. Gaitsgory. “Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture”. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 21.1 (2015), pp. 1–199. arXiv: [1201.6343 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [BBJ19] C. Brav, V. Bussi, and D. Joyce. “A Darboux theorem for derived schemes with shifted symplectic structure”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 32.2 (2019), pp. 399–443. arXiv: [1305.6302 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Ben+15] O. Ben-Bassat, C. Brav, V. Bussi, and D. Joyce. “A ‘Darboux theorem’ for shifted symplectic structures on derived Artin stacks, with applications”. *Geom. Topol.* 19.3 (2015), pp. 1287–1359. arXiv: [1312.0090 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [BG13] E. Bouaziz and I. Grojnowski. “A  $d$ -shifted Darboux theorem” (2013). arXiv: [1309.2197 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [BJ17] D. Borisov and D. Joyce. “Virtual fundamental classes for moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau four-folds”. *Geom. Topol.* 21.6 (2017), pp. 3231–3311. arXiv: [1504.00690 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Bra+15] C. Brav, V. Bussi, D. Dupont, D. Joyce, and B. Szendrői. “Symmetries and stabilization for sheaves of vanishing cycles”. *J. Singul.* 11 (2015). With an appendix by Jörg Schürmann, pp. 85–151. arXiv: [1211.3259 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Bra03] T. Braden. “Hyperbolic localization of intersection cohomology”. *Transform. Groups* 8.3 (2003), pp. 209–216. arXiv: [math/0202251](#).
- [Bun+19] U. Bunke, D.-C. Cisinski, D. Kasprowski, and C. Winges. “Controlled objects in left-exact  $\infty$ -categories and the Novikov conjecture” (2019). arXiv: [1911.02338 \[math.KT\]](#).
- [Cal+17] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, and G. Vezzosi. “Shifted Poisson structures and deformation quantization”. *J. Topol.* 10.2 (2017), pp. 483–584. arXiv: [1506.03699 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Cal19] D. Calaque. “Shifted cotangent stacks are shifted symplectic”. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* 28.1 (2019), pp. 67–90. arXiv: [1612.08101 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [CHS25] D. Calaque, R. Haugseng, and C. Scheimbauer. “The AKSZ construction in derived algebraic geometry as an extended topological field theory”. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 308.1555 (2025). arXiv: [2108.02473 \[math.CT\]](#).
- [Côt+22] L. Côté, C. Kuo, D. Nadler, and V. Shende. “Perverse Microsheaves” (2022). arXiv: [2209.12998 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [Dav17] B. Davison. “The critical CoHA of a quiver with potential”. *Q. J. Math.* 68.2 (2017), pp. 635–703. arXiv: [1311.7172 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Del87] P. Deligne. “Le déterminant de la cohomologie”. *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*. Vol. 67. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 93–177.
- [EGAIV] A. Grothendieck. “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV”. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 32 (1967), p. 361.
- [FYZ23] T. Feng, Z. Yun, and W. Zhang. “Modularity of higher theta series I: cohomology of the generic fiber” (2023). arXiv: [2308.10979 \[math.NT\]](#).
- [Gib+76] C. G. Gibson, K. Wirthmüller, A. A. du Plessis, and E. J. N. Looijenga. *Topological stability of smooth mappings*. Vol. 552. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976, pp. iv+155.
- [Joy15] D. Joyce. “A classical model for derived critical loci”. *J. Differential Geom.* 101.2 (2015), pp. 289–367. arXiv: [1304.4508 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [JS19] D. Joyce and P. Safronov. “A Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem for shifted symplectic derived schemes”. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* 28.5 (2019), pp. 831–908. arXiv: [1506.04024 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [JU21] D. Joyce and M. Upmeyer. “Orientation data for moduli spaces of coherent sheaves over Calabi-Yau 3-folds”. *Adv. Math.* 381 (2021), Paper No. 107627, 47. arXiv: [2001.00113 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Kha23] A. A. Khan. “Weaves” (2023). URL: <https://www.preschema.com/papers/weaves.pdf>.
- [Kha25] A. A. Khan. “Lisse extensions of weaves” (2025). arXiv: [2501.04114 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Kin22] T. Kinjo. “Dimensional reduction in cohomological Donaldson-Thomas theory”. *Compos. Math.* 158.1 (2022), pp. 123–167. arXiv: [2102.01568 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Kin25] T. Kinjo. “Virtual classes via vanishing cycles”. *Geom. Topol.* 29.1 (2025), pp. 349–397. arXiv: [2109.06468 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [KK23] A. Khan and T. Kinjo. “3d cohomological Hall algebras for local surfaces”. 2023. URL: <https://www.preschema.com/papers/dimredcoha.pdf>.
- [KL12] Y.-H. Kiem and J. Li. “Categorification of Donaldson-Thomas invariants via Perverse Sheaves” (2012). arXiv: [1212.6444 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [KM76] F. F. Knudsen and D. Mumford. “The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. I. Preliminaries on “det” and “Div””. *Math. Scand.* 39.1 (1976), pp. 19–55.

- [KPS24] T. Kinjo, H. Park, and P. Safronov. “Cohomological Hall algebras for 3-Calabi-Yau categories” (2024). arXiv: [2406.12838 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [KS90] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira. *Sheaves on manifolds. With a short history “Les débuts de la théorie des faisceaux” by Christian Houzel*. English. Vol. 292. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Man22] L. Mann. “A  $p$ -adic 6-functor formalism in rigid-analytic geometry” (2022). arXiv: [2206.02022 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Mas00] D. B. Massey. “Critical points of functions on singular spaces”. *Topology Appl.* 103.1 (2000), pp. 55–93. arXiv: [math/9908104](#).
- [Mas01] D. B. Massey. “The Sebastiani-Thom isomorphism in the derived category”. *Compositio Math.* 125.3 (2001), pp. 353–362. arXiv: [math/9908101](#).
- [Mas16] D. Massey. “Natural commuting of vanishing cycles and the Verdier dual”. *Pacific J. Math.* 284.2 (2016), pp. 431–437. arXiv: [0908.2799 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [MS22] L. G. Maxim and J. Schürmann. “Constructible sheaf complexes in complex geometry and applications”. *Handbook of geometry and topology of singularities III*. Springer, Cham, 2022, pp. 679–791. arXiv: [2105.13069 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Nak17] H. Nakajima. “Lectures on perverse sheaves on instanton moduli spaces”. *Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory*. Vol. 24. IAS/Park City Math. Ser. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017, pp. 381–436. arXiv: [1604.06316 \[math.RT\]](#).
- [NS20] D. Nadler and V. Shende. “Sheaf quantization in Weinstein symplectic manifolds” (2020). arXiv: [2007.10154 \[math.SG\]](#).
- [NS23] F. Naef and P. Safronov. “Torsion volume forms” (2023). arXiv: [2308.08369 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [OT23] J. Oh and R. P. Thomas. “Counting sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds, I”. *Duke Math. J.* 172.7 (2023), pp. 1333–1409. arXiv: [2009.05542 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Pan+13] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié, and G. Vezzosi. “Shifted symplectic structures”. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 117 (2013), pp. 271–328. arXiv: [1111.3209 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Par24] H. Park. “Shifted symplectic pushforwards” (2024). arXiv: [2406.19192 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Sch03] J. Schürmann. *Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves*. English. Vol. 63. Monogr. Mat., Inst. Mat. PAN, N.S. Basel: Birkhäuser, 2003.
- [Sch22] K. Schefers. “Microlocal homology” (2022). arXiv: [2205.12436 \[math.AG\]](#).
- [Stacks] Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. URL: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [TV08] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi. “Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 193.902 (2008), pp. x+224. arXiv: [math/0404373](#).
- [Ver76] J.-L. Verdier. “Classe d’homologie associée à un cycle”. *Séminaire de géométrie analytique (École Norm. Sup., Paris, 1974–75)*. Vol. No. 36-37. Astérisque. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976, pp. 101–151.
- [Vol21] M. Volpe. “The six operations in topology” (2021). arXiv: [2110.10212 \[math.AT\]](#).
- [Was04] I. Waschkie. “The stack of microlocal perverse sheaves”. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 132.3 (2004), pp. 397–462.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI, TAIWAN

NATIONAL CENTER FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN  
*Email address:* [adeelkhan@as.edu.tw](mailto:adeelkhan@as.edu.tw)

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [tkinjo@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:tkinjo@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

JUNE E HUH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL CHALLENGES, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* [hyeonjunpark@kias.re.kr](mailto:hyeonjunpark@kias.re.kr)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND MAXWELL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH, UK  
*Email address:* [p.safronov@ed.ac.uk](mailto:p.safronov@ed.ac.uk)