

Exercise sheet 2

1. Show that the functor of ∞ -categories $c : \mathbf{CRing} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCRing}$ is fully faithful. Hint: show that it admits a left adjoint $\pi_0 : \mathbf{SCRing} \rightarrow \mathbf{CRing}$ such that $\pi_0 \circ c \simeq \text{id}$ (so that \mathbf{CRing} is a left localization of \mathbf{SCRing}).
2. Show that the category \mathbf{CRing} is the free completion of the category of polynomial rings $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $n \geq 0$, with respect to sifted colimits.
3. Show that the ∞ -category \mathbf{SCRing} is the free completion of the category of polynomial rings $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $n \geq 0$, with respect to sifted homotopy colimits. Deduce another proof that $c : \mathbf{CRing} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{SCRing}$ is fully faithful.
4. [For those familiar with \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectra] Show that there is a unique functor from \mathbf{SCRing} into the ∞ -category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -ring spectra, which commutes with colimits and sends a polynomial ring $\mathbf{Z}[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ to its Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum. Show that this functor is *not* fully faithful, even though its restriction to \mathbf{CRing} is.
5. Let S be a derived scheme and let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on S . Show that the associated vector bundle $\mathbf{V}_S(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Spec}_S(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{E}))$ is a derived scheme, and that $\mathbf{V}_S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$ is flat.
6. If \mathcal{E} is a connective perfect complex that is not locally free, show that $\mathbf{V}_S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$ need not be flat.
7. Let S be a derived scheme and let $\pi : \mathbf{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ be projective space of dimension n over S . Show that $(\mathbf{P}_S^n)_{\text{cl}} \approx \mathbf{P}_{S_{\text{cl}}}^n$ (by comparison of universal properties). Deduce that π is proper.
8. Show that $\pi : \mathbf{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is flat.
9. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on S . Show that $\mathbf{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S$ is representable by a derived scheme.
10. Modify the construction of \mathbf{P}_S^n to define a derived version of the Grassmannian parametrizing “direct summands of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}$ of rank k ”. Show that it is representable.
11. By definition the projective space \mathbf{P}_S^1 classifies line bundles \mathcal{L} equipped with a surjection $\mathcal{O}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denote the universal such, and write $\mathcal{O}(m) := \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes m}$ for $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Show that there are canonical cocartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(m+1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(m+1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(m+2) \end{array}$$

for all m , in $\text{Perf}(\mathbf{P}_S^1)$.

12. Show that our definition of “classical scheme” gives rise to a category that is equivalent to the classical definition of scheme.
13. Show that a derived scheme S is affine if and only if S_{cl} is affine.
14. Show that we have isomorphisms of ∞ -groupoids $\text{Maps}_{\mathbf{DSch}}(S, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n) \approx \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^{\times n}$ for any derived scheme S .
15. Show that a morphism of derived schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is separated (in the sense that f_{cl} is separated) iff the diagonal is a closed immersion.
16. For a derived scheme S , let $\text{Qcoh}(S)^{\text{locfr}} \subset \text{Qcoh}(S)$ denote the full sub- ∞ -category of locally frees of finite rank. Show that there is an equivalence of categories $\text{Ho}(\text{Qcoh}^{\text{locfr}}(S)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Qcoh}^{\text{locfr}}(S_{\text{cl}})$.