

Exercise sheet 2

1. HOMOTOPY COCARTESIAN SQUARES

1.1. Let \mathbf{C} be a model category.

Let $[1]$ denote the free category generated by the oriented graph $0 \rightarrow 1$. Note that a diagram $[1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is nothing else than a morphism in \mathbf{C} . By [1, Prop. 8.11], the projective model structure on the category $\mathbf{C}^{[1]}$ exists. The proof shows that cofibrations can be described as follows.

Given two diagrams c_\bullet and d_\bullet on $[1]$, a morphism $f : c_\bullet \rightarrow d_\bullet$ corresponds to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_0 & \longrightarrow & c_1 \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 \\ d_0 & \longrightarrow & d_1. \end{array}$$

The morphism f is a cofibration if and only if f_0 is a cofibration and the canonical morphism

$$d_0 \sqcup_{c_0} c_1 \rightarrow d_1$$

is a cofibration.

In particular, an object c_\bullet of $\mathbf{C}^{[1]}$ is cofibrant if and only if c_0 is cofibrant in \mathbf{C} , and the morphism $c_0 \rightarrow c_1$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{C} .

1.2. Let Γ denote the free category generated by the oriented graph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0,0) & \longrightarrow & (1,0) \\ \downarrow & & \\ & & (0,1). \end{array}$$

Definition 1.2.1. A correspondence in \mathbf{C} is a diagram $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

In other words, a correspondence is a diagram of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,0} & \xrightarrow{f} & c_{1,0} \\ \downarrow g & & \\ & & c_{0,1} \end{array}$$

Exercise 1.2.2.

- (i) The projective model structure exists on the category \mathbf{C}^Γ of correspondences in \mathbf{C} .
- (ii) A correspondence of the form (1.1) is cofibrant if and only if each object $c_{i,j}$ is cofibrant in \mathbf{C} and each morphism f and g is cofibrant in \mathbf{C} .

Hence we get a well-defined homotopy colimit functor

$$\mathbf{L} \lim_{\Gamma} : \mathbf{C}^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

(see [1, 8.14]).

1.3. Let \square denote the category $[1] \times [1]$, i.e. the free category generated by the oriented graph

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0,0) & \longrightarrow & (1,0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (0,1) & \longrightarrow & (1,1). \end{array}$$

Note that a diagram on the category \square , i.e. a functor $\square \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, is nothing else than a commutative square in \mathbf{C} .

Suppose we have a commutative square

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & d \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{C} . This can be viewed as a co-cone under c_\bullet , i.e. a morphism $c_\bullet \rightarrow d_\Gamma$ of functors $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where c_\bullet denotes the restriction of the diagram along the inclusion $\Gamma \rightarrow \square$, and d_Γ denotes the constant diagram on Γ valued in d . By adjunction the morphism $c_\bullet \rightarrow d_\Gamma$ corresponds to a canonical morphism

$$(1.3) \quad \text{L} \lim_{\Gamma} (c_\bullet) \rightarrow d$$

in the homotopy category $ho(\mathbf{C})$.

Definition 1.3.1. We say that the commutative square (1.2) is homotopy cocartesian if the canonical morphism (1.3) is an isomorphism in $ho(\mathbf{C})$.

According to [1, Example 8.21] this is equivalent to the statement that the morphism

$$\lim_{\Gamma} (Q(c_\bullet)) \rightarrow d$$

is a weak equivalence in \mathbf{C} , where $Q(c_\bullet)$ is a cofibrant replacement of c_\bullet in \mathbf{C}^Γ .

1.4. Note that the category \mathbf{C}^\square is equivalent to $(\mathbf{C}^{[1]})^{[1]}$. Applying the discussion in Paragraph 1.1 twice, one sees:

Exercise 1.4.1.

(i) The projective model structure on \mathbf{C}^\square exists.

(ii) An object of $\mathbf{C}^\square = (\mathbf{C}^{[1]})^{[1]}$, a morphism $f : c_\bullet \rightarrow d_\bullet$ of morphisms in \mathbf{C} , corresponding to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_0 & \longrightarrow & c_1 \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f_1 \\ d_0 & \longrightarrow & d_1, \end{array}$$

is cofibrant if and only if each object is cofibrant in \mathbf{C} , and each morphism is a cofibration in \mathbf{C} .

Note that it follows that any cocartesian square, where all the objects are cofibrant and all the morphisms are cofibrations, is homotopy cocartesian.

1.5. The inclusion $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \square$ induces a Quillen adjunction

$$i_! : \mathbf{C}^\Gamma \rightleftarrows \mathbf{C}^\square : i^*$$

where the right adjoint is restriction and the left adjoint is defined by extending by colimits.

Note that the restriction functor i^* preserves cofibrant objects.

Exercise 1.5.1. *A commutative square K is homotopy cocartesian if and only if the canonical morphism*

$$Li_!(i^*K) \rightarrow K$$

is invertible in $ho(\mathbf{C}^\square)$.

The condition of a square to be homotopy cocartesian can also be checked by taking a cofibrant replacement in \mathbf{C}^\square of the whole diagram (instead of its restriction to \mathbf{C}^Γ).

Exercise 1.5.2. *A commutative square K is homotopy cocartesian if and only if there is a cofibrant replacement $Q(K)$ in \mathbf{C}^\square which is cocartesian.*

1.6. The above can be used to demonstrate:

Exercise 1.6.1. *A commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,1} \end{array}$$

is homotopy cocartesian if and only if the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{0,1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_{1,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,1} \end{array}$$

is homotopy cocartesian.

Exercise 1.6.2. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,1} \end{array}$$

be a commutative square. If both horizontal morphisms are weak equivalences, then the square is homotopy cocartesian.

Exercise 1.6.3. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} c_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,0} & \longrightarrow & c_{2,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & c_{1,1} & \longrightarrow & c_{2,1} \end{array}$$

be a diagram of commutative squares. Suppose that the left-hand square is homotopy cocartesian. Then the right-hand side is homotopy cocartesian if and only if the composite square is homotopy cocartesian.

2. THE CANONICAL MODEL STRUCTURE ON THE CATEGORY OF CATEGORIES

2.1. Let \mathbf{Cat} denote the category of small categories. Recall from [1, Prop. 4.11] that the nerve functor $N : \mathbf{Cat} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Set}_\Delta$ is fully faithful and admits a left adjoint τ . It follows by an exercise from Sheet 1 that the category \mathbf{Cat} admits colimits and limits (since \mathbf{Set}_Δ does, as a presheaf category).

It turns out that \mathbf{Cat} admits a unique model structure where the weak equivalences are equivalences of categories. This model structure can be described as follows:

- The weak equivalences are equivalences of categories $u : \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{D}$.
- The cofibrations are functors $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ which induce injections $Ob(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow Ob(\mathbf{D})$ on sets of objects.
- The fibrations are functors¹ $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that for any object $c \in \mathbf{C}$ and any isomorphism $f : u(c) \xrightarrow{\sim} d$, with d an object of \mathbf{D} , there exists an isomorphism $\tilde{f} : c \xrightarrow{\sim} c'$ in \mathbf{C} such that $u(\tilde{f})$ coincides with f (up to equality).

We will take these as definitions of the classes of cofibrations and fibrations, and show that they form a well-defined model structure.

Note that every category will be cofibrant and fibrant in this model structure.

2.2. Let $[0]$ be the free category generated by the graph 0 (i.e. the terminal category), and \mathbf{J} the free category generated by the graph

$$0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{g} \end{array} 1$$

under the relations $fg = \text{id}$ and $gf = \text{id}$. Note that this is the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1([1])$ (see Sheet 1).

Exercise 2.2.1. A functor $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a fibration if and only if it satisfies the right lifting property with respect to the canonical inclusion

$$[0] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{J}$$

which sends 0 to 0 .

A trivial cofibration (resp. trivial fibration) is a cofibration (resp. fibration) that is also a weak equivalence, i.e. an equivalence of categories.

Exercise 2.2.2.

- A functor $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a trivial cofibration if and only if it is isomorphic to the inclusion of a full subcategory which is equivalent to \mathbf{D} .
- A functor $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a trivial fibration if and only if it is fully faithful and induces a surjection $Ob(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow Ob(\mathbf{D})$ on objects.

2.3. The following exercises will verify the axioms of model structure:

Exercise 2.3.1.

- The class of equivalences of categories is stable under the 2-of-3 property.
- The class of equivalences of categories (resp. cofibrations, fibrations) is stable under retracts.
- The class of cofibrations (resp. fibrations) has the left lifting property (resp. right lifting property) with respect to trivial fibrations (resp. trivial cofibrations).

¹These are sometimes called *isofibrations*.

Let $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ be a functor. Let $Q(u)$ denote the category whose set of objects is $Ob(Q(u)) = Ob(\mathbf{C}) \sqcup Ob(\mathbf{D})$, and morphisms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{Q(u)}(c, c') &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(u(c), u(c')) && (c, c' \in Ob(\mathbf{C})), \\ \text{Hom}_{Q(u)}(d, d') &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(d, d') && (d, d' \in Ob(\mathbf{D})), \\ \text{Hom}_{Q(u)}(c, d) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(u(c), d) && (c \in Ob(\mathbf{C}), d \in Ob(\mathbf{D})), \\ \text{Hom}_{Q(u)}(d, c) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(d, u(c)) && (c \in Ob(\mathbf{C}), d \in Ob(\mathbf{D})). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2.3.2. Any functor $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ factors as a composite

$$\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{v} Q(u) \xrightarrow{w} \mathbf{D},$$

where v is a cofibration and w is a trivial fibration.

Let $R(u)$ denote the category whose objects are triples (c, d, α) with c an object of \mathbf{C} , d an object of \mathbf{D} , and $\alpha : u(c) \xrightarrow{\sim} d$ an isomorphism in \mathbf{D} . Morphisms are defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{R(u)}((c, d, \alpha), (c', d', \alpha')) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(c, c').$$

Exercise 2.3.3. Any functor $u : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ factors as a composite

$$\mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{v} R(u) \xrightarrow{w} \mathbf{D},$$

where v is a trivial cofibration and w is a fibration.

All this shows:

Theorem 2.3.4. The category Cat admits a model structure, where the weak equivalences, cofibrations, and fibrations are as defined above.

Remark 2.3.5. This is in fact the *only* model structure on Cat where the weak equivalences are equivalences of categories. The proof is not difficult, see [2].

However there are interesting model structures where the weak equivalences are different, like the Thomason model structure which is equivalent to the Quillen model structure on Set_{Δ} .

REFERENCES

- [1] Denis-Charles Cisinski, *Higher category theory and homotopical algebra*, Lecture notes, 2016, Available at <http://www.mathematik.uni-regensburg.de/cisinski/CatLR.pdf>.
- [2] Chris Schommer-Pries, *The canonical model structure on Cat*, Blog post, 2012, Available at <http://sbseminar.wordpress.com/2012/11/16/the-canonical-model-structure-on-cat/>.